# BEFORE THE HEARINGS PANEL FOR THE QUEENSTOWN LAKES PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

IN THE MATTER of the Resource

Management Act 1991

**AND** 

**IN THE MATTER** of Hearing Stream 03 –

Historic Heritage

chapter

# REPLY OF VICKI JONES ON BEHALF OF QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL

#### **26 HISTORIC HERITAGE CHAPTER**

6 July 2016



S J Scott

Telephone: +64-3-968 4018 Facsimile: +64-3-379 5023

Email: sarah.scott@simpsongrierson.com

PO Box 874
SOLICITORS

**CHRISTCHURCH 8140** 

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	INTRODUCTION	2
2.	THE PROHIBITED STATUS FOR THE DEMOLITION AND RELOCATION OF CATEGORITHMS BEYOND THE SITE	
3.	PERCENTAGE-BASED RULES RELATING TO DEMOLITION	5
4.	MANAGEMENT OF EFFECTS OF A BUILDING BEING DECONSTRUCTED TI	
5.	OTHER METHODS THAT COUNCIL EMPLOYS TO ENCOURAGE PRESERVATION HERITAGE, IN ADDITION TO REGULATION	
6.	EXTENT OF PLACE - INCLUDING THESE IN THE HERITAGE ASSESSMENTS AN RESPONSE TO EXTENTS OF PLACE SUGGESTED BY MILLBROOK COUNTRY CL	UB
7.	RELOCATION RULES AND POLICIES	8
8.	AMENDMENTS TO POLICIES TO ENSURE THAT APPLICANTS CONSIDER OPTIONS	
9.	RULES RELATING TO SCHEDULED ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES	10
10.	HERITAGE LANDSCAPE PROVISIONS	13
11.	THE DEFINITION OF CATEGORY 1 - 3 HERITAGE FEATURES	15
12.	THE DEFINITION AND/ OR USE OF THE TERM "ENHANCE"	15
13.	THE DEFINITION OF "HERITAGE FABRIC OR CHARACTERISTICS"	16
14.	THE ALTERATIONS TO THE FORMER METHODIST CHURCH (ITEM 251)	17
15.	SCOPE ISSUES	17
16.	POTENTIAL ERRORS, NEED FOR CLARIFICATION, AND MINOR AMENDMENTS THE PDP	
17.	CONCLUSION	20

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 My name is Vicki Jones. I prepared the section 42A report 2 June 2016 for the Historic Heritage Chapter of the Proposed District Plan (PDP). My qualifications and experience are listed in that s42A report.
- 1.2 I have reviewed the evidence filed by other expert witnesses on behalf of submitters, attended the whole of the hearing held 27 29 June 2016, and been provided with information from submitters and counsel at the hearing.
- **1.3** This reply evidence covers the following issues:
  - (a) the prohibited status for the demolition and relocation of Category 1 features beyond the site;
  - (b) the percentage-based rules relating to demolition and in particular, whether these percentages should be cumulative;
  - (c) clarification of how the rules would manage the effects of a building being deconstructed then reconstructed;
  - (d) clarification of the other methods that Council employs to encourage preservation of heritage, in addition to regulation, including whether the notified PDP includes any relaxation of other rules where they are applied to a heritage feature;
  - (e) extents of Place (EoP) the option of including EoPs in the heritage assessments and incorporating them into the PDP by way of reference. I also address the inclusion of EoPs for Millbrook.
  - (f) whether the relocation of buildings beyond the site should be a separate rule from demolition and whether the policies of relocation beyond and within the site can and should be better linked;
  - (g) the most appropriate method of ensuring that applicants are required to consider all options and to take the option that has the least effect on heritage wherever possible;

