FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF OR IN OPPOSITION TO SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PLAN

PROPOSED QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

TO: Queenstown Lakes District Council ("Council")

SUBMITTER: Cardrona Village Ltd ("**Submitter**")

1. This is a further submission in opposition to submissions on the following proposed plan ("the proposal"):

Stage 3B of the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan ("PDP").

2. Cardrona Village Ltd is:

A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has as Cardrona Village Ltd is the owner or has an interest in a substantial land holding within the existing Rural Visitor Zone at Cardrona.

- 3. Cardrona Village Ltd opposes the submissions set out in the table attached.
- 4. The parts of the submissions that Cardrona Village Ltd opposes are set out in the table attached.
- 5. The reasons for Cardrona Village Ltd opposition are set out in the table attached, but in general, the decisions requested in the submissions:
 - (a) will not implement and / or give effect to the objectives, policies, and other provisions of the relevant planning instruments, including the other strategic and more specific provisions of the PDP, as well as the Regional Policy Statement; and
 - (b) are otherwise inconsistent with and / or will not achieve the purpose of the Act and the other provisions of Part 2 of the Act; and

- (c) fail to meet the requirements of section 32 of the Act.
- 6. Cardrona Village Ltd seeks that the whole of the submissions be disallowed.
- 7. Cardrona Village Ltd wishes to be heard in support of the further submissions.
- 8. If others make a similar submission Cardrona Village Ltd would consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

DATED 18th February 2020



Planning Consultant for the Submitter

Tim Grace:

The Submitter's address for service is:

C/- Lands and Survey (Auckland) Ltd

PO Box 33 917

Takapuna

Auckland 0740

Documents for service on the Submitter may be sent to that address for service or may be emailed to tim@landsandsurvey.co.nz. Service by email is preferred, with receipt confirmed by return email.

ATTACHMENT

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS IN SUPPORT OF OR IN OPPOSITION TO SUBMISSIONS ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

CARDRONA VILLAGE LTD

NAME OF SUBMITTER	SUBMISSION NUMBER	SUBMISSION POINT	SECTION OF PDP	DECISION REQUESTED	SUPPORT OR OPPOSE	REASONS
Ross Sanderson	31003	31003.1	2-Variation to	That the Cardrona Village	Oppose	The comprehensive
			Chapter 20 -	Character Guidelines limit		development that is intended for
			Cardrona	buildings to two storeys in		the Submitter's land in the future
			Settlement	height.		provides for a hub of three level
			Zone > 2.8-			hotels that are centred around
			Amendments			the intersection of Soho Street /
			to the			Rivergold Way. This is the most
			Cardrona			appropriate location for the
			Character			higher hotel buildings with the
			Guideline 2012			other visitor accommodation
						buildings and residential
						buildings that are intended for the

NAME OF SUBMITTER	SUBMISSION NUMBER	SUBMISSION POINT	SECTION OF PDP	DECISION REQUESTED	SUPPORT OR OPPOSE	REASONS
						area reducing in height as they
						move out towards the zone
						boundaries. A two-storey height
						restriction could significantly limit
						the provision of hotel buildings
						within the Commercial Precinct
						and / or the Visitor
						Accommodation Sub-zone. This
						would have significant costs in
						terms of the achievement of the
						objectives and policies for the
						Cardrona Settlement Zone as it
						may result in hotels not being
						feasible (due to the restrictions
						on the room numbers that can be
						achieved at this location as a
						result of the reduced height
						limits). This in turn would result in
						significant costs in terms of the
						ability of the Cardrona village to

NAME OF SUBMITTER	SUBMISSION NUMBER	SUBMISSION POINT	SECTION OF PDP	DECISION REQUESTED	SUPPORT OR OPPOSE	REASONS
						service the tourist attractions within the surrounding area.
Ross Sanderson	31003	31003.2	2-Variation to Chapter 20 - Cardrona Settlement Zone > 2.5- 20.5 Rules - Standards	That Rule 20.5.12.5 of Chapter 20 (Settlement Zone) be amended to remove or change the three storey height limit in the village of Cardrona.	Oppose	The comprehensive development that is intended for the Submitter's land in the future provides for a hub of three level hotels that are centred around the intersection of Soho Street / Rivergold Way. This is the most appropriate location for the higher hotel buildings with the other visitor accommodation buildings and residential buildings that are intended for the area reducing in height as they move out towards the zone boundaries. A two-storey height restriction could significantly limit the provision of hotel buildings within the Commercial Precinct

NAME OF SUBMITTER	SUBMISSION NUMBER	SUBMISSION POINT	SECTION OF PDP	DECISION REQUESTED	SUPPORT OR OPPOSE	REASONS
						and / or the Visitor
						Accommodation Sub-zone. This
						would have significant costs in
						terms of the achievement of the
						objectives and policies for the
						Cardrona Settlement Zone as it
						may result in hotels not being
						feasible (due to the restrictions on the room numbers that can be
						achieved at this location as a
						result of the reduced height
						limits). This in turn would result in
						significant costs in terms of the
						ability of the Cardrona village to
						service the tourist attractions
						within the surrounding area.
Heritage New	31011	31011.1 –	2-Variation to	That the proposed variation	Oppose	The Cardrona Village Character
Zealand		31011.2	Chapter 20 -	to Chapter 20 (Settlement		Guidelines were developed over
Pouhere			Cardrona	Zone) to provide for the		several years and 'finalised' in
Taonga				Cardrona Village Character		January 2012. The content of the

NAME OF SUBMITTER	SUBMISSION NUMBER	SUBMISSION POINT	SECTION OF PDP	DECISION REQUESTED	SUPPORT OR OPPOSE	REASONS
			Settlement	Guideline 2012 as a matter		Guidelines is therefore now
			Zone	to consider in the		essentially 10 years old and does
				consideration of certain		not reflect the natural and built
				types of development be		(including the unimplemented
				retained as notified.		resource consents that have not
				That the amendments to the		yet expired) character of the
				Cardrona Character		village that has evolved over the
				Guidelines 2012 be retained		last 10 years. Nor does the
				as notified.		Guideline reflect the current and
						evolving urban design,
						architecture and landscape
						expertise that has seen updates
						in the approach to development
						proposals for locations such as at
						Cardrona. The Submitter
						considers a review of the
						Guidelines is needed given the
						intention to apply a new
						Settlement zoning to the village
						to manage the use and

NAME OF SUBMITTER	SUBMISSION NUMBER	SUBMISSION POINT	SECTION OF PDP	DECISION REQUESTED	SUPPORT OR OPPOSE	REASONS
						development of land within the Cardrona Village into the future and the changes that have occurred within the village over the past 10 years. Until a review is completed it is not known whether the dated Guidelines are still appropriate to provide for design guidance within the village into the future. There is a good prospect that the Guidelines will need significant
						updating. As such, the Submitter is of the view that there should be no statutory requirement contained within the PDP to achieve consistency with the Guidelines until these Guidelines have been formally reviewed through a public process and

NAME OF SUBMITTER	SUBMISSION NUMBER	SUBMISSION POINT	SECTION OF PDP	DECISION REQUESTED	SUPPORT OR OPPOSE	REASONS
						deemed appropriate to achieve the design outcomes now desired for the Cardrona Village over the next 10 or so years.