

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plan Change 30

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Issue/ Category	Recommendation
30/1/1	Original Submitter: Branches Station Ltd	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/1/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/1/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/1/2	Original Submitter: Branches Station Ltd	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter’s concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, G4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept: to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/1/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/1/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/2/1	Original Submitter: Cardrona Developments Ltd	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/2/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/2/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/2/2	Original Submitter: Cardrona Developments Ltd	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter’s concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept: to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.

APPENDIX 2

30/2/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Lt	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/2/2/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/3/1	Original Submitter: Cardrona Landcare Inc	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/3/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/3/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/3/2	Original Submitter: Cardrona Landcare Inc	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter’s concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6,B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3,G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/3/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/3/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/4/1	Original Submitter: Cardrona Valley Residents and Ratepayers Society Inc	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/4/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/4/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/4/2	Original Submitter: Cardrona Valley Residents and Ratepayers Society Inc	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter’s concerns	G1	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/4/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/4/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/5/1	Original Submitter: Cattle Flat Station Ltd	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/5/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/5/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/5/2	Original Submitter: Cattle Flat Station Ltd	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter's concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/5/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/5/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/6/1	Original Submitter: Criffel Deer Farm Ltd	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/6/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/6/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/6/2	Original Submitter: Criffel Deer farm Ltd	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter's concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/6/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/6/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/7/1	Original Submitter: Glen Dene Ltd	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/7/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/7/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/7/2	Original Submitter: Glen Dene Ltd	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter's concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/7/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/7/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/8/1	Original Submitter: Hazeel Downs Partnership	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/8/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/8/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/8/2	Original Submitter: Hazeel Downs Partnership	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter's concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/8/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/8/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/9/1	Original Submitter:		That plan change 30 and the objectives,	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan

APPENDIX 2

	Jeremy Bell Investments Ltd, trading as Criffell Station	Oppose	policies and methods specified be withdrawn		change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/9/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/9/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/9/2	Original Submitter: Jeremy Bell Investments Ltd, trading as Criffell Station	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter’s concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/9/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/9/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/10/1	Original Submitter: Lake Landcare Inc	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/10/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/10/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/10/2	Original Submitter: Lake Landcare Inc	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter’s concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/10/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/10/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/11/1	Original Submitter: Little Bo Peep Sheep Company	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the

APPENDIX 2

			withdrawn		reasons given in the text above.
30/11/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/11/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/11/2	Original Submitter: Little Bo Peep Sheep Company	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter's concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/11/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/11/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/12/1	Original Submitter: Mt Creighton Joint Venture	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/12/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/12/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/12/2	Original Submitter: Mt Creighton Joint Venture	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter's concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/12/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/12/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/13/1	Original Submitter: P D Gordon Family Trust	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.

APPENDIX 2

30/13/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/13/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/13/2	Original Submitter: P D Gordon Family Trust	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter’s concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/13/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/13/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/14/1	Original Submitter: Pezaro Children’s Trust	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/14/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/14/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/14/2	Original Submitter: Pezaro Children’s Trust	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter’s concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/14/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/14/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/15/1	Original Submitter: Signature Investments Ltd	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/15/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/15/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/15/2	Original Submitter: Signature Investments Ltd	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter's concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/15/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/15/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/16/1	Original Submitter: Spotburn Station	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/16/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/16/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/16/2	Original Submitter: Spotburn Station	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter's concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/16/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/16/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/17/1	Original Submitter: TM & CM Scurr Ltd	Oppose	That plan change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/17/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/17/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/17/2	Original Submitter: TM & CM Scurr Ltd	Oppose	Alternatively any such alternate or consequential relief that addresses the Submitter’s concerns	A2, A3, A7, B1.1, B2, B3.2, B3.5, B3.6, B3.9, B4.1, C1, C2, E1, F, G2, G3, G4	Partly Accept: to the extent that a modified form of the Plan Change is recommended.
30/17/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/17/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)
30/18/1	Original Submitter: JP & BM Holdings Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn and/or rejected in its entirety.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/18/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/18/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/18/2	Original Submitter: JP & BM Holdings Ltd	Oppose	Delete policies 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.10 and 7.11	B3.2, B3.3, B3.4, B3.5, B3.6, B3.8, B3.9	Accept in part: but a modified version of policy 7.11 is recommended to be retained as policy 7.5.
30/18/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/18/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/18/3	Original Submitter: JP & BM Holdings Ltd	Oppose	Amend Policy 7.8 by deleting the words: within defined Urban Boundaries	B3.7	Accept in part: Policy 7.8 would then become repetitive of Policy 7.10 which is recommended to be retained as Policy 7.4. Policy 7.8 is recommended to be withdrawn.
30/18/3/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/18/3/2	Further Submitter:	Partly			Accept in part: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

	Remarkables Park Ltd	Support			
30/18/4	Original Submitter: JP & BM Holdings Ltd	Oppose	Delete the Definitions of Urban Growth and Urban Zones	E1, E2	Accept: We have recommended these be replaced by definitions of Urban Development and Urban Growth Boundary.
30/18/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/18/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/18/5	Original Submitter: JP & BM Holdings Ltd	Oppose	Delete the new assessment criteria introduced to Parts 2 and 8 of the District Plan	F	Accept: We recommend that these be deleted for reasons given in text above.
30/18/5/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/18/5/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/18/6	Original Submitter: JP & BM Holdings Ltd	Oppose	Make such further additional, amended or consequential changes to any relevant part of the District Plan as are considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission	A1, A2, A3, B3.5, C1, G2, G4	Accept: See simplified version in Appendix A.
30/18/6/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/18/6/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/19/1	Original Submitter: Platinum Estates Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn and/or rejected in its entirety	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/19/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/19/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/19/2	Original Submitter: Platinum Estates Ltd	Oppose	Delete policies 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.10 and 7.11	B3.2, B3.3, B3.4, B3.5, B3.6, B3.8, B.39	Accept in part: but a modified version of Policy 7.11 is recommended to be retained as Policy 7.5.
30/19/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/19/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/19/3	Original Submitter: Platinum Estates Ltd	Oppose	Amend policy 7.8 by deleting the words: within defined Urban Boundaries	B3.7	Accept in part: Policy 7.8 would then become repetitive of Policy 7.10 which is recommended to be retained as Policy 7.4. Policy 7.8 is recommended to be withdrawn.
30/19/3/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/19/3/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/19/4	Original Submitter: Platinum Estates Ltd	Oppose	Delete the Definitions of Urban Growth and Urban Zones	E1, E2	Accept: We have recommended these be replaced by definitions of Urban Development and Urban Growth Boundary.
30/19/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/19/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/19/5	Original Submitter: Platinum Estates Ltd	Oppose	Delete the new assessment criteria introduced to Parts 5 and 8 of the District Plan	F	Accept: We recommend that these be deleted for reasons given in text above.
30/19/5/1	Further Submitter:	Support			Accept in part: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

