In the Environment Court at Christchurch

ENV-2018-CHC-148

In the Matter

And

In the Matter

Between

And

of the Resource Management Act 1991

of an appeal under Clause 14(1), Schedule 1 of the Act

ALLENBY FARMS LIMITED

Appellant

QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL

Respondent

Notice of **Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited's** wish to be Party to Proceedings Dated: 10 July 2018

Lane Neave Level 1, 2 Memorial Street PO Box 701 Queenstown Solicitor Acting: Rebecca Wolt Email: Rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz Phone: 03 450 1365

lane neave.

- To: The Registrar Environment Court Christchurch
- Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited (QAC) wishes to be party to the following appeal on the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan – Stage 1 (Proposed Plan):

Allenby Farms Limited v Queenstown Lakes District Council (ENV-2018-CHC-148) (Appeal)

- 2. QAC made a submission and further submission about the subject matter of the Appeal proceedings. QAC also has an interest in the Appeal that is greater than the interest that the general public has as QAC is the operator of Queenstown and Wanaka Airports which have or may have infrastructure located within the District's landscape.
- QAC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (Act).
- 4. QAC is interested in part of the proceedings.
- 5. In particular, the parts of the Appeal QAC is interested in are:
 - (a) Issue 3.2.5;
 - (b) Objective 3.2.5.1;
 - (c) Policy 3.3.30; and
 - (d) Policy 6.3.12.
- 6. QAC is interested in the following particular issues:
 - (a) Ensuring the landscape provisions of the Proposed Plan better recognise the hierarchy and terminology set out in Part 2 of the Act and have better regard to the Proposed Regional Policy Statement.
 - (b) Ensuring the functional, technical, operational and/or safety related constraints of significant infrastructure, such as Queenstown and Wanaka Airports are recognised and provided for as such constraints may necessitate the location of Airport infrastructure in areas that are recognised for their landscape, amenity or significant natural values.

The adverse effects of such infrastructure cannot always be avoided, remedied or mitigated in these locations.

- 7. QAC **conditionally supports** the relief sought in the Appeal in relation to the provisions identified above for the reasons stated in and to the extent is consistent with the intent of QAC's original submission, its further submission and its notice of appeal dated 19 June 2018 (*ENV-CHC-2018-093*). QAC generally considers that the parts of the Appeal it conditionally supports:
 - (a) better recognise the hierarchy and terminology set out in Part 2 of the Act;
 - (b) have better regard to the Proposed Regional Policy Statement;
 - achieve integrated management of the effects, use, development or protection of land and associated natural and physical resources of the District;
 - (d) promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources;
 - (e) are the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act; and
 - (f) are otherwise in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Act, including Part 2.
- 8. QAC agrees to participate in mediation or other dispute resolution of the Appeal.

Dated this 10th day of July 2018

Rebecca Wolt/Sophie Reese Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited

Address for Service for the Appellant:

Lane Neave Level 1, 2 Memorial Street PO Box 701 Queenstown 9300 Phone: 03 450 1365 Email: Rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz

Contact person: Rebecca Wolt