- (h) the archaeological rules in relation to whether they will result in unnecessary duplication and specifically responding to the panel's suggestion of including a rule similar to that being proposed for the SNA's; the panel's questions around the appropriateness of a rule managing the effects of the relocation of archaeological features; and the suggestion of defining archaeological sites in the PDP to include post 1900 sites/ features;
- (i) heritage landscape provisions, particularly in relation to the level of detail that is appropriate in the statement of significance and the listing of key features and whether the features need to be further defined/ narrowed as per New Zealand Tungsten Mining Limited's (NZTML) submission or retained as more general statements or even made more general / all encompassing, as per Mr Cawte's evidence;
- (j) the definition of category 1 -3 heritage features;
- (k) the definition and/ or use of the term "enhance";
- (I) the definition of "heritage fabric or characteristics";
- (m) acknowledgement of the recent alterations to the former Methodist church (item 251) in Council's assessment;
- (n) scope issues, including changing the rule relating to the interiors of Category 3 buildings; the relaxation of other rules such as density and parking; and the widespread permitted status sought in relation the Antrim Engines, slipway, and cradle (Item 3);
- (o) potential errors, points of clarification, and minor amendments in the PDP, including
  - (i) the listing of the Mill house (Item 76);
  - (ii) the mapping of the Kinross stone buildings (item 91);
  - (iii) the alignment of the heritage precinct in respect of the Pig and Whistle building;
  - (iv) the wording of Item 3; and

- (v) amending the structure of the chapter to better align with the rest of the PDP.
- 1.4 Where I am recommending changes to the provisions as a consequence of the hearing evidence, I have appended these as **Appendix 1** (Right of Reply (ROR) Revised Chapter). These changes include those that I accepted as part of my summary of evidence presented at the hearing on 27 June 2016 with the exception that, having listened to all the evidence presented by Mr Gary Gray on behalf of Tungsten Mining Limited I no longer consider it appropriate to state in the PDP that mining is "likely to" recommence (in response to Mr Carey Vivian's paragraph 4.65), but prefer the version included in Appendix 1 to the s 42A report.

# 2. THE PROHIBITED STATUS FOR THE DEMOLITION AND RELOCATION OF CATEGORY 1 FEATURES BEYOND THE SITE

- 2.1 The Panel enquired as to what extent s 32 analysis was undertaken in order to justify the prohibited status for the demolition (including "substantial removal" in the notified PDP) of Category 1 buildings (in Rule 26.6.9). In response I make the following comments:
  - (a) the activity status and the Category 1 features themselves were rolled over from the Operative District Plan (**ODP**);
  - (b) there was no detailed analysis of the options in relation to this particular rule in the s 32 report (refer Appendix 3 of the s 42A Report);
  - (c) pages 28 31 of the s 42A report:
    - (i) establish that no owner of a listed Category 1 feature has submitted on the PDP, but that Rule 26.6.9 has been submitted on, as a whole;
    - (ii) provides an assessment of the benefits of prohibited against non-complying status for the demolition of Category 1 features, and concludes that while prohibited status does raise some concerns, on balance, prohibited status is most appropriate.

(d) while the s 32AA report considers the appropriateness of the rule as a whole, (refer pages 5-6 of Appendix 4 of the s 42A Report) the part of the rule relating to Category 1 features is not thoroughly assessed in isolation due to having also dealt with the matter in the body of the s 42A report and due to the fact it did not appear to be the focus of any submission on the rule.

#### 3. PERCENTAGE-BASED RULES RELATING TO DEMOLITION

- 3.1 The Panel posed questions around whether the percentage-based rules relating to demolition should be cumulative. In response, I note that this matter was considered in the drafting of the revised chapter and the recommendation was made to include the following policies (now numbered 26.4.1.5(c).d. and 26.4.1.5(d) in Appendix 1)<sup>1</sup> in order to address this matter (emphasis added):
  - c) Avoid the partial demolition or destruction of Category 1 and 2 protected features wherever practical alternatives exist except that in the following circumstances mitigation or remediation of the effects may be appropriate, and the partial demolition or destruction of a protected feature may be acceptable:
    - a. Where it will avoid significant risk to safety and property or where it will reduce or remove a significant financial burden on the owner;
    - b. Where it will result in significant public benefit that could not otherwise be achieved and where that benefit is deemed to outweigh the loss in heritage values (as assessed in accordance with the criteria in section 26.6.28);
    - c. Where it is necessary to remove a significant amount of damaged fabric in order to ensure the conservation of the protected feature;
    - d. Where no adverse cumulative effects will result from approving successive partial demolitions or alterations.
  - d) Provide for the partial demolition of Category 3 protected features, on the condition that their heritage significance, including the cumulative effects on its significance, are not reduced.