	East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd				
30/19/5/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/19/6	Original Submitter: Platinum Estates Ltd	Oppose	Make such further additional, amended or consequential changes to any relevant part of the District Plan as are considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission	A1, A2, A3, B3.5, C1 G2, G4	Accept: See simplified version in Appendix A.
30/19/6/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/19/6/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/20/1	Original Submitter: Shotover Design Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn and/or rejected in its entirety	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/20/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/20/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/20/2	Original Submitter: Shotover Design Ltd	Oppose	Delete policies 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.10 and 7.11	B3.2, B3.3, B3.4, B3.5, B3.6, B3.8, B.39	Accept in part: but a modified version of Policy 7.11 is recommended to be retained as Policy 7.5.
30/20/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/20/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/20/3	Original Submitter: Shotover Design Ltd	Oppose	Amend Policy 7.8 by deleting the words: within defined Urban Boundaries	B3.7	Accept in part: Policy 7.8 would then become repetitive of Policy 7.10 which is recommended to be retained as Policy 7.4. Policy 7.8 is recommended to be

APPENDIX 2

					withdrawn.
30/20/3/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/20/3/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/20/4	Original Submitter: Shotover Design Ltd	Oppose	Delete the Definitions of Urban Growth and Urban Zones	E1, E2	Accept: We have recommended these be replaced by definitions of Urban Development and Urban Growth Boundary.
30/20/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/20/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/20/5	Original Submitter: Shotover Design Ltd	Oppose	Delete the new assessment criteria introduced to Parts 5 and 8 of the District Plan	F	Accept: We recommend that these be deleted for reasons given above.
30/20/5/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/20/5/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/20/6	Original Submitter: Shotover Design Ltd	Oppose	Make such further additional, amended or consequential changes to any relevant part of the District Plan as are considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission	A1, A2, A3, B3.5, C1 G2, G4	Accept: See simplified version in Appendix A.
30/20/6/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/20/6/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/21/1	Original Submitter: The Station at Waitiri Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn and/or rejected in its entirety	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the

APPENDIX 2

					reasons given in the text above.
30/21/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/21/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/21/2	Original Submitter: The Station at Waitiri Ltd	Oppose	Delete policies 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.10 and 7.11	B3.2, B3.3, B3.4, B3.5, B3.6, B3.8, B.39	Accept in part: but a modified version of Policy 7.11 is recommended to be retained as Policy 7.5.
30/21/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/21/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/21/3	Original Submitter: The Station at Waitiri Ltd	Oppose	Amend policy 7.8 by deleting the words: within defined Urban Boundaries	B3.7	Accept in part: Policy 7.8 would then become repetitive of Policy 7.10 which is recommended to be retained as Policy 7.4. Policy 7.8 is recommended to be withdrawn.
30/21/3/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/21/3/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/21/4	Original Submitter: The Station at Waitiri Ltd	Oppose	Delete the Definitions of Urban Growth and Urban Zones	E1, E2	Accept: We have recommended these be replaced by definitions of Urban Development and Urban Growth Boundary.
30/21/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/21/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/21/5	Original Submitter: The Station at Waitiri Ltd	Oppose	Delete the new assessment criteria introduced to Parts 5 and 8 of the District Plan	F	Accept: We recommend that these be deleted for reasons given in text above.
30/21/5/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/21/5/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/21/6	Original Submitter: The Station at Waitiri Ltd	Oppose	Make such further additional, amended or consequential changes to any relevant part of the District Plan as are considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission	A1, A2, A3, B3.5, C1 G2, G4	Accept: See simplified version in Appendix A.
30/21/6/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/21/6/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/22/1	Original Submitter: Albion Trustee Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/22/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/22/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/22/2	Original Submitter: Albion Trustee Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/22/2/1	Further Submitter:	Support			Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

	East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd				
30/22/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/22/3 30/22/4	Original Submitter: Albion Trustee Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1 C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6, B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/22/3/1 30/22/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/22/3/2 30/22/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/23/1	Original Submitter: Arith Holdings Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/23/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/23/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/23/2	Original Submitter: Arith Holdings Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	F	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/23/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/23/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/23/3 30/23/4	Original Submitter: Arith Holdings Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1 C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6,	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.

APPENDIX 2

				B1.2, B3.1, G2	
30/23/3/1 30/23/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/23/3/2 30/23/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/23/5	Original Submitter: Arith Holdings Ltd	Oppose	That the Explanation and Principal Reasons for Adoption be amended to remove any reference to Jacks Point as a resort zone and to include the following:	C6	Accept: The reference is unnecessary.
30/23/5/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/23/5/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/23/6	Original Submitter: Arith Holdings Ltd	Oppose	That with the exception of Arrowtown, the settlements currently listed under the heading 'Local Centres' be relisted under a third category	B3.1	Reject: a formal hierarchy is not recommended.
30/23/6/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/23/6/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/24/1	Original Submitter: BNZL Properties Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/24/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/24/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/24/2	Original Submitter: BNZL Properties Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth

APPENDIX 2

					Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/24/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/24/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/24/3 30/24/4	Original Submitter: BNZL Properties Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/24/3/1 30/24/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/24/3/2 30/24/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/25/1	Original Submitter: Branches Station	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/25/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/25/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/25/2	Original Submitter: Branches Station	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/25/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/25/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/25/3 30/25/4	Original Submitter: Branches Station	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/25/3/1 30/25/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/25/3/2 30/25/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/26/1	Original Submitter: Bungy New Zealand Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/26/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/26/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/26/2	Original Submitter: Bungy New Zealand Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/26/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/26/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/26/3 30/26/4	Original Submitter: Bungy New Zealand Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/26/3/1 30/26/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/25/3/2 30/25/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/26/5	Original Submitter: Bungy New Zealand Ltd	Oppose	That the Plan Change 30 be amended so that tourism, commercial recreation and similar activities are excluded from the scope of the change	B3.5	Accept,
30/26/5/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept
30/26/5/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept
30/27/1	Original Submitter: DE Bunn and Co	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/27/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/27/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/27/2	Original Submitter: DE Bunn and Co	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/27/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/27/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/27/3 30/27/4	Original Submitter: DE Bun and Co	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.

APPENDIX 2

30/27/3/1 30/27/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/27/3/2 30/27/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/28/1	Original Submitter: Faulks Enterprises Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/28/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/28/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/28/2	Original Submitter: Faulks Enterprises Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change
30/28/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/28/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/28/3 30/28/4	Original Submitter: Faulks Enterprises Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/28/3/1 30/28/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/28/3/2 30/28/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/29/1	Original Submitter: Figrove Farm	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.

APPENDIX 2

30/29/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/29/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/29/2	Original Submitter: Figrove Farm	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change
30/29/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/29/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/29/3 30/29/4	Original Submitter: Figrove Farm	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/29/3/1 30/29/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/29/3/2 30/29/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/30/1	Original Submitter: FII Holdings	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/30/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/30/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/30/2	Original Submitter: FII Holdings	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a

APPENDIX 2

			Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration		need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/30/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/30/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/30/3 30/30/4	Original Submitter: FII Holdings	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/30/3/1 30/30/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/30/3/2 30/30/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/31/1	Original Submitter: Henley Downs Village Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/31/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/31/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/31/2	Original Submitter: Henley Downs Village Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/31/2/1	Further Submitter:	Support			Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

	East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd				
30/31/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/31/3 30/31/4	Original Submitter: Henley Downs Village Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/31/3/1 30/31/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/31/3/2 30/31/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/31/5	Original Submitter: Henley Downs Village Ltd	Oppose	That the Explanation and Principal Reasons for Adoption be amended to remove any reference to Jacks Point as a resort zone and to include the following	G1	Accept.
30/31/5/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept.
30/31/5/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept.
30/31/6	Original Submitter: Henley Downs Village Ltd	Oppose	That with the exception of Arrowtown, the settlements currently listed under the heading 'Local Centres' be relisted under a third category	B3.1	Reject: a formal hierarchy is not recommended.
30/31/6/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/31/6/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/32/1	Original Submitter: High Plains Wine Company	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/32/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/32/1/2	Further Submitter:	Partly			Accept: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

