# IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND AT CHRISTCHURCH

# I MUA I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA I ŌTAUTAHI ROHE

ENV-2019-CHC-000078

Under

the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)

And

In the matter of

an appeal under clause 14(1) of the Schedule 1 of the RMA

in relation to the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan

Between

**Glencoe Station Limited** 

**Appellant** 

And

**Queenstown Lakes District Council** 

Respondent

Notice of wish of Bookabach Limited to be a party to proceedings under section 274 of the RMA

**Date:** 5 June 2019



To:

The Registrar

**Environment Court** 

Christchurch

And to:

The Appellant

**And to:** The Respondent

Bookabach Limited (Bookabach) wishes to be a party to the appeal by 1 Glencoe Station Limited (Appellant) against a decision of the Queenstown Lakes District Council (Respondent) on its Proposed District Plan (PDP).

#### Nature of interest

- 2 Bookabach made a submission (S2302) on Stage 2 of the PDP in relation to the Visitor Accommodation Variation.
- 3 Bookabach is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.

### **Extent of interest**

- 4 Bookabach is interested in all of the appeal.
- 5 Bookabach is interested in the following particular issues:
  - 5.1 The amendments the Appellant seeks to the definition of 'Visitor Accommodation' to exclude Residential Visitor Accommodation (RVA) and Homestays only where such activities comply with the standards within the relevant zones applying to those activities.

5.2 The amendments the Appellant seeks to Rule 21.9 in Chapter 21 Rural to delete standards 21.9.5 and 21.9.6 for RVA and homestay activities.

### Position on the relief sought

- Bookabach opposes the relief sought by the Appellant in respect of the definition of 'Visitor Accommodation' because:
  - 6.1 Bookabach agrees with the Respondent's decision to include separate definitions for Visitor Accommodation and RVA and Homestay in the PDP.
  - 6.2 Visitor Accommodation, RVA and Homestay are all distinct activities.
  - 6.3 Granting the relief sought could result in RVA and
    Homestays that do not comply with the applicable standards
    for those activities being treated as Visitor Accommodation,
    which could result in more onerous rules being applied to
    them.
  - 6.4 Granting the relief sought would not best meet the applicable statutory tests.
- Pookabach supports the relief sought by the Appellant in respect of amendments to Rule 21.9 of the PDP to the extent it is consistent with Bookabach's submission on the PDP because:
  - 7.1 The provision of RVA assists in ensuring that there is a choice of visitor accommodation and contributes to the local economy.
  - 7.2 The potential for adverse effects on character and amenity to be generated by visitor accommodation is linked to the nature,

scale and intensity of the particular visitor accommodation activity.

#### Mediation

8 Bookabach agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

**Date:** 5 June 2019

Diana Hartley/Anne Buchanan Counsel for Bookabach Limited

## Address for service of person wishing to become a party:

This document is filed by Diana Hartley of DLA Piper New Zealand, solicitor for Bookabach Limited.

The address for service Bookabach Limited is at:

DLA Piper New Zealand 22nd Floor, DLA Piper Tower 205 Queen Street Auckland 1010

Documents for service on Bookabach Limited may be:

- left at the above address for service, or
- posted to the solicitor at PO Box 160, Auckland 1140, or
- transmitted to the solicitor by fax on +64 9 303 2311.

Please direct enquiries to:

Diana Hartley Tel +64 9 300 3826 Fax +64 9 303 2311 Email diana.hartley@dlapiper.com