Appendix B - A copy of the Appellant's submission;

18000080 | 4332550 page 1

Submission on the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan 2015 (Stage 1)

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To:	Queenstown Lakes District Council		
Address:	Sent via email to: services@qldc.govt.nz		
Name of submitter:	Andrew Fairfax		
About the submitter:	Andrew Fairfax owns land on the northern side of Malaghan Road, and regularly flies helicopters and fixed wing aircraft. He has a particular interest in the rules relating to the informal airports.		
Trade Competition:	The submitter cannot gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.		
Submission and decisions sought:	The proposed district plan provisions this submission relates to, and the decisions sought, are as set out in the attached table.		
Hearings:	The submitter wishes to be heard in support of this submission.		
Address for Service:	Andrew Fairfax C/- John Edmonds + Associates Ltd Email: reception@jea.co.nz Phone: 03 450 0009		

23rd October 2015

Date:

Submission point	Plan Provision	Relief sought (amended wording sought shown in <u>underline</u> strikeout)	Reasons
1	All provisions	Alternative, amended, or such other relief deemed more consistent with or better able to give effect to these submissions or the provisions referred to by these submissions.	
2	Chapter 21	Objective 21.2.10 Support the objective and policies that enable the use of land and water for occasional / infrequent for the take-off and landing of aircraft	The submitter agrees that a flexible regime should be established to enable infrequent and occasional landing and take-off of aircraft without the need for consents.
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		The Proposed Plan is currently silent on how applications to exceed Standards 21.5.26.1 and 21.5.26.2 will be assessed and considered.
4	Chapter 21 Table 6 Standard 21.5.26.1	Amend: Increase the daily limit to one flight per day.	The submitter considers that a more flexible regime is necessary to enable the infrequent use of land for the teak-off and landing of aircraft. The actual level of ownership of private aircraft is comparatively low, and the effects of a single flight per day would have minimal adverse effects. A limitation of 3 flights per week will be difficult to monitor and likely to be an inefficient method.
5	Chapter 21 Table 6 Standard 21.5.26.2	Delete: Remove the 500m separation	A 500m separation from any road or house would severely limit the prospect of any site being used, as a result the proposed separation rule nullifies the overall enabling intent.
6	Chapter 36 Table 1 - Noise Rule 36.5.13 –	Amend rule so that the noise limits are measured as Lmax, not Ldn. Also amend rule so that non-compliance is a discretionary activity, not a non-complying activity.	More appropriate means of measurement.

Submission point	Plan Provision		Relief sought (amended wording sought shown in <u>underline</u> strikeout)	Reasons
	noise helicopters	from		