	Remarkables Park Ltd	Support			
30/32/2	Original Submitter: High Plains Wine Company	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/32/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/32/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/32/3 30/32/4	Original Submitter: High Plains Wine Company	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/32/3/1 30/32/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/32/3/2 30/32/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/32/5	Original Submitter: High Plains Wine Company	Oppose	That the Change be amended so that it has no effect upon land or activities within the Gibbston Character Zone	G8	Reject: it has no effect in any case.
30/32/5/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/32/5/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/33/1	Original Submitter: Highground Land Company Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/33/1/1	Further Submitter:	Support			Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

	East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd				
30/33/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/33/2	Original Submitter: Highground Land Company Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/33/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/33/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/33/3 30/33/4	Original Submitter: Highground Land Company Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/33/3/1 30/33/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/33/3/2 30/33/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/34/1	Original Submitter: Horrell, KH and EF	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/34/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/34/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/34/2	Original Submitter: Horrell, KJ and EF	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto

APPENDIX 2

			prepared to enable full and proper consideration		boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/34/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/34/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/34/3 30/34/4	Original Submitter: Horrell, KJ and EF	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/34/3/1 30/34/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/34/3/2 30/34/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/35/1	Original Submitter: Jacks Point Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/35/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/35/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/35/2	Original Submitter: Jacks Point Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/35/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/35/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/35/3 30/35/4	Original Submitter: Jacks Point Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/35/3/1 30/35/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/35/3/2 30/35/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/35/5	Original Submitter: Jacks Point Ltd	Oppose	That the Explanation and Principal reasons for Adoption be amended to remove any reference to Jacks Point as a resort zone and to including the following:	G8	Accept.
30/35/5/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept.
30/35/5/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept.
30/35/6	Original Submitter: Jacks Point Ltd	Oppose	That with the exception of Arrowtown, the settlements currently listed under the heading 'Local Centres' be relisted under a third category	B3.1	Reject: a formal hierarchy of settlements is not recommended.
30/35/6/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/35/6/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/36/1	Original Submitter: Jacks Point village Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/36/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/36/1/2	Further Submitter:	Partly			Accept: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

	Remarkables Park Ltd	Support			
30/36/2	Original Submitter: Jacks Point Village Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/36/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/36/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/36/3 30/36/4	Original Submitter: Jacks Point Village Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/36/3/1 30/36/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/36/3/2 30/36/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/36/5	Original Submitter: Jacks Point Village Ltd	Oppose	That the Explanation and Principal reasons for Adoption be amended to remove any reference to Jacks Point as a resort zone and to including the following:	G8	Accept.
30/36/5/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept.
30/36/5/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept.
30/36/6	Original Submitter: Jacks Point Village Ltd	Oppose	That with the exception of Arrowtown, the settlements currently listed under the heading 'Local Centres' be relisted under a third category	B3.1	Reject: a formal hierarchy of settlements is not recommended.

APPENDIX 2

30/36/6/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/36/6/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/37/1	Original Submitter: MacColl, D	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/37/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/37/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/37/2	Original Submitter: MacColl, D	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/37/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/37/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/37/3 30/37/4	Original Submitter: MacColl, D	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/37/3/1 30/37/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/37/3/2 30/37/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/38/1	Original Submitter: Morvern Ferry Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the

APPENDIX 2

					reasons given in the text above.
30/38/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/38/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/38/2	Original Submitter: Morvern Ferry Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/38/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/38/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/38/3 30/38/4	Original Submitter: Morvern Ferry Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/38/3/1 30/38/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/38/3/2 30/38/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/39/1	Original Submitter: Northridge Investments Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/39/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/39/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/39/2	Original Submitter: Northridge Investments Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/39/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/39/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/39/3 30/39/4	Original Submitter: Northridge Investments Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/39/3/1 30/39/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/39/3/2 30/39/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/40/1	Original Submitter: Parkins Bay Preserve Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/40/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/40/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/40/2	Original Submitter: Parkins Bay Preserve Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.

APPENDIX 2

30/40/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/40/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/40/3 30/40/4	Original Submitter: Parkins Bay Preserve Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/40/3/1 30/40/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/40/3/2 30/40/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/41/1	Original Submitter: Pelican Property Company	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/41/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/41/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/41/2	Original Submitter: Pelican Property Company	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/41/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/41/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/41/3 30/41/4	Original Submitter: Pelican Property Company	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4,	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been

APPENDIX 2

				E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	addressed.
30/41/3/1 30/41/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/41/3/2 30/41/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/42/1	Original Submitter: Queenstown Gravel Supplies Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/42/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/42/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/42/2	Original Submitter: Queenstown Gravel Supplies Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/42/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/42/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/42/3 30/42/4	Original Submitter: Queenstown Gravel Supplies Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/42/3/1 30/42/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/42/3/2 30/42/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/43/1	Original Submitter: Reavers (NZ) Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/43/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/43/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/43/2	Original Submitter: Reavers (NZ) Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/43/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/43/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/43/3 30/43/4	Original Submitter: Reavers (NZ) Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/43/3/1 30/43/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/43/3/2 30/43/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/44/1	Original Submitter: Receivers of Bob's Cove Developments Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/44/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/44/1/2	Further Submitter:	Partly			Accept: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

	Remarkables Park Ltd	Support			
30/44/2	Original Submitter: Receivers of Bob’s Cove Developments Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/44/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/44/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/44/3 30/44/4	Original Submitter: Receivers of Bob’s Cove Developments Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/44/3/1 30/44/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/44/3/2 30/44/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/44/5	Original Submitter: Receivers of Bob’s Cove Developments Ltd	Oppose	That the change be amended such that it does not apply to the Rural Residential Zones	G6	Reject: it does not apply – no amendment required.
30/44/5/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/44/5/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/45/1	Original Submitter: Receivers of Walter Peak Developments Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/45/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/45/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/45/2	Original Submitter: Receivers of Walter Peak Developments Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/45/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/45/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/45/3 30/45/4	Original Submitter: Receivers of Walter Peak Developments Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/45/3/1 30/45/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/45/3/2 30/45/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/46/1	Original Submitter: Rodwell, G	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/46/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/46/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/46/2	Original Submitter: Rodwell, G	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and

APPENDIX 2

			consideration		every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/46/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/46/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/46/3 30/46/4	Original Submitter: Rodwell, G	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/46/3/1 30/46/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/46/3/2 30/46/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/47/1	Original Submitter: Roman Catholic Bishop of the Diocese of Dunedin	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/47/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/47/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/47/2	Original Submitter: Roman Catholic Bishop of the Diocese of Dunedin	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/47/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/47/2/2	Further Submitter:	Partly			Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

	Remarkables Park Ltd	Support			
30/47/3 30/47/4	Original Submitter: Roman Catholic Bishop of the Diocese of Dunedin	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/47/3/1 30/47/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/47/3/2 30/47/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/48/1	Original Submitter: Royalburn Farming Company Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/48/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/48/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/48/2	Original Submitter: Royalburn Farming Company Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/48/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/48/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/48/3 30/48/4	Original Submitter: Royalburn Farming Company Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/48/3/1	Further Submitter:	Support			Accept: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/48/4/1	East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd				
30/48/3/2	Further Submitter:	Partly			Accept: (see above)
30/48/4/2	Remarkables Park Ltd	Support			
30/49/1	Original Submitter: Speargrass Farms Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/49/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/49/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/49/2	Original Submitter: Speargrass Farms Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/49/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/49/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/49/3 30/49/4	Original Submitter: Speargrass Farms Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/49/3/1 30/49/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/49/3/2 30/49/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/50/1	Original Submitter: Steve Rout Contracting Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.

