

**BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT  
AT CHRISTCHURCH  
I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA  
ŌTAUTAHI ROHE**

**ENV-2018-CHC-136**

---

**IN THE MATTER** of an appeal under Clause 14 of the First Schedule of the  
Resource Management Act 1991

**BETWEEN** **M & C BURGESS**

Appellant

**AND** **QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL**

Respondent

---

**NOTICE BY THE OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL OF PERSON'S WISH TO BE  
PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS**

***Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991***

**Dated 6 July 2018**

---

ROSS DOWLING MARQUET GRIFFIN  
SOLICITORS  
DUNEDIN

Telephone: (03) 477 8046  
Facsimile: (03) 477 6998  
PO Box 1144, DX YP80015

Solicitor: A J Logan

---

**NOTICE OF PERSON'S WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS**  
***Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991***

---

**To** The Registrar  
Environment Court  
Christchurch

1 The **OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL**, wishes to be a party to the following proceedings:

1.1 The appeal dated 19 June 2018 by M & C Burgess from the Queenstown Lakes District Council's decisions on the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan ("PDP").

2 The **OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL** is:

2.1 A local authority.

2.2 A person who made a submission on Chapter 3 Strategic Direction, Chapter 6 Landscapes and Rural Character, Chapter 21 Rural Zone and Chapter 27 Subdivision of the PDP.

3 The **OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL** is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C of the Resource Management Act 1991.

4 The **OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL** is interested in all of the proceedings.

5 Without derogating from paragraph 4, the **OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL** is particularly interested in the provisions listed in Appendix A of this appeal.


6 The **OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL** opposes the relief sought because—

6.1 The relief sought does not promote sustainable management and is contrary to Part 2 of the Act.

6.2 The relief sought fails to give effect to the relevant objectives and policies of the Operative Regional Policy Statement and the settled objectives and policies of the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement.

6.3 The appeal promotes an unjustified and inappropriate level of residential activity in rural areas.

- 6.4 The relief sought will fail to protect rural character landscapes.
- 6.5 The relief, if granted, will result in a loss of the values, including intrinsic values, of the rural zone and rural landscapes.
- 6.6 The relief, if granted, will result in incremental loss of rural character.
- 6.7 Granting the relief will promote rural fragmentation and urbanisation of rural land.
- 6.8 The PDP provides necessary and appropriate protections for rural land and landscapes.
- 6.9 The relief is contrary to the strategic direction of the PDP.
- 6.10 The PDP provisions better achieve the respondent's statutory obligations under the Resource Management Act.
- 7 The **OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL** agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.



A J Logan

Solicitor for the Otago Regional Council

Date: 6 July 2018

**Address for service of person wishing to be a party:**

Ross Dowling Marquet Griffin  
Solicitors  
50 Princes Street (PO Box 1144 or DX YP80015)  
Dunedin  
Telephone: (03) 951 2363  
Fax: (03) 477 6998  
Contact person: A J Logan  
Email: [alastair.logan@rossdowling.co.nz](mailto:alastair.logan@rossdowling.co.nz)