

**Before the Panel of Hearing Commissioners
For the Queenstown Lakes Proposed District Plan**

In the Matter of the Resource Management Act
1991

And

In the Matter of the Queenstown Lakes Proposed
District Plan - Stage 2

And

In the Matter of Hearing Stream 14 - Wakatipu
Basin

**Summary Statement of Evidence of
Tony Milne
for D Hamilton & L Hayden (Submitter
2422)**

Dated: 18 July 2018

1. My full name is Tony Douglas Milne. My qualifications and experience are set out in my Evidence in Chief.
2. This Summary of Evidence sets out the key points within my Evidence in Chief. I have also read the rebuttal evidence of Ms Bridget Gilbert and Mr Marcus Langman on behalf of Queenstown Lakes District Council.
3. The Landscape Character Unit (LCU) boundary, on Schedule 24.8 within the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan (PQLDP), and Precinct boundary line passes through the northern part of 76 Hunters Road in an east west alignment. The boundary line through the submitters' property does not follow any topographical feature and is an arbitrary line drawn as a means of connecting the centre lines of the more defined topographical pattern of the LCU 6 Wharehuanui Hills to the east and west.
4. In her rebuttal evidence Ms Gilbert, agrees only in part to the realignment of the Precinct boundary at its eastern end as it traverses the property at 76 Hunter Road. She also supports the removal of the Landscape Feature line coinciding with this aforementioned realignment¹.
5. In regards to the balance of the precinct boundary alignment, I consider the Precinct delineation by cadastral boundary along the northern boundary of 76 Hunter Road to be appropriate. I believe it is location specific and provides a defensible edge in regard to maintaining existing landscape character and amenity values associated with this Precinct boundary.
6. The Landscape Feature line on the planning map should be retained only for the central portion of the northern cadastral boundary of the submitters' property and aligned to the proposed Precinct boundary.
7. The Precinct boundary extending through the submitters' property should be amended to take in the entire property. It is my view that this would not compromise the landscape character and visual amenity values associated with LCU1 and experienced from Malaghans Valley.

Tony Milne

18 July 2018

¹ Rebuttal Evidence of Bridget Gilbert on behalf of QLDC, Page 4, Paragraph 3.6