APPENDIX 2

30/50/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/50/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/50/2	Original Submitter: Steve Rout Contracting Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/50/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/50/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/50/3 30/50/4	Original Submitter: Steve Rout Contracting Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/50/3/1 30/50/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/50/3/2 30/50/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/51/1	Original Submitter: The Carter Group Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/51/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/51/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/51/2	Original Submitter:	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be	B3.5	Reject: In the form

APPENDIX 2

	The Carter Group Ltd		deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration		recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/51/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/51/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/51/3 30/51/4	Original Submitter: The Carter Group Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/51/3/1 30/51/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/51/3/2 30/51/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/52/1	Original Submitter: The New Zealand Malt Whisky Company Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/52/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/52/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/52/2	Original Submitter: The New Zealand Malt Whisky Company Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.

APPENDIX 2

30/52/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/52/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/52/3 30/52/4	Original Submitter: The New Zealand Malt Whisky Company Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/52/3/1 30/52/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/52/3/2 30/52/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/52/2	Original Submitter: The New Zealand Malt Whisky Company Ltd	Oppose	That the Change be amended so that it has no effect upon land or activities within the Gibbston Character Zone	G8	Reject: It has no effect on the Gibbston Character Zone
30/52/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/52/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/53/1	Original Submitter: The Station at Waitiri Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/53/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/53/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/53/2	Original Submitter: The Station at Waitiri Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan

APPENDIX 2

					change.
30/53/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/53/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)
30/53/3 30/53/4	Original Submitter: The Station at Waitiri Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/53/3/1 30/53/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/53/3/2 30/53/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/54/1	Original Submitter: Treble Cone Investments Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/54/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/54/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/54/2	Original Submitter: Treble Cone Investments Ltd	Oppose	That consideration of Plan Change 30 be deferred until all of the particular Urban Growth Boundary plan changes are prepared to enable full and proper consideration	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/54/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/54/2/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/54/3 30/54/4	Original Submitter: Treble Cone Investments Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is fully amended to address the concerns expressed	A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.1, B3.2, B3.5, C1, C3, C4, E1, E2, F, G4, G5, G6 B1.2, B3.1, G2	Partly Accept: In modified form many of the concerns have been addressed.
30/54/3/1 30/54/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/54/3/2 30/54/4/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept: (see above)
30/55/1	Original Submitter: Flight, Nick and Tania	Partly Support	That the Plan Change be amended to resolve the concerns listed, then accepted	B3.2, B3.4, B.36, B.37. C4, C5, E1, E2	Partly Accept: (see recommended text, Appendix A)
30/55/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Partly Accept: (see above)
30/56/1	Original Submitter: Lewis, Toni	Partly Support	That the Plan Change be amended to resolve the concerns listed, then accepted	B3.2, B3.4, B.36, B.37. C4, C5, E1, E2	Partly Accept: (see recommended text, Appendix A)
30/56/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Partly Accept: (see above)
30/57/1	Original Submitter: Miles, Lisa	Partly Support	That the Plan Change be amended to resolve the concerns listed, then accepted	B3.2, B3.4, B.36, B.37. C4, C5, E1, E2	Partly Accept: (see recommended text, Appendix A)
30/57/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Partly Accept: (see above)
30/58/1	Original Submitter: Monk, Rebecca Kaye	Partly Support	That the Plan Change be amended to resolve the concerns listed, then accepted	B3.2, B3.4, B.36, B.37. C4, C5, E1, E2	Partly Accept: (see recommended text, Appendix A)
30/58/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Partly Accept: (see above)
30/59/1	Original Submitter:	Partly	That the Plan Change be amended to	B3.2, B3.4, B.36, B.37.	Partly Accept: (see

APPENDIX 2

	Monk, Sam	Support	resolve the concerns listed, then accepted	C4, C5, E1, E2	recommended text, Appendix A)
30/59/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Partly Accept: (see above)
30/60/1	Original Submitter: Adamson Family Ltd and R Monk	Partly Support	That the Plan Change be amended to resolve the concerns listed, then accepted	B3.2, B3.4, B.36, B.37. C4, C5, E1, E2	Partly Accept: (see recommended text, Appendix A)
30/60/1/1	Further Submitter: Mahon, Don & Judith	Support			Partly Accept: (see above)
30/60/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Partly Accept: (see above)
30/61/1	Original Submitter: Millbrook Country Club Ltd	Partly Support	That the Plan Change be amended to resolve the concerns listed, then accepted	B3.2, B3.4, B.36, B.37. C4, C5, E1, E2	Partly Accept: (see recommended text, Appendix A)
30/61/1/1	Further Submitter: Mahon, Don & Judith	Support			Partly Accept: (see above)
30/61/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Partly Accept: (see above)
30/62/1	Original Submitter: Mt Soho Trust	Partly Support	That the Plan Change be amended to resolve the concerns listed, then accepted	B3.2, B3.4, B.36, B.37. C4, C5, E1, E2	Partly Accept: (see recommended text, Appendix A)
30/62/1/1	Further Submitter: Mahon, Don & Judith	Support			Partly Accept: (see above)
30/62/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Partly Accept: (see above)
30/63/1	Original Submitter: Glencoe Joint Venture Company	Oppose	Rework the definition of urban growth in order to control actual urban development in the Rural General Zone as opposed to capturing a range of appropriate no-urban activities	E1	Partly Accept: (see new definitions in Appendix A)
30/63/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/64/1	Original Submitter:	Oppose	Rework the definition of urban growth in	E1	Partly Accept: (see new

APPENDIX 2

	Morven Ferry Ltd		order to control actual urban development in the Rural General Zone as opposed to capturing a range of appropriate no-urban activities		definitions in Appendix A)
30/64/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/65/1	Original Submitter: Mt Christiana Ltd	Oppose	Rework the definition of urban growth in order to control actual urban development in the Rural General Zone as opposed to capturing a range of appropriate no-urban activities	E1	Partly Accept: (see new definitions in Appendix A)
30/65/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/66/1	Original Submitter: JF Investments Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change in its present form be withdrawn	G1	Accept: (see Appendix A)
30/66/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/66/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/67/1	Original Submitter: Little Stream Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change in its present form be withdrawn	G1	Accept: (see Appendix A)
30/67/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/67/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/68/1	Original Submitter: Mount Field Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change in its present form be withdrawn	G1	Accept: (see Appendix A)
30/68/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/68/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/69/1	Original Submitter: Quail Rise Estate Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change in its present form be withdrawn	G1	Accept: (see Appendix A)
30/69/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/69/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/70/1	Original Submitter: Woodlot Properties Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change in its present form be withdrawn	G1	Accept: (see Appendix A)
30/70/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/70/1/2	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/71/1	Original Submitter: Arcadian Triangle Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/71/2	Original Submitter: Arcadian Triangle Ltd	Oppose	That significant amendments be made to the PC30 provisions to address the concerns detailed and in particular that the proposed changes to Part 5 and Part 8 of the District Plan be cancelled or withdrawn	A, A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, B1.2, B1.3, B3.4, C4, E1, E2, F, G2, GE, G4, G6, G7	Partly Accept: (see Appendix A)
30/71/2/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Partly Accept: (see Appendix A)
30/72/1	Original Submitter: Arrowtown Promotions and Business Association	Support	That the plan change be adopted	G17	Partly Accept: (see Appendix A)
30/72/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Partly Reject: (see above)
30/73/1	Original Submitter:	Other	Neutral submission to be part of the Plan	G18	Accept.

