

In the Environment Court of New Zealand
Christchurch Registry

I Te Koti Taiao o Aotearoa
Ōtautahi Rohe

ENV-2018-CHC-000109

Under	the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)
In the matter of	an appeal under Clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 of the RMA in relation to the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan
Between	Homestead Bay Trustees Limited Appellant
And	Queenstown Lakes District Council Respondent

Notice of Jacks Point and others' wish to be party to proceedings pursuant to section 274 RMA

10 July 2018

Section 274 party's solicitors:

Maree Baker-Galloway | Rosie Hill
Anderson Lloyd
Level 2, 13 Camp Street, Queenstown 9300
PO Box 201, Queenstown 9348
DX Box ZP95010 Queenstown
p + 64 3 450 0700 | f + 64 3 450 0799
maree.baker-galloway@al.nz | rosie.hill@al.nz

**anderson
lloyd.**

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

1 Jacks Point Residential No.2 Ltd, Jacks Point Village Holdings Ltd, Jacks Point Developments Limited, Jacks Point Land Limited, Jacks Point Land No. 2 Limited, Jacks Point Management Limited, Henley Downs Land Holdings Ltd, Henley Downs Farms Holdings Ltd, Coneburn Preserve Holdings Limited, Willow Pond Farm Limited (**Jacks Point and others**) wish to be a party pursuant to section 274 of the RMA to the following proceedings:

Homestead Bay Trustees Limited v QLDC (ENV-2018-CHC-000109) being an appeal against decisions of Queenstown Lakes District Council on the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan (**PDP**).

2 Jacks Point and Others has an interest greater than the public generally because of the necessity to develop the Jacks Point Zone in an integrated and efficient manner.

3 Jacks Point and Others is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.

4 Jacks Point and Others is interested in all of the proceedings.

5 Without derogating from the generality of the above, Jacks Point and Others is interested in the following particular issues:

(a) Chapter 41 Jacks Point Zone

(b) Structure Plan – Homestead Bay R(HB) – D

(c) Planning Map 13 – Jacks Point zone and urban growth boundary

(i) Jacks Point and Others oppose the relief to the extent that it is inconsistent with the integrated development of the Jacks Point Zone.

(ii) In addition, there is no evidence establishing the viability of provision of services to the proposed expanded area of the Zone.

(iii) It is contrary to the zone purpose and the amenity of the Zone, to incorporate the airstrip into the Jacks Point Zone.

(iv) No appropriate mechanism has been proposed to manage amenity, traffic and transportation effects on Jacks Point.

- 6 Jacks Point and Others agree to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated this 10th day of July 2018

Maree Baker-Galloway

Maree Baker-Galloway/Rosie Hill
Counsel for the section 274 party

Address for service of person wishing to be a party

Anderson Lloyd

Level 2, 13 Camp Street

PO Box 201

Queenstown 9300

Phone: 03 450 0700 Fax: 03 450 0799

Email: maree.baker-galloway@al.nz | rosie.hill@al.nz

Contact persons: Maree Baker-Galloway | Rosie Hill

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Christchurch.