

## FORM 6: FURTHER SUBMISSION

IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON A NOTIFIED PLAN CHANGE, VARIATION OR PROPOSED POLICY STATEMENT QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

1	FURTHER SUBMISSION //	In support of (or opposition to) a submission on the following:
Те	Putahi Ladies Mile plan variation dated 27	7 April 2023
IAN	I [state whether you are]	
	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or	[in this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category
$\checkmark$	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has; or	[in this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category Koko Ridge Ltd is owner of land within the land subject of variation proposa
	The local authority for the relevant are	
[	SUPPORT (OR OPPOSE)	<i>II</i> The submission of: er and submission number of original submission if available]
[	SUPPORT (OR OPPOSE)	<i>II</i> The submission of: er and submission number of original submission if available]
	SUPPORT (OR OPPOSE)	<i>II</i> The submission of: er and submission number of original submission if available]
[   pa	SUPPORT (OR OPPOSE)	<i>II</i> The submission of: er and submission number of original submission if available]

.

THE REASONS // For my support (or opposition) are:		
[give reasons] I oppose the submission for the reasons as set out in the attached submission.		
I SEEK // That the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or of [give precise details]	disallowed):	
I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed as set out in the attached submission	on form.	
I vish / do not wish*       to be heard in support of my further submission.         I will / vill / vill not*       consider presenting a joint case with others prese         • Select one.	nting similar sub	omissions.
SIGNATURE		
**Signature     .	D 516N ON E LTD	BIEHALF
Date 3 August-2023 ** A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.		
YOUR DETAILS // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by en	nail	
Electronic address for service of submitter [email] kristy.rusher@awslegal.co.nz	nan	
Telephone [work] 03 211 9036 DDI [home]	[mobile] 021 40	0 133
Postal Address     AWS Legal       [or alternative method of service 80 Don Street under section 352 of the Act]     Invercargill		Post code 9840
Contact person [name and designation, if applicable] Kristy Rusher, solicitor for Koko Ridge	e Limited	
<b>NOTE</b> // To person making further submission		
A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter v served on the local authority.	within 5 worki	ng days after it is

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- > it is frivolous or vexatious:
- > it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- > it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- > it contains offensive language:
- > it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.





Queenstown Lakes District Council Private Bag 50072, Queenstown 9348 Gorge Road, Queenstown 9300 P: 03 441 0499 E: services@qldc.govt.nz www.qldc.govt.nz



Partners / Norman Elder / Andrew Hitchcock / Anne Henderson David Gibson / Toni Green / Damien Pine / Chris Peddie / Aaron Drake Kasey Templer / Rachel Lindsay / Cameron Murphy / Chris Menzies Mary Flannery / Shauna Nicol / Trudy Conway / Haydon Cunninghame Jenny Denley / Tim Marshall / Eugene Roud / Alan Harper (Consultant)

130

Ref: Kristy Rusher Email: Kristy.Rusher@awslegal.co.nz

3 August 2023

Queenstown Lakes District Council

By email: pdpsubmission@gldc.govt.nz

Dear Sir/Madam

## FURTHER SUBMISSION BY WAYNE FOLEY AND KOKO RIDGE LIMITED

Wayne Foley and Koko Ridge Ltd (the Submitter) made submissions (OS80.1-OS80.19) on the proposed variation to the Queenstown-Lakes District plan Te Putahi Ladies Mile Masterplan (Variation 1).

This is a further submission by the Submitter on Variation 1.

The Submitter's further submissions, reasons for submissions and decision sought are set out in appendix 1 attached.

The relief sought by the submitters with respect to the further submission is also set out in Appendix 1 attached, and:

- Will achieve the purpose and principles of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- Promotes the sustainable management of resources
- Represents the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives and policies of the Queenstown-Lakes District plan.
- Will enable the integrated use, management and development of land.
- Will give effect to the national policy statement on urban development.

The Submitter wishes to be heard and support of this further submission and will not consider presenting a joint case with any other person with a similar or related interest.

AWS Legal

per

K L Rusher



Name of Original Submitter (and Submission Number)	Submission Point	Further Submitters' support/oppose	Reason for Further Submitters' support/opposition	Decision Sought by Further Submitters
Waka Kotahi (#104)	OS104.1	Support	Infrastructure that supports efficient networks and modal shifts are supported by the submitter.	Grant the relief
Waka Kotahi (#104)	OS104.3	Oppose	The submitter considers that the development of the zone should not be prevented by the construction or project parameters of Waka Kotahi, due to the considerable uncertainty of project approvals. Modal shift is available as a solution with existing infrastructure	Decline the relief.
Waka Kotahi (#104)	OS104.14	Oppose	The submitter considers that the development of the zone should not be prevented by the construction or project parameters of Waka Kotahi, due to the considerable uncertainty of project approvals. Modal shift is available as a solution with existing infrastructure.	Decline the relief.

Name of Original Submitter (and Submission Number)	Submission Point	Further Submitters' support/oppose	Reason for Further Submitters' support/opposition	Decision Sought by Further Submitters
Waka Kotahi (#104)	OS104.14	Oppose	The submitter considers that the development of the zone should not be prevented by the construction or project parameters of Waka Kotahi, due to the considerable uncertainty of project approvals. Modal shift is available as a solution with existing infrastructure.	Decline the relief.
Waka Kotahi (#104)	OS104.15	Support	The submitter considers that the rule does not need to specify whether a crossing is provided for above or below the level of the State Highway. This rule should be drafted in a manner that provides flexibility for crossing the State Highway to the extent that it is consistent with the submitter's outcomes sought.	Decline the relief.

Name of Original Submitter (and Submission Number)	Submission Point	Further Submitters' support/oppose	Reason for Further Submitters' support/opposition	Decision Sought by Further Submitters
Waka Kotahi (#104)	OS104.20	Support	The submitter considers that the rule should provide for the structure plan being an indicative outline so that improvements to the structure plan can be made.	Grant the relief
Waka Kotahi (#104)	OS104.26	Support	The submitter seeks to be involved in any future process assessing and determining the parking provisions, particularly as they concern residential parking areas and provision for boats, caravans and other vehicles.	with the submitter's
Waka Kotahi (#104)	OD104.33	Support	The submitter seeks that there are a variety of methods to provide for the efficient movement of people and vehicles.	extent that it is consistent with the submitter's