APPENDIX 2

	Arrowtown Village Association Inc		Change process		
30/74/1	Original Submitter: Ayrburn Farm Estate Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 7.2	B3.2	Accept: (see Appendix A)
30/74/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see Appendix A)
30/74/2	Original Submitter: Ayrburn Farm Estate Ltd	Oppose	Alternatively reword policy 7.2: To achieve approximately two thirds of the District’s urban growth within the defined Area Centres ...	B3.2	Accept: to the extent that a formal statistical apportionment is not recommended.
30/74/3	Original Submitter: Ayrburn Farm Estate Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 7.6	B3.6	Accept: but a sequential approach could be adopted if an urban growth boundary is imposed.
30/74/4	Original Submitter: Ayrburn Farm Estate Ltd	Oppose	Delete implementation method i c)	B4.1	Accept: but this method may be adopted with any plan change to impose an urban growth boundary.
30/74/5	Original Submitter: Ayrburn Farm Estate Ltd	Oppose	That the assessment matters proposed for sections 5.4.2.3, 5.8.2 and 8.3.2 be deleted	F	Accept.
30/74/6	Original Submitter: Ayrburn Farm Estate Ltd	Oppose	That the decisions above are accepted and any other amendments are made to ensure Urban Boundaries do not stifle appropriate development elsewhere in the District.		Partly Accept: the recommended text in Appendix A addresses most concerns.
30/75/1	Original Submitter: Balogh, Eva	Oppose	Withdraw the plan change.		Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.

APPENDIX 2

30/75/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/76/1	Original Submitter: Blakely, Phillip	Support	Support the entire plan change.	G17	Partly Accept: to the extent that a modified form is recommended.
30/76/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/77/1	Original Submitter: Blennerhassett, Hamish	Oppose	The outer growth boundary for Wanaka should revert to include Waterfall Creek as part of the original 2020 three rivers urban boundary	G9	Accept in part: no de facto boundaries are recommended.
30/77/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept in part: (see above)
30/78/1	Original Submitter: Blennerhassett, John	Oppose	The outer growth boundary for Wanaka should be restored to Waterfall Creek.	G9	Accept in part: no de facto boundaries are recommended.
30/78/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept in part: (see above)
30/79/1	Original Submitter: Blennerhassett, John and Jill	Oppose	The western boundary for Wanaka should be amended as bordering Waterfall Creek, entirely to its discharge into Lake Wanaka	G9	Accept in part: no de facto boundaries are recommended.
30/79/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept in part: (see above)
30/80/1	Original Submitter: Davis, Glen and Gemma	Support	That the definition of urban zones remain as notified in regard to exclusion of rural residential and rural lifestyle and that there is clarification as to whether this would enable the Council to turn down applications for an activity (such as a school) which would be defined as urban growth, and any other consequential amendments required	E2	Accept in part: to the extent that new definitions are recommended.
30/80/1	Further Submitter:	Oppose			Reject in part: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

	Remarkables Park Ltd				
30/81/1	Original Submitter: Federated Farmers	Oppose	Plan Change 30 is rejected.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/81/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/81/2	Original Submitter: Federated Farmers	Oppose	If the plan change is adopted it should be limited to scope to urban areas only and any reference to rural development/activity/subdivision be deleted.	G6	Accept in part: but it is concerned with preventing urban development from encroaching into rural areas in an unplanned way.
30/81/3	Original Submitter: Federated Farmers	Oppose	Reword: to enable local economic and social needs of rural communities to be met.	B3.3	Reject: (not relevant).
30/81/4	Original Submitter: Federated Farmers	Oppose	Reword: where there is an identified .. within higher order settlements land will be released beyond the identified urban boundary.	G1	Reject: (no longer relevant)
30/81/5	Original Submitter: Federated Farmers	Oppose	Add assessment matter: 7.11.12 The need to provide for sustainable rural communities.	B3.9	Reject: (not relevant).
30/81/6	Original Submitter: Federated Farmers	Partly Support	Add other method: (f) rural growth strategy – to provide for sustainable rural growth.	B4.1	Reject: (not relevant).
30/81/7	Original Submitter: Federated Farmers	Oppose	Reword: i.. enabling urban communities ii.. reducing the need to travel by enabling urban communities	C7	Reject: (alternative rewording recommended).

APPENDIX 2

30/81/8	Original Submitter: Federated Farmers	Partly Support	Reword: and make a positive contribution to the sustainability of urban communities and environment. Delete: Local centres.. needs of the local community.	C7	Reject: (alternative rewording recommended).
30/81/9	Original Submitter: Federated Farmers	Partly Support	Delete: xiv incremental release for development	D	Accept.
30/81/10	Original Submitter: Federated Farmers	Partly Support	Reword: A density of development – 5 dwellings or sections per hectare (sections of less than 2,000m ²). Delete: Urban Growth includes clusters of built development within a more extensive landscaped/open space.	F	Reject: (no longer relevant)
30/81/11	Original Submitter: Federated Farmers	Partly Support	Delete: A. Urban growth should only occur outside urban boundaries in exceptional circumstances. Add: iv. Enables sustainable rural communities Provides for the sustainable growth of rural communities while managing the effects of urban growth. Reword: B. iii Support a choice of urban travel modes (e) the extent to which the proposal avoids, remedies or mitigates (f) Delete all reference to preserve throughout 4 – replace with maintain vi should be avoid conflict with amenity values of adjacent activities not safeguard	F	Accept in part: to the extent that assessment matters are recommended to be excluded.
30/82/1	Original Submitter: Feehly, James J	Support	Support the plan change.	G17	Accept in part: (see Appendix A).
30/82/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Partly Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/83/1	Original Submitter: Griffin, John	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is withdrawn	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/83/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/83/2	Original Submitter: Griffin, John	Oppose	That the location of development should be assessed on it's own merits through an affects based approach.	G2	Reject: However, that would be applicable to any plan change application to extent an urban boundary.
30/84/1	Original Submitter: Guthrie, John	Oppose	Reject plan change 30.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/84/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/84/1	Original Submitter: Guthrie, John	Oppose	Reject plan change 30.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/85/1	Original Submitter: Hammond, Matthew	Partly Support	Amend the proposed new objectives, policies and assessment criteria to recognise existing entitlements and existing consented land use.	G7	Reject: This request is not specific enough to act upon.
30/85/2	Original Submitter: Hammond, Matthew	Partly Support	Amend the proposed new objectives, policies and assessment criteria to provide for modifications to existing consents or new consents which do not increase the envelope of effects beyond that already contemplated by existing consent entitlements.	G7	Reject: This request is not specific enough to act upon.