-

These were numbered 26.5.1.5(c) and (d) (on page 26/5 of the s42A Appendix 1).

- 3.2 Cumulative effects are also considered in Policies 26.4.1.2 and 26.4.2.1 and included as a matter of discretion for Rules 26.6.9 and 26.6.9(i) of the revised chapter.
- 3.3 However, should the panel not consider this to be sufficient then, in respect of partial demolition I agree that it would provide a high level of certainty to state that the maximum extent of demolition which constitutes partial demolition (i.e. 69% of all heritage fabric) is cumulative and shall be measured from the date that the PDP is made operative. This change has been made to the revised chapter in **Appendix 1**. While this imposes some additional monitoring and enforcement issues, it will incur only a minor additional cost in that all alterations and partial demolition will require resource consent and that, regardless of a need to monitor incremental change, it is common practice to consider all previous approvals when processing a resource consent.

# 4. MANAGEMENT OF EFFECTS OF A BUILDING BEING DECONSTRUCTED THEN RECONSTRUCTED

Chapter would manage the effects of a building being deconstructed then reconstructed in generally the same place. Having liaised with Mr Richard Knott on this matter and studied the rules further, it is my opinion that the scenario outlined at the hearing by Commissioner Nixon would fall under the definition of total demolition and/ or relocation and is not something that should be encouraged. With reference to the ICOMOS Charter,<sup>2</sup> Mr Knott has advised that the demolition of a building and its rebuilding can significantly impact upon the authenticity and integrity of the building and would only, in very exceptional circumstances, be considered to be doing 'as little as possible' (referring to the definition of conservation in the ICOMOS Charter, in footnote 2).

The ICOMOS New Zealand Charter for the Conservation of Places of Cultural Heritage Value (Revised 2010) defines conservation as:

<sup>&</sup>quot;Conservation means all the processes of understanding and caring for a place so as to safeguard its cultural heritage value. Conservation is based on respect for the existing fabric, associations, meanings, and use of the place. It requires a cautious approach of doing as much work as necessary but as little as possible, and retaining authenticity and integrity, to ensure that the place and its values are passed on to future generations."

# 5. OTHER METHODS THAT COUNCIL EMPLOYS TO ENCOURAGE PRESERVATION OF HERITAGE, IN ADDITION TO REGULATION

- 5.1 The Council operates a heritage incentive grant scheme as outlined on page 44 of my s 42A report.
- Mr Barr has also advised me that the notified PDP does not currently include any relaxation of other rules where they are applied to a heritage feature (I have not had an opportunity to do a full review myself).
- 5.3 However, as the author of the PDP Queenstown Town Centre zone chapter, I can confirm that Policy 12.2.2.5<sup>3</sup> provides some dispensation in terms of the processing of an over-height building where the site also includes a heritage feature and that policy 12.2.4.2<sup>4</sup> will acknowledge that verandas may not be appropriate or necessary in applications involving a heritage building.
- Other than for subdivision, I do not consider there to be scope in the submissions made on the Historic Heritage Chapter to relax other District Plan rules as they apply to heritage items. Regardless, my opinion is that such incentives should be an integral part of the PDP.

