

**In the Environment Court
at Christchurch**

ENV-2018-CHC-151

In the Matter

of the Resource Management Act
1991

And

In the Matter

of an appeal under Clause 14(1),
Schedule 1 of the Act

Between

**NEW ZEALAND TUNGSTEN
MINING LIMITED**

Appellant

And

**QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT
COUNCIL**

Respondent

**Notice of **Queenstown Airport
Corporation Limited's** wish to be Party
to Proceedings**

Dated: 10 July 2018

Lane Neave
Level 1, 2 Memorial Street
PO Box 701
Queenstown
Solicitor Acting: Rebecca Wolt
Email: Rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz
Phone: 03 450 1365

lane neave.

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

1. Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited (**QAC**) wishes to be party to the following appeal on the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan – Stage 1 (**Proposed Plan**):

New Zealand Tungsten Mining Limited v Queenstown Lakes District Council (ENV-2018-CHC-151) (Appeal)

2. QAC made a submission and further submission about the subject matter of the Appeal. QAC also has an interest in the Appeal that is greater than the interest that the general public has because QAC is the operator of Queenstown and Wanaka Airports which have or may have infrastructure located within the District's Outstanding Natural Landscapes (**ONLs**) and on Outstanding Natural Features (**ONFs**).
3. QAC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**Act**).
4. QAC is interested in part of the Appeal.
5. In particular, the parts of the Appeal QAC is interested in are:
 - (a) Policy 6.3.12; and
 - (b) Assessment Matter 21.21.1.1.
6. QAC is interested in the following particular issues:
 - (a) Ensuring the landscape provisions better recognise the hierarchy and terminology set out in Part 2 of the Act and have better regard to the Proposed Regional Policy Statement.
 - (b) Ensuring the functional, technical, operational and/or safety related constraints of significant infrastructure, such as Queenstown and Wanaka Airports, are provided for as such constraints may necessitate the location of Airport infrastructure in ONLs and on ONFs. The adverse effects of such infrastructure cannot always be avoided, remedied or mitigated in these locations.

7. QAC **conditionally supports** the relief sought in the Appeal in relation to the provisions identified above for the reasons stated in and to the extent it is consistent with QAC's original submission, its further submission and its notice of appeal dated 19 June 2018 (*ENV-2018-CHC093*). QAC generally considers that the parts of the Appeal it conditionally supports:
- (a) better recognise the hierarchy and terminology set out in Part 2 of the Act;
 - (b) achieve integrated management of the effects, use, development or protection of land and associated natural and physical resources of the District;
 - (c) promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources;
 - (d) are the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act; and
 - (e) are otherwise in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Act, including Part 2.
8. QAC agrees to participate in mediation or other dispute resolution of the Appeal.

Dated this 10th day of July 2018



Rebecca Wolt/Sophie Reese

Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited

Address for Service for the Appellant:

Lane Neave
Level 1, 2 Memorial Street
PO Box 701
Queenstown 9300
Phone: 03 450 1365
Email: Rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz

Contact person: Rebecca Wolt