

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT
Christchurch Registry**

**I Te Koti Taiao o Aotearoa
Otautahi Rohe**

ENV-2018-CHC-138

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act
1991 ("RMA")

AND

IN THE MATTER of an appeal under Clause 14(1)
Schedule 1 of the RMA

AND

IN THE MATTER of s274 of the RMA

BETWEEN **REAL JOURNEYS LIMITED**
(trading as Go Orange Limited)

Appellant

AND **QUEENSTOWN LAKES**
DISTRICT COUNCIL

Respondent

**NOTICE BY BOARD OF AIRLINE REPRESENTATIVES NEW
ZEALAND INC OF WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO S274 OF THE RMA**

Dated 9 July 2018

Counsel:
G K Chappell
Vulcan Building Chambers
P O Box 3320
Shortland Street, Auckland 1140
gkchappell@xtra.co.nz
Tel: 09 3001259

NOTICE OF WISH BY BOARD OF AIRLINE REPRESENTATIVES NEW ZEALAND INC TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

**To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch**

1. Take notice that the BOARD OF AIRLINE REPRESENTATIVES NEW ZEALAND INC (“BARNZ”) wishes, pursuant to s274 of the RMA, to be a party to the following proceedings: Real Journeys Limited (trading as Go Orange Limited) v Queenstown Lakes District Council (ENV-2018-CHC-138).

Nature of Interest

2. BARNZ was a submitter on the subject matters of the proceedings in which it indicates an interest.

Extent of interest

3. BARNZ is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C of the Resource Management Act 1991.
4. BARNZ is interested in the parts of the notice of appeal that refer to Rule 21.4.28 and Policy 21.2.7.1.

Opposition to Relief Sought

5. BARNZ opposes the relief sought for the general reasons outlined in BARNZ’s submissions in support of retention of the provisions and for the reasons that the provisions (without the amendments sought):
 - provide appropriate protection for the Airports from reverse sensitivity effects;
 - give effect to, achieve or implement the objectives and policies of the Proposed District Plan and other regional planning documents;
 - promote the sustainable management of a physical resource (the Airports) and are the most appropriate way of to achieve the purpose of the Act;
6. The appellant’s reasons for the amendments to the provisions refer to the desire to establish new public transport opportunities including park and ride facilities, although such facilities do not fall within the definition of

“community facilities” and are therefore not “activities sensitive to aircraft noise” and subject to the identified provisions.

7. BARNZ seeks that the relief sought be declined.

Alternative Dispute Resolution

8. BARNZ agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.



G K Chappell
Counsel for BARNZ

Dated this 9th day of July 2018

Address for service of BARNZ

Counsel:
Gill Chappell
Barrister
Vulcan Building Chambers
P O Box 3320
Shortland Street
Auckland 1140

Telephone: 09 3001259
Email: gkchappell@xtra.co.nz

TO: The Registrar, Environment Court

AND TO: The Appellant
The Respondent

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court Unit of the Department for Courts in Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch.