

FORM 6: FURTHER SUBMISSION



IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON A NOTIFIED PLAN CHANGE. VARIATION OR PROPOSED POLICY STATEMENT

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

N	Name of submitter [full name]Graham & Lynne Sim			
F	URTHER SUBMISSION //	In support of (or opposition to) a submission on the following:		
VAR	RIATION TO THE QUEENSTOWN LAKES	S PROPOSED DISTICT PLAN - Te Ptahi Ladies Mile Zone		
IAM	[state whether you are]			
	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or	[in this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category]		
V	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has; or	[in this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category] Submission 107 has direct and adverse effects upon 75 Lower Shotover Road		
	The local authority for the relevant are	a.		



[Include: name and address of original submitter and submission number of original submission if available] Anna Hutchinson, Tim Hutchinson, and John Tavendale trustees et al.

C/o Werner Murray, Level 3 / Five Mile Centre, 36 Grant Road, Frankton, Queenstown 9371. Submission 107.



THE PARTICULAR PARTS // Of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

The Sim's are in general support of submission 107 and do not seek that submission 107 is rejected in its entirety. The Sim's opposition relates to the absence of a Landscape Buffer and Amenity Access Area along the common boundary with their property at 75 Lower Shotover Road.



THE REASONS // For my support (or opposition) are:

Idive reasons

Submission 107 seeks an extension of the residential zoning that enables the construction of residential buildings up to 8m in height and 2m from the common boundary. At a density of 1 unit per 450 square metres, the common boundary between 75 Lower Shotover Road and the zone extension could be occupied by a number of residential buildings. The residential standard recession plane control applies, yet this control relates to height in relation to boundaries within an residential zone and is not calibrated to address an designed urban / rural edge. As such, the zone extension results in a loss of rural amenity values on the property at 75 Lower Shotover Road and does not maintain or enhance the landscape or visual amenity values identified in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone.



I SEEK // That the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):

[give precise details]

- That structure plan proposed by submission 107 is revised to include a Landscape Buffer and 20m access amenity area along the common boundary between 75 Lower Shotover Road and the zone extension.
- The provisions recommended by submission 107 include a requirement that the Landscape Buffer along this boundary is to contain Evergreen species planted a minimum of 2m in height with the ability to grow up to 10m.
- The provisions recommended by submission 107 include a requirement that planting in the Landscape Buffer along this boundary is to be completed prior to the issue of any 224c.

I	~	wish /	do not wish*	to be heard in support of my further submission.
ı	V	will /	will not*	consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

^{*} Select one.



SIGNATURE

**Signature
[or person authorised to sign of the fifth of the

Date 08-08-23-

^{**} A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.



YOUR DETAILS // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by email

Electronic address for service of submitter [email]ngeddes@cfma.co.nz

Telephone [work]4416071 [home] [mobile]0210527311

Postal Address PO Box 553, Queenstown [or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act] Post code 9348

Contact person [name and designation, if applicable] Nick Geddes



NOTE // To person making further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- > it is frivolous or vexatious:
- > it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- > it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- > it contains offensive language:
- > it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.