APPENDIX 2

30/85/3	Original Submitter: Hammond, Matthew	Partly Support	Amend the definition of urban growth so to ensure that the only land use activities captured by this definition can reasonably be considered as urban land use activities.	G1	Partly Accept: see new definitions or urban development.
30/85/4	Original Submitter: Hammond, Matthew	Partly Support	Make all necessary changes to address concerns over existing consented and reasonably contemplated land use activities to ensure that they are not unduly hindered by urban boundaries	G7	Reject: This request is not specific enough to be able to be put into effect.
30/85/5	Original Submitter: Hammond, Matthew	Partly Support	Any other relief to address the matters raised in this submission.		Reject: (as above).
30/86/1	Original Submitter: Hanan, Elizabeth (Dame)	Partly Support	Manage scale and location of growth and prevent urban sprawl over the whole basin.	G6	Partly Accept: to the extent that urban growth boundaries are a valid method of controlling urban growth and a policy base is needed for their imposition when needed.
30/86/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/86/2	Original Submitter: Hanan, Elizabeth (Dame)	Partly Support	Protect rural landscapes.	G6	Partly Accept: one of the purposes of imposing an urban growth boundary is to protect rural landscapes.
30/86/2/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/87/1	Original Submitter: Hanan, John Murray	Partly Support	Limit and tighten subdivision requirements.	G10	Reject: this request is not within the ambit of the Plan Change.
30/87/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/88/1	Original Submitter:	Oppose	That the proposed plan change be	G7	Accept in part: to the extent that

APPENDIX 2

	Hanan, Ralph		clarified as to how it affects current zoning.		a simplified version of the plan change is recommended.
30/88/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/89/1	Original Submitter: Jardine, DS and JF	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/89/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/90/1	Original Submitter: Keene, Murray A	Oppose	Oppose any change to the current boundaries.	G7	Reject: Not relevant to Plan Change 30.
30/90/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/91/1	Original Submitter: Kingston Village Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 7.2.	B3.2	Accept: although the recommended policy is that the majority of growth be accommodated in Wanaka and Queenstown.
30/91/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/91/2	Original Submitter: Kingston Village Ltd	Oppose	Alternative reword Policy 7.2 To achieve approximately two thirds of the District's urban growth within the defined Area Centres...	B3.2	Partly Accept: to the extent that alternative wording has been recommended.
30/91/3	Original Submitter: Kingston Village Ltd	Oppose	Amend Policy 7.5 to enable the de facto boundary to include a rural lifestyle buffer zone between the urban and rural areas as envisaged within the Kingston 2020 Community document.	B3.5	Reject: we recommend that de facto boundaries be removed from the plan change.

APPENDIX 2

30/91/4	Original Submitter: Kingston Village Ltd	Oppose	Alternatively define a separate urban boundary for the Kingston settlement.	B3.5	Reject: not within the ambit of the recommended version of the plan change.
30/91/5	Original Submitter: Kingston Village Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 7.6.	B3.6	Accept: but note that such sequential approaches could legitimately be part of a future plan change to create an urban growth boundary.
30/91/6	Original Submitter: Kingston Village Ltd	Oppose	Delete implementation method I c).	B4.1	Reject in part: (see above).
30/91/7	Original Submitter: Kingston Village Ltd	Oppose	Delete the assessment matters proposed for 5.2.3, 5.8.2 and 8.3.2.	F	Accept: breaches of any urban growth boundary cannot be anticipated in this way but note that de facto boundaries have not been accepted.
30/91/8	Original Submitter: Kingston Village Ltd	Oppose	That the relief sought is accepted.		Partly Accept: to the extent that the amended version (Appendix 1) will meet a number of concerns.
30/92/1	Original Submitter: Ladies Mile Partnership	Oppose	That the provisions of Plan Change 30 be withdrawn and/or rejected in their entirety.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/92/1/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/92/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/92/2	Original Submitter: Ladies Mile Partnership	Oppose	Modify Plan Change by: Deleting Policies 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.10 and	B3.4, B3.5, B3.6, B3.8, B3.9	Accept in part: but a modified version of Policy 7.11 is

APPENDIX 2

			7.11		recommended to be retained.
30/92/2/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/92/3	Original Submitter: Ladies Mile Partnership	Oppose	Amend Policy 7.8 by deleting: within defined urban boundaries.	B3.7	Accept in part: (see recommended rewording)
30/92/3/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/92/4	Original Submitter: Ladies Mile Partnership	Oppose	Delete definitions of Urban Growth and Urban Zones.	E1, E2	Accept: We have recommended these be replaced by definitions of Urban Development and Urban Growth Boundary.
30/92/4/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept in part: (see above)
30/92/5	Original Submitter: Ladies Mile Partnership	Oppose	Delete new assessment criteria introduced to Parts 5 and 8 of the District Plan.	F	Accept: We recommend that these be deleted for reasons given in text above.
30/92/5/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Accept: (see above)
30/92/6	Original Submitter: Ladies Mile Partnership	Oppose	Suspend the processing of Plan Change 30 so that the approach to identification of urban boundaries for any urban or local centre can be integrated with and considered in association with the urban boundary framework provisions.	B3.5	Reject: In the form recommended there will not be a need to defer. De facto boundaries will be removed and every new Urban Growth Boundary will be subject to a plan change.
30/92/6/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

30/92/7	Original Submitter: Ladies Mile Partnership	Oppose	Include Ladies Mile Partnership land within the Urban Growth Boundary as an extension of Lake Hayes Estate as shown on the plan provided.	G9	Reject: but note that de facto boundaries have been rejected.
30/92/7/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: (see above)
30/92/7/1	Further Submitter: Otago Regional Council	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/92/8	Original Submitter: Ladies Mile Partnership	Oppose	Make such further additional, amended or consequential changes to any relevant part of the District Plan as considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised.	A1, A2, A3, B1.2, B3.5, C1, G2, G4, G9	Reject: but note the significant changes recommended.
30/92/8/1	Further Submitter: East Wanaka Land Trust Ltd	Support			Reject: but see above.
30/93/1	Original Submitter: Lake McKay Station Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be withdrawn.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/93/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/94/1	Original Submitter: LongShot Ltd	Oppose	That provision be made for dirty, dusty, noisy industrial activities that no one wants as neighbours.	G1	Reject: but note the inclusion of reverse sensitivity.
30/94/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept:
30/94/1/2	Further Submitter: Wanaka Landfill Ltd and Maungatua Contracting (Wanaka) Ltd	Support			Reject: (but note above)
30/95/1	Original Submitter: McCulloch, Scott	Partly Support	Growth boundaries should consider the natural/greenbelt boundaries in place.	B3.9	Accept in part: to the extent that when urban growth boundaries are to be considered, landscape is a consideration.

