

In the Matter of the Resource Management Act
1991

And

In the Matter of an appeal under Clause 14(1),
Schedule 1 of the Act

Between **TRANSPower NEW ZEALAND
LIMITED**

Appellant

And **QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT
COUNCIL**

Respondent

**Notice of Queenstown Airport
Corporation Limited's wish to be Party
to Proceedings**

Dated: 10 July 2018

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

1. Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited (**QAC**) wishes to be party to the following appeal on the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan – Stage 1 (**Proposed Plan**):

Transpower New Zealand Limited v Queenstown Lakes District Council (ENV-2018-CHC-114) (Appeal)

2. QAC made a submission and further submission about the subject matter of the Appeal. QAC also has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has as QAC is the operator of Queenstown and Wanaka Airports, both of which are regionally significant infrastructure and are impacted by the provisions addressed by the Appeal.
3. QAC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**Act**).
4. QAC is interested in part of the proceedings.
5. In particular, the parts of the proceedings QAC is interested in are:
 - (a) Objective 3.2.2.1;
 - (b) Objective 3.2.5.1;
 - (c) Objective 3.2.5.2;
 - (d) Policy 3.3.30;
 - (e) Policy 3.3.32;
 - (f) Policy 3.3.25;
 - (g) Objective 4.2.2A;
 - (h) Policy 4.2.2.1;
 - (i) Policy 6.3.10;
 - (j) Policy 6.3.18;

- (k) Policy 6.3.26;
- (l) Policy 6.3.12;
- (m) Policy 6.3.19;
- (n) Policy 6.3.25;
- (o) Objective 7.2.6;
- (p) Objective 30.2.6;
- (q) Policy 30.2.6.1;
- (r) Objective 30.2.7;
- (s) Policy 30.2.7.1; and
- (t) Policy 30.2.7.4.

6. QAC is interested in the following particular issues:

- (a) Ensuring the landscape provisions better recognise the hierarchy and terminology set out in Part 2 of the Act and have better regard to the Proposed Regional Policy Statement.
- (b) Ensuring the functional, technical, operational and/or safety related constraints of significant infrastructure, such as Queenstown and Wanaka Airports, are provided for as such constraints may necessitate the location of significant infrastructure in areas that are recognised for their landscape, amenity or significant natural values. The adverse effects of such infrastructure cannot always be avoided, remedied or mitigated in these locations.

7. QAC **conditionally supports** the relief sought in the Appeal in relation to the provisions identified in paragraphs 5(a)-(s) above for the reasons stated in QAC's original submission, its further submission and its notice of appeal dated 19 June 2018 (*ENV-2018-CHC-093*). The provisions identified at paragraphs 5(a), 5(g)-(h) and 5(o)-(q), are conditionally supported to the extent that the relief sought in the Appeal is consistent with the intent of QAC's original submission, further submission and notice of appeal. The provisions identified at paragraphs 5(b)-(f), 5(i)-(n) and 5(r)-(s) are conditionally supported to the extent that the relief sought in the Appeal also

addresses, either expressly or impliedly (through reference to NPSET policies), similar issues to those identified by QAC in its submission, further submission and notice of appeal with respect to regionally significant infrastructure. QAC generally considers that the parts of the Appeal it conditionally supports:

- (a) better recognise the hierarchy and terminology set out in Part 2 of the Act;
 - (b) have better regard to the Proposed Regional Policy Statement;
 - (c) better recognise and provide for regionally significant infrastructure;
 - (d) achieve integrated management of the effects, use, development or protection of land and associated natural and physical resources of the District;
 - (e) promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources;
 - (f) are the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act; and
 - (g) are otherwise in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Act, including Part 2.
8. QAC **conditionally opposes** the relief sought in the Appeal in relation to the provision identified at paragraph 5(t) above for the reasons stated in and to the extent it is inconsistent with the intent of, its original submission, its further submission and its notice of appeal. QAC considers the decisions version of this policy is appropriate and is therefore concerned to ensure that any revised drafting, as sought by the Appeal, is consistent with QAC's interests.
9. QAC agrees to participate in mediation or other dispute resolution of the Appeal.

Dated this 10th day of July 2018



Rebecca Wolt/Sophie Reese

Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited

Address for Service for the Appellant:

Lane Neave
Level 1, 2 Memorial Street
PO Box 701
Queenstown 9300
Phone: 03 450 1365
Email: Rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz

Contact person: Rebecca Wolt