

In the Environment Court  
at Christchurch

ENV-2021-CHC-038

In the Matter of the Resource Management Act  
1991

And

In the Matter of an appeal under clause 14(1) of  
the First Schedule to the Act

Between CORBRIDGE ESTATES LIMITED  
PARTNERSHIP

Appellant

And QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT  
COUNCIL

Respondent

## Notice of Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited's wish to be Party to Proceedings

Dated: 16 June 2021

---

---

Counsel Acting | Rebecca Wolt  
Email | [rebecca@rebeccawolt.co.nz](mailto:rebecca@rebeccawolt.co.nz)  
Phone | 021 244 2950

**To:** The Registrar  
Environment Court  
Christchurch

1. Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited (**QAC**) wishes to be a party to the following appeal against the Respondent's decisions on the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan – Stages 3 and 3B (**Proposed Plan**).

(a) *Corbridge Estates Limited Partnership v Queenstown Lakes District Council*  
(ENV-2021-CHC-038) (**Appeal**)

2. QAC made a further submission (FS31054) about the subject matter of the Appeal.

3. QAC also has an interest in the Appeal that is greater than the interest the general public has as the operator of Wanaka Airport, which the Proposed Plan recognises as Regionally Significant Infrastructure. The relief sought in the Appeal relates to land in proximity to, and partly within the operative noise boundary for, Wanaka Airport, and may impact the Airport's ability to operate efficiently now and into the future.

4. QAC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308D of the Resource Management Act (**Act**).

5. QAC is interested in **all** the Appeal.

6. QAC is interested in the following particular issues:

(a) The rezoning of the land addressed by the Appeal, which is proximate to, partly within the operative Outer Control Boundary for, and under flights paths for aircraft using, Wanaka Airport;

(b) The proposal to facilitate or enable Activities Sensitive to Aircraft Noise (**ASAN**) within the new zone.

7. QAC **opposes** the relief sought in the Appeal for the reasons stated in its further submission and legal submissions presented at the first instance hearing in support of that. QAC is concerned that the relief:

- (a) may impose undue constraints on legitimate and necessary activities undertaken at Wanaka Airport, including the ongoing operation and maintenance of the Airport;
- (b) does not represent sound resource management practice, particularly in regards to planning for Regionally Significant Infrastructure, such as Wanaka Airport, in the District;
- (c) fails to achieve the functions of the Respondent under section 31 of the Act in regards to the integrated management of the effects of the use and development of land and physical resources;
- (d) does not represent efficient use of physical resources under section 7(b) of the Act;
- (e) does not promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources;
- (f) is otherwise not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan and, in turn, the purpose of the Act.

8. QAC agrees to participate in mediation or other dispute resolution of the Appeal.

Dated this 16th day of June 2021



---

Rebecca Wolt

Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited

**Address for Service for the Appellant:**

Rebecca Wolt

Barrister

Phone: 021 244 2950

Email: [rebecca@rebeccawolt.co.nz](mailto:rebecca@rebeccawolt.co.nz)