# 6. EXTENT OF PLACE - INCLUDING THESE IN THE HERITAGE ASSESSMENTS AND A RESPONSE TO EXTENTS OF PLACE SUGGESTED BY MILLBROOK COUNTRY CLUB

6.1 The chapter would be more streamlined if the Extents of Place (**EoP**) were included in the Heritage Assessments and then incorporated into the PDP via reference. However, it is beyond the scope of this hearing to make such a recommendation as the assessments were not notified along with Stage 1 of the PDP, were not included in the s 32, and contain considerably greater detail than only the EoPs. Instead, I have recommended a solution that is within the scope of this hearing and which I consider to be more appropriate than the

7

<sup>&</sup>quot;12.2.5 Allow buildings to exceed the non-complying height standards only in situations where the proposed design is an example of design excellence and building height and bulk have been reduced elsewhere on the site in order to:

(a) Reduce the impact of the proposed building on a listed heritage item; or..."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "12.2.4.2 Ensure that the Town Centre remains compact and easily walkable by avoiding outward expansion of the Town Centre Zone. Encourage walking to and within the Town Centre by improving the quality of the pedestrian experience by:

<sup>(</sup>d) Encouraging the provision of verandas along pedestrian-oriented streets, while acknowledging that verandas may not be appropriate or necessary in applications involving a heritage building; or where no verandas exist on adjoining buildings."

notified chapter. I suggest that the mapping of the EoPs can be improved in the decision version if the Panel endorses this approach, and Council could assist in this respect.

- 6.2 Mr Knott has considered the EoPs suggested by Mr Daniel Wells on behalf of Millbrook Country Club and accepts the 'curtilage' shown on page 4 of Mr Well's plans as an appropriate EoP for Item 71 (the stone cottage (Mcauley), Malaghans Road). I have included this in the revised chapter in Appendix 1.
- 6.3 Mr Knott further advises that the suggested EoP for both PDP Item 93 (Butel's Flourmill (original foundations and stone wall), Off Butel Road, Millbrook Area) and new item 82 (Millbrook stables, implement shed, and the blacksmith's building/ smoker) (refer page 7 of the maps Mr Wells tabled at the hearing) seems appropriate as, beyond this, the setting has been even more modified and there is little point in including it. Mr Knott also notes that, in the final version of the Historic Heritage Chapter it would be beneficial for separate EoPs to be shown for each of the two items. For the purpose of this right of reply, due to the time constraints inherent in the process, this has only been included in draft form in the revised chapter in **Appendix 1**.

#### 7. RELOCATION RULES AND POLICIES

- 7.1 Ms Gillies, in her evidence, and the Panel raised the issue of whether the relocation of buildings beyond the site should be a separate rule to demolition. The Panel also queried whether the respective policies relating to relocation beyond and within the site should be better linked within the chapter.
- 7.2 I do not consider the impact of having one rule that specifically refers to both demolition and relocation beyond the site to be significantly different from having a separate rule for relocation. What I am clear on is:
  - (a) relocation needs to be very clearly specified (as in the revised chapter contained in my s 42A report or through a separate rule) as opposed to being simply referred to within the term 'demolition', as was the case in the notified PDP.<sup>5</sup> With the latter approach the

\_

<sup>5</sup> Demolition

Works that result in damage, substantial removal from the site, destruction of any, or all, significant elements of the historic fabric or characteristics of a building or feature, involving (but not limited to) the removal or replacement of walls, windows, ceilings, floors, roofs and any associated additions.

- activity of relocation can easily be missed and is a crucial matter in terms of heritage protection;
- (b) the same activity status should be afforded to demolition and relocation beyond the site and different statuses should be applied to relocation within and beyond the site; and
- (c) additional policies should be applied to relocation, as is done in the revised chapter contained within my s 42A report (and in **Appendix 1**), as it does require additional considerations.
- 7.3 I have discussed this with Mr Knott who supports treating relocation beyond the site and demolition in the same manner and considers that they should be contained within a single rule. In his view, the protected features would lose significant authenticity and integrity if relocated and in many cases once moved would no longer satisfy the criteria for being identified as a heritage item. Mr Knott cites the definition of relocation from the ICOMOS Charter<sup>6</sup> in support of his view.
- 7.4 In my opinion, in practical terms it makes no difference whether the two activities are captured in the same or separate rules in the Historic Heritage Chapter; particularly given that the revised chapter includes some additional policies in respect to relocation beyond the site. The revised chapter attached at **Appendix 1** retains the two activities in a single rule.
- 7.5 I am of the view that relocation within and beyond the site are two very different activities. Therefore, other than to amend the order of the policies so that those relating to relocation within the site directly follow those relating to relocation beyond the site, I do not consider that any other linkage between the two is necessary.

Relocation - The on-going association of a structure or feature of cultural heritage value with its location, site, curtilage, and setting is essential to its authenticity and integrity. Therefore, a structure or feature of cultural heritage value should remain on its original site.

Relocation of a structure or feature of cultural heritage value, where its removal is required in order to clear its site for a different purpose or construction, or where its removal is required to enable its use on a different site, is not a desirable outcome and is not a conservation process.

In exceptional circumstances, a structure of cultural heritage value may be relocated if its current site is in imminent danger, and if all other means of retaining the structure in its current location have been exhausted. In this event, the new

# 8. AMENDMENTS TO POLICIES TO ENSURE THAT APPLICANTS CONSIDER ALL OPTIONS

- 8.1 Stemming from Ms Gillies' opinion that the 'proviso' to Policy 26.4.1.6, which reads "Applicants will be required to show that the above options have all been investigated" also be applied to Policies 26.4.1.5 and 26.4.1.8, the Panel suggested that the proviso may warrant its own stand-alone policy. One of Ms Gillies' specific concerns relates to proving there is no productive use for a building and/ or that it is not financially viable to retain or maintain it. I agree that there is a risk that planners and applicants with limited knowledge of heritage principles will take the 'easy option' and restrict their interpretation only to those examples listed.
- 8.2 The policies where the matter of ensuring all options are fully exhausted is most relevant are Policies 26.4.1.5(a) and (c), 26.4.1.6, and 26.4.1.7(a). While phrases used in those policies such as "exceptional circumstances" and "other alternatives have been exhausted" provide, in my opinion, reasonably strong direction, I agree that greater clarity should be provided regarding the expectation that all options be investigated and documented and proof be provided regarding the extent of financial burden and/ or inability to find a productive use for a site.
- 8.3 I do not favour a stand-alone policy as I consider this is too disjointed and unclear. However I have suggested a number of amendments to address Ms Gillies' concern and these have been included in the revised chapter attached at Appendix 1.

#### 9. RULES RELATING TO SCHEDULED ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES

9.1 In response to various submitters seeking the deletion of the rules, the Panel has raised concerns in relation to whether the archaeological site rules will result in unnecessary duplication of the restrictions imposed by the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2016 (HNZPTA) and has specifically sought a response to the possibility of including a certification type rule similar to that being proposed for the Significant Natural Areas (SNA) through the Rural Hearing Stream 2.

**9.2** As I understand it the relevant rule that was being referred to is (in Mr Barr's right of reply):

33.3.4.4

Indigenous vegetation clearance within the Ski Area Sub Zones on land administered under the Conservation Act 1987 is exempt from the rules in Tables 1 to 4 where the relevant approval has been obtained from the Department of Conservation, providing that:

- (a) The indigenous vegetation clearance does not exceed the approval by the Department of Conservation;
- (b) Prior to the clearance of indigenous vegetation, persons shall provide to the Council the relevant application and the approval from the Department of Conservation; and.
- (c) The Council is satisfied that the application information submitted to the Department of Conservation adequately identifies the indigenous vegetation to be cleared and the effects of the clearance
- 9.3 The rule framework in the notified Historic Heritage Chapter took a similar approach in that it made any alterations to an archaeological site a permitted activity if an authority had already been approved and there were no other effects. Activity status was restricted discretionary or discretionary if an authority had already been approved and there were other minor or more than minor effects. While HNZ and Ms Gillies supported the principle of having rules relating to archaeological sites, no one submitted in support of the specific rules proposed; all citing the lack of clarity as one reason.
- 9.4 I refer to pages 25-27 of the s 42A report and pages 12-13 of the s 32AA evaluation (in Appendix 4 of the s 42A report) for discussion on this matter and the reasons for supporting the rules proposed in the s 42A revised chapter.
- 9.5 At the core of the debate around options is the limited range of effects that can be considered by authorities issued under the HNZPTA, in that they are unable to consider the effects arising from the partial demolition or relocation of an archaeological feature and cannot consider effects beyond the specific boundaries of the archaeological site itself. Furthermore, the authority process does not enable any public participation beyond the landowner. Therefore to include a rule which exempts an activity from requiring resource consent provided an authority has been obtained (with or without further provisos) means that often many environmental effects will not be considered and Council's obligations regarding the protection of historic heritage would not be fulfilled.

- 9.6 While the notified rules attempted to address this through reference to 'other effects', I consider that the notified rules are unreasonably uncertain and I am aware that Council planners have encountered a number of problems in the administration of these rules over the past months, including:
  - the fact that HNZ prefers to process authorities following or in parallel with a resource consent application and not before (in case changes occur through the resource consent process);
  - (b) the need to determine whether effects would be minor or more than minor in order to determine the activity status; and
  - (c) the uncertainty as to what might constitute 'other effects'.
- 9.7 In summary, I am of the view that a rule of the type proposed for SNAs would not be the most appropriate method of managing effects on the 20 archaeological sites that are proposed to be listed in the revised chapter and that the rules contained in the revised chapter attached at Appendix 1 are the most appropriate.
- 9.8 It also needs to be noted that, as modified in the revised chapter and supported by HNZ, these rules ONLY apply to those archaeological sites that are scheduled in the PDP and not to all archaeological sites.
- **9.9** The Panel specifically sought further clarity on Rule 26.6.25 of the revised chapter, which reads:

#### 26.6.24 Relocation affecting an archaeological site

Any activity that results in an archaeological feature associated with an archaeological site listed in Section 26.10 being relocated within the same site.

9.10 I interpret this to mean the relocation of any pre-1900 building or structure, pursuant to the definition in the HNZPTA. This definition was previously included in Section 26.3.1 of the Chapter but I recommend relocating this to the 'Terms used in this chapter' section in the revised chapter for ease of reference. In my view, it is possible to relocate an archaeological feature in the manner anticipated by the rule and is appropriate to require a resource

consent for such an activity in that it cannot be considered through the authority process. The Panel is also referred to Mr Matthew Schmidt's responses to questioning in this regard, which concurred with my view. I recommend amending the rule to clarify that an archaeological feature is as defined in the HNZPTA and includes any building or structure or part thereof, which is associated with pre1900 human activity and which provides or may provide through investigation by archaeological methods evidence relating to the history of NZ. It may also be clearer if the heading of the rule specifies that the rule relates to the relocation of a feature.

9.11 The Panel has also queried whether it would be appropriate to also regulate the relocation of post-1900 features, which might be achieved by either redefining 'archaeological feature or site' to include newer items for the purpose of the District Plan or through adding the term 'heritage feature' to this rule. In my view an amendment of this nature is appropriate as post-1900 heritage features are known to exist within the listed archaeological sites, which are not individually listed in the District Plan but the removal of which could adversely affect the archaeological site within which it is located. However, I do not consider there is scope in the submissions to make this amendment in that the notified version of Table 5 was limited to the alteration of the archaeological sites and no one sought that the rules extend beyond that. If the Panel form a different view on scope then I suggest that the rule be amended to refer to 'archaeological feature or heritage feature'.

