# IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT AT CHRISTCHURCH

## ENV-2021-CHC-022

## I MUA I TE KOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA OTAUTAHI ROHE

UNDER THE Resource Management Act 1991 ("RMA")

**IN THE MATTER OF** an appeal under clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 of the

RMA against decisions of the Queenstown Lake District Council on Stage 3 of the Queenstown

Lakes Proposed District Plan

BETWEEN MARC SCAIFE

Appellant

AND QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL

Respondent

# NOTICE OF MALAGHANS INVESTMENTS LIMITED'S WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

15 JUNE 2021

**TO:** The Registrar

Environment Court CHRISTCHURCH

By email: Christine.McKee@justice.govt.nz

AND TO: The Appellant

By email: marc@scaife.nz

**AND TO:** The Respondent

By email: <a href="mailto:dpappeals@gldc.govt.nz">dpappeals@gldc.govt.nz</a>

## Wish to be party

1. Malaghans Investments Limited ("s274 Party") wishes to be a party to the following proceedings ("Appeal"): ENV-2021-CHC-022

#### Interest

- 2. The s274 Party:
  - (a) made a submission on the subject matter to which the Appeal relates; and
  - (b) also has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has because the Appeal may impact on its interests.

## No prohibited trade competition purposes

3. The s274 Party is not a trade competitor for the purposes of Section 308D of the Act.

## **Extent of interest**

- 4. The s274 Party has an interest in all aspects of the Appeal, but, without limitation, has a particular interest in the text of Chapter 46 and any related District Wide or Strategic provisions, including the following matters raised in the Appeal:
  - (a) the appellant's assertion that notification and public participation was flawed.
  - (b) the appellant's issue with the widened scope of the RVZ;
  - (c) the appellant's assertion the RVZ is inconsistent with Part 2 of RMA including effects on amenity values, and the integrated management function of a local authority;
  - (d) the Appellant's assertion that the RVZ does not manage the Districts' Outstanding Natural Landscapes, including cumulative adverse effects; and
  - (e) the notification rules for restricted discretionary activities.

## Relief sought

5. The s274 Party opposes the relief sought in the Appeal, including because the relief sought fails to give effect to Part 2 and the relevant planning instruments in the hierarchy, except to the extent that it is consistent with the s274 Party's own appeal (including subject to any amendments or withdrawals).

## Mediation

6. The s274 Party agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceeding.

**DATED** 15 June 2021

J D K Gardner-Hopkins

Counsel for the s274 Party

The s274 Party's address for service is C/- James Gardner-Hopkins, Barrister, PO Box 25-160, Wellington 6011.

Documents for service on the s274 Party may be sent to that address for service or may be emailed to <a href="mailto:james@jghbarrister.com">james@jghbarrister.com</a>. Service by email is preferred, with receipt confirmed by return email.