APPENDIX 2

30/95/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept in part.
30/96/1	Original Submitter: McRae, Pamela Jane	Oppose	Give the plan change more consideration and a public forum so that questions can be answered.	G12	Partly Accept: to the extent that the public hearing of submissions has been of assistance.
30/96/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept.
30/97/1	Original Submitter: McRae, Robert Ian	Oppose	Not to proceed with the plan change in its present form.	G1	Accept in part: a new form is recommended in Appendix 1.
30/97/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept in part: (see above)
30/98/1	Original Submitter: Miles, Carl	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 be withdrawn.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/98/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept (see above)
30/99/1	Original Submitter: Ministry of Education	Other	Explicit inclusion of provision for education in Policy 7.11	B3.9	Reject: but this concern has been bypassed somewhat by the rejection of de facto boundaries.
30/99/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept (see above)
30/99/2	Original Submitter: Ministry of Education	Other	Exemption for education from Policy 7.5 so that development of schools in not restricted to the urban area of Frankton until an Urban Growth Boundary has been established.	B3.5	Reject: (see above).
30/100/1	Original Submitter: Mt Cardrona Station Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 and the objectives, policies and methods specified be	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the

APPENDIX 2

			withdrawn.		reasons given in the text above.
30/100/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept (see above)
30/100/2	Original Submitter: Mt Cardrona Station Ltd	Oppose	That the Mount Cardrona Station Special Zone to be added to the list of Local Centres and for provision to be made to allow minor adjustments of the structure plan boundaries.	G1	Reject: these provisions are recommended to be withdrawn.
30/101/1	Original Submitter: New Zealand Historic Places Trust	Partly Support	That the plan change be adopted subject to Assessment Criteria 4 xxx(e)(i) being amended to read: (i) preserve or enhance natural resources (soil, minerals, air and water) landscapes, ecological habitats, historic heritage as defined in Section 2 (1) of the Resource Management Act 1991, cultural features and reserves.	F	Accept in part: but the assessment matters are recommended to be removed because a breach of any urban growth boundary is not to be anticipated.
30/101/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/101/2	Original Submitter: New Zealand Historic Places Trust	Partly Support	Provide a clear definition of town cramming.	C4	Reject: this term is not to be included.
30/102/1	Original Submitter: Newbold, Peter Eric	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 is withdrawn.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/102/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/103/1	Original Submitter: Newman, Richard	Oppose	Abolish Plan Change 30.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.

APPENDIX 2

30/103/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/104/1	Original Submitter: Nugent, Denis	Partly Support	Include a policy under Objective 1, section 4.9.3: To provide for growth predominantly in or adjoining existing urban settlements avoiding areas of high landscape or ecological value.	B3.10	Accept in part: to the extent that these matters are to be considered when defining an urban growth boundary through a plan change and see amended Objective 7.
30/104/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/104/2	Original Submitter: Nugent, Denis	Partly Support	Insert a new method under Objective 1, section 4.9.3: Apply urban boundaries to the District’s settlements to discourage growth that would adversely affect the District’s natural environment and landscape values.	B4.1	Accept in part: (see above).
30/104/3	Original Submitter: Nugent, Denis	Partly Support	Under Objective 7 insert a policy or policies requiring structure planning of new areas that are to be incorporated into urban boundaries.	B3.10	Accept in part: included as an “other method”.
30/104/4	Original Submitter: Nugent, Denis	Partly Support	In the explanation and reasons under Objective 7 give more emphasis to the value of urban boundaries in retaining rural areas with associated landscape, recreational and amenity values.	C8	Accept in part: (see recommended changes)
30/104/5	Original Submitter: Nugent, Denis	Partly Support	Note within the explanation and reasons under Objective 7 that land within urban boundaries may also be retained for protection purposes.	C8	Accept in part: (see recommended changes).
30/104/6	Original Submitter: Nugent, Denis	Partly Support	Include in the environmental results: Protection of the visual and open space amenity values of the rural areas of the	D	Accept: (see recommended changes).

APPENDIX 2

			District.		
30/104/7	Original Submitter: Nugent, Denis	Partly Support	Such other amendments as will give effect to the submission.		Partly accept.
30/105/1	Original Submitter: NZ Transport Agency	Support	That the proposed plan change be accepted in its entirety, subject to considering the decisions requested, or similar outcomes.	G17	Partly accept: to the extent that a simplified version is retained (see Appendix 1).
30/105/5/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Support			Accept:
30/105/2	Original Submitter: NZ Transport Agency	Partly Support	That 8.3.2 xxx a) be amended to read: The extent to which the proposal helps to meet the identified local needs of established settlements/township including where appropriate, the relevant Growth Management Strategy.	F	Reject: assessment matters are not recommended to be retained.
30/105/3	Original Submitter: NZ Transport Agency	Partly Support	That a new matter be incorporated to read (subject to refinement): The extent to which a proposal provides for, or will promote, mechanisms to manage demand to travel, or will utilize and/or capitalize on existing mechanisms to manage demand to travel. In considering whether the potential effects of proposals for urban growth are minor Council should be satisfied that the proposal will: (i) improve the ability to undertake multi-purpose trips to destination nodes (ii) reduces the distances that need to be travelled in order to reach destination nodes (iii) supports a choice of travel modes that prioritises walking, cycling and public transport	F	Partly Accept: but no assessment matters are recommended. However, when urban growth boundaries are to be considered the efficient use of transport infrastructure is a recommended policy to be addressed.

APPENDIX 2

			(iv) capitalizes on and/or establishes opportunities for destination nodes to provide access to a comprehensive mix of goods, services and activities		
30/106/1	Original Submitter: Orr, Maurice	Oppose	Abandon the plan change.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/106/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept: (see above)
30/107/1	Original Submitter: Otago Regional Council	Partly Support	That the following be added to Policy 7.11: a. Natural hazards b. Land contamination	B3.9	Partly Accept: these matters are generally included in recommended Policy 7.5.
30/107/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept.
30/107/2	Original Submitter: Otago Regional Council	Partly Support	That assessment criteria (ix) be amended to read: a. Avoid areas affected by natural hazards	F	Accept in part: but policy rather than assessment matters.
30/108/1	Original Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose	That the plan change be declined.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/108/2	Original Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose	That Plan Change 30 be placed on hold until Plan Changes 20 and 21 are ready for hearing. Plan Changes 30, 20 and 21 (and possibly 29) should then be heard together.	B3.5	Reject: there is no need for this if de facto boundaries are rejected.

APPENDIX 2

30/109/1	Original Submitter: Rippon Vineyard and Winery	Oppose	The Wanaka Urban Growth Boundary should be drawn as per the original public determination from the Wanaka 2020 workshops 24-28 May 2002. Lake Wanaka Urban Growth Boundary is defined by Lake Wanaka, Clutha River, Cardrona River, Alpha Face and Waterfall Creek.	G9	Reject: this would be the subject of a future plan change and de facto boundaries are recommended to be removed.
30/109/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Party Support			
30/109/2	Original Submitter: Rippon Vineyard and Winery	Oppose	That there be improved and efficient person to person dialogue with landowners and the ongoing support of land owners in maintaining existing and/or heritage land values.	G12	Partly Accept.
30/110/1	Original Submitter: Spary, Don	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn.	G1	Reject: but note changes Appendix 1.
30/110/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept (see above).
30/111/1	Original Submitter: Steck, Ervin	Oppose	Abandon Plan Change 30.	G1	Reject: but note changes Appendix 1.
30/111/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept (see above).
30/111/2	Original Submitter: Steck, Ervin	Oppose	That a new long-term approach be taken to identify future growth areas in stages up to 50 years ahead.	B3.4	Reject.: has merit but not relevant to this plan change.
30/112/1	Original Submitter: Swaine, Karen V	Partly Support	That the current draft of the plan change should be better articulated so that it is not so open to interpretation, ensuring that rural areas are not in a vulnerable position with regard to the District Plan.	G6	Partly Accept: a new version is recommended – Appendix 1.