#### 10. HERITAGE LANDSCAPE PROVISIONS

- Various aspects of the heritage landscape provisions (section 26.12 and rule 26.6.27) were the subject of numerous Panel questions of the Council and submitters. Matters that I wish to respond to relate to:
  - (a) the appropriate level of detail that should be included in the statement of significance and the listed key features to be protected. I.e. whether I consider the features subject to Rule 26.6.27 (last bullet point) need to be further defined/ narrowed as per NZTML's submission or retained as more general statements (or even made more general/ all encompassing, as per Mr Cawte's evidence); and

- (b) whether, at least in the context of heritage landscapes, archaeological features protected by Rule 26.6.27 should include post 1900 features/ sites.
- 10.2 Based in part on the evidence of Mr Knott and Mr Cawte, in my opinion the features which will require a discretionary resource consent to destroy or remove pursuant to Rule 26.6.27 should be kept as broad as possible. This is contrary to the submission of NZTML, which seeks to further narrow application of Rule 26.6.27 by more narrowly defining the key features to be protected. I note that Mr Cawte's and Mr Knott's responses to the Panel's questioning on this matter do not appear to support the submission of NZTML.
- As such, I support retaining the revised chapter (as amended to refer to the "key features to be protected" within the rule, as proposed by Mr Vivian), which requires consent for the destruction or removal of any heritage feature identified in either the statement of significance or key features section to obtain consent. This encapsulates a wide range of sites and features and is not constrained only to archaeological sites as defined by the HNZPTA but also includes any post 1900 sites that have heritage value.
- I do not consider it necessary to extend the definition of archaeological features or sites to include post-1900 features/ sites in relation to the heritage landscape rules. In the context of the heritage landscape rule (26.6.27) and the related statements of significance or key features to be protected sections (26.12), the term 'heritage feature' is used in the rule and this captures all post 1900 features of heritage value. Additionally, in the Glenorchy Heritage Landscape where there is clearly considerable post 1900 heritage, the features are specifically identified in sections 26.12.8 and 26.12.9 and clause 26.12.9.3 includes a 'catch all' rule which protects 'all other known heritage places'.
- 10.5 For added clarification as to what constitutes an archaeological feature or site, I have recommended cross referencing the definition of archaeological sites in the rule. This amendment is included in the revised chapter attached as Appendix 1.

#### 11. THE DEFINITION OF CATEGORY 1 - 3 HERITAGE FEATURES

- Mr Knott drafted these definitions and I relied on his advice in including them in the s42A revised chapter. I have therefore sought his opinion on the matters traversed with Ms Gillies. Mr Knott advises that his concern with Ms Gillies' proposed amended definition is whether, if Category 1 is defined as being "very significant nationally" this could be interpreted as meaning that only HNZ Category 1 buildings can be included in the District Plan Inventory, where in some cases this may not be appropriate and that, in Mr Knott's opinion, it is important to also protect other significant items as Category 1 items.
- Having considered this, I propose amending the definitions in a manner similar but not identical to that suggested by Ms Gillies. This change has been included in the revised chapter attached at **Appendix 1**.

#### 12. THE DEFINITION AND/ OR USE OF THE TERM "ENHANCE"

- Various submitters have sought the inclusion of the term 'enhance' in policies (and these are accepted in the s 42A report) and others have since provided evidence (either written or through questioning) relating to concerns around misinterpretation of the term and the fact it can mean very different things to different people.
- 12.2 The revised chapter (attached to the S42A report) includes the term 'enhance' in a number of places. The term:
  - (a) has been added to Objective 26.4.1 ("historic heritage is... enhanced") and policy 26.4.1.2 ("... and enhance historic heritage") in response to submissions;
  - (b) is included in new policies 26.4.1.7, relating to enabling "alterations and additions ... in a manner that ... enhances heritage values" and 26.4.1.6, relating to enabling relocation where it will "...enhance the heritage significance of the feature;
  - (c) has been added to Policy 26.4.2.1 relating to "encouraging ... adaptations that .... enhance heritage values"; and