APPENDIX 2

30/112/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Support			Accept (see above).
30/113/1	Original Submitter: Sweeney, Ken and Carol	Partly Support	That the Council assesses the merits of and makes additional provision to the policy framework for incorporation of a phased or deferred zone technique to provide clear direction for phased growth outside of the proposed urban growth boundaries.	G14	Partly Accept: to the extent that this technique is recognised in the policies.
30/113/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/114/1	Original Submitter: The Boxer Hill Trust	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/114/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Support			Accept (see above).
30/114/2	Original Submitter: The Boxer Hill Trust	Oppose	That the submitters' properties be included within the urban growth boundary on the relevant planning maps and provisions be included to enable growth and development in that area.	G9	Reject: If de facto boundaries are removed this is not appropriate for Plan Change 30.
30/114/3	Original Submitter: The Boxer Hill Trust	Oppose	All consequential relief necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject: (see above).
30/115/1	Original Submitter: Todd, Ian and Susan	Oppose	That the plan change be withdrawn.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/115/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept (see above).
30/116/1	Original Submitter: Transpower New Zealand Limited	Partly Support	Amend the plan change to ensure that the National policy Statement on	G14	Accept in part: to the extent that avoidance of disruption to

APPENDIX 2

			Electricity Transmission is given effect to: The sustainable management of the National Grid as a physical resource. Appropriate provision for the ongoing operation and maintenance of the network including ensuring that lines can be accessed. That the existing network can be upgraded in order to meet growth in energy demand. The protection of the existing network from issues of reverse sensitivity and the effects of other’s activities.		existing infrastructure and its efficient use are including in policy. However, assessment matters are recommended to be removed altogether.
30/116/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept.
30/116/2	Original Submitter: Transpower New Zealand Limited	Partly Support	Amend Policy 7.11 as follows: To take account of the following matters when defining urban boundaries:... The location of existing and future (known) transmission line corridors.	B3.9	Accept (see above).
30/116/3	Original Submitter: Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support	Retain paragraph vii without modification.	C	Reject: this paragraph is not recommended to be retained but the issues are covered elsewhere.
30/116/4	Original Submitter: Transpower New Zealand Limited	Partly Support	Add the following to Section 4.9.4, Environmental Results Anticipated: (x) Avoidance of encroachment and adverse effects on the existing high-voltage transmission lines.	D	Accept in part: adverse effects on existing infrastructure are to be avoided.
30/116/5	Original Submitter: Transpower New Zealand Limited	Partly Support	Make the following amendments to Section 4 (xxx) (g) (vi): In considering whether the potential effects of proposals for urban growth are minor Council should be satisfied that the proposal will:	F	Reject: this section is not recommended to be retained.

APPENDIX 2

			... (iv) avoid giving rise to reverse sensitivity issues (including any effects on regionally significant infrastructure). .. (x) Identify and provide for appropriate Transmission Corridors		
30/116/6	Original Submitter: Transpower New Zealand Limited	Partly Support	Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in these submissions.		Partly Accept.
30/116/7	Original Submitter: Transpower New Zealand Limited	Partly Support	Any other such relief as to give effect to this submission.		Partly Accept.
30/117/1	Original Submitter: Waitipu Ltd	Oppose	That the provisions of Plan Change 30 be withdrawn and/or rejected in their entirety.	G1	Reject: In modified form the plan change should be retained for the reasons given in the text above.
30/117/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept.
30/117/2	Original Submitter: Waitipu Ltd	Oppose	Alternatively – That the definition of Urban growth be deleted or otherwise amended to exclude community related activities and projects including any related buildings, parking and access that might be captured by the new definition.	E1	Reject: but see new definitions of Urban Development and Urban Growth Boundary.
30/117/2/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept.
30/117/3	Original Submitter: Waitipu Ltd	Oppose	Make such further additional, amended or consequential changes to any relevant part of the District Plan as are necessary to address the issues and concerns raised: Eastburn Station has been working	G15	Partly Accept: the recommended changes ensure that Plan Change 30 is no obstacle.

APPENDIX 2

			alongside Council to implement two community related projects by the Crown Range and Cardrona Valley Roads. Concerned that Plan Change 30 will be a significant obstacle for the implementation of these projects.		
30/117/3/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject.
30/118/1	Original Submitter: Wanaka Landfill Limited and Maungatua Contracting (Wanaka) Limited	Oppose	Identify more clearly the criteria for defining urban boundaries and formulate them with regard to appropriate objectives and policies.	G15	Partly Accept: see new version of Plan Change 30 – Appendix 1.
30/118/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept.
30/118/2	Original Submitter: Wanaka Landfill Limited and Maungatua Contracting (Wanaka) Limited	Oppose	Define the urban boundaries with proper regard to the need for all land use, rather than predominantly residential.	G11	Accept in part: to the extent that reverse sensitivity issues in relation to rural based activity are to be taken into account.
30/118/2/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept.
30/118/3	Original Submitter: Wanaka Landfill Limited and Maungatua Contracting (Wanaka) Limited	Oppose	Identify in the plan change areas of existing and future industrial use and identify objectives, policies and methods to protect those areas from reverse sensitivity effects.	G11	Partly accept: (see above).
30/118/3/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept.
30/119/1	Original Submitter: Wanaka Station Trust (Mills Sub Trust)	Oppose	Withdraw the entire plan change until such time as proposed urban growth boundaries are mapped and are able to be considered alongside the proposed District Plan provisions.	B3.5	Reject: this is not necessary if de facto boundaries are not included.
30/119/1/1	Further Submitter:	Partly			Reject: (see above)

APPENDIX 2

	Remarkables Park Ltd	Support			
30/119/2	Original Submitter: Wanaka Station Trust (Mi8lls Sub Trust)	Oppose	Alternatively, such amendments to proposed Plan Change 30 provisions as adequately address the submitter’s concerns	B1.2, B3.5, G9, G12, G16	Partly accept: to the extent that de facto boundaries are not included.
30/119/2/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Partly Support			Accept.
30/120/1	Original Submitter: Willowbridge Developments Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 7.2.	B3.2	Accept in part: see modified form – Appendix 1.
30/120/1/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/120/2	Original Submitter: Willowbridge Developments Ltd	Oppose	Alternatively Reword Policy 7.2: To achieve approximately two thirds of the District’s urban growth within the defined Area Centres...	B3.2	Accept in part: see modified form – Appendix 1.
30/120/2/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/120/3	Original Submitter: Willowbridge Developments Ltd	Partly Support	Reword Policy 7.5: To use Urban Boundaries to define the spatial parameters of urban growth and indicate this on the Planning Maps. Where detailed Urban Boundaries have not been defined for those settlements within the settlement hierarchy, to use the outer extremity of the settlement’s existing urban or rural residential zones as the de facto boundary.	B3.5	Reject: not required with amended plan change.
30/120/3/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept.
30/120/4	Original Submitter: Willowbridge Developments Ltd	Oppose	That Policy 7.6 is deleted.	B3.6	Accept: but this technique is anticipated when urban growth boundaries are being considered.

APPENDIX 2

30/120/4/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept in part: (see above)
30/120/5	Original Submitter: Willowbridge Developments Ltd	Oppose	That implementation method i c) is deleted.	B4.1	Accept: but see above.
30/120/5/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Accept in part: (see above)
30/120/6	Original Submitter: Willowbridge Developments Ltd	Oppose	That the assessment matters proposed for sections 5.4.2.3, 5.8.2 and 8.3.2 be deleted.	F	Accept: assessment matters for breaches of urban growth boundaries are not appropriate.
30/120/6/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			Reject: (see above)
30/120/7	Original Submitter: Willowbridge Developments Ltd	Oppose	That the relief sought is accepted.		Partly Accept.
30/120/6/1	Further Submitter: Remarkables Park Ltd	Oppose			