- (d) is included in Objective 26.4.4 and 26.4.4.1, relating to enhancing heritage features where possible, through means such as interpretation and the relaxation of rules. No submitter opposed the Objective and it remains unchanged from the notified version.
- As outlined above, the term is used in various places to promote enhancing historic heritage; enhancing heritage significance (i.e. undertaking works which would resulting in upgrading the category of a feature); enhancing heritage values; and enhancing the heritage feature itself.
- 12.4 Having spoken with Mr Knott regarding the use of the word in the District Plan, he and I can both see some inherent difficulties in interpreting what is meant by "enhancing the heritage feature".
- of an item (i.e. undertaking works which would actually result in an upgrading the Category of a feature). However, to the contrary, neither of us see any practical or interpretative difficulties with policies that refer to enhancing historic heritage values or heritage values. Changes have been recommended to the various policies in line with this and these are reflected in in the revised chapter attached at **Appendix 1**.

#### 13. THE DEFINITION OF "HERITAGE FABRIC OR CHARACTERISTICS"

- 13.1 I note Ms Gillies' concerns regarding the inclusion of three examples in the definition and have also carefully considered the comments of other experts who responded to questioning on this issue. In response, I recommend amending the definition to acknowledge that where a heritage assessment exists on Council's records, this will most likely provide a good record of what constitutes the heritage fabric of a particular item and that where such an assessment does not exist, the examples should be considered but clarify that these are not exhaustive.
- While I entirely understand the concern Ms Gillies' concern regarding retaining the examples, on balance, I consider it is preferable to removing them altogether.

#### 14. THE ALTERATIONS TO THE FORMER METHODIST CHURCH (ITEM 251)

14.1 In response to paragraph 2.6 of Ms Anna Marie Chin's evidence in which she highlights the fact that the building has undergone extensive modification since the heritage assessment was undertaken, I refer the Panel to pages 10 -12 of Mr Knott's evidence. In those paragraphs, he traverses this issue fully and clarifies that he has reached his conclusions regarding its listing in the full knowledge that further alterations have occurred since the assessment was commissioned by the Council.

#### 15. SCOPE ISSUES

- 15.1 I consider Ms Gillies' evidence on changing the rule relating to the interiors of Category 3 buildings to be beyond scope as no submitter sought any change to the permitted status for interior alterations for Category 3 buildings.
- 15.2 I question whether the widespread permitted status sought in relation to the Antrim engines, slipway, and cradle (Item 3) (refer paragraph 8 of Mr Farrell's summary of evidence) is within scope of Real Journeys' submission, in that the submission only seeks to change the Category from 2 to 3, rather than to delist it, which is what would be required to achieve the permitted status he refers to. I note that the submission does include the following point but in my opinion it is unclear as to what works or item it refers to and does not provide the necessary scope; in fact seeking that all rules do apply to such works:

"Insert a new rule or exemption clause to clarify that the rules do apply to works associated with the "TSS Earnslaw" and that any such works are a permitted activity."

# 16. POTENTIAL ERRORS, NEED FOR CLARIFICATION, AND MINOR AMENDMENTS IN THE PDP

#### Mill House

16.1 In my opinion, the Mill House (Item 76) has not necessarily been listed in the PDP in error and it would be presumptuous to assume this. There is significant background to this issue, which the Council will share with the submitter via a separate process but, in summary, for the benefit of the Panel:

- the Mill House was identified as being part of the listed HNZ (Historic Places Trust) flourmill complex at least as far back as 1977, according to a 1977 board minute, which shows that the Miller's House (now known as the Mill House) was part of the 1977 registration 'Wakatipu Flourmill complex oat drying building, stables and miller's house;'
- (b) The Mill House was not listed in the 1981 Queenstown Lakes District Scheme and so it seems it was not simply a roll-over of a transitional plan but rather, was purposely added in the 1995 District Plan;
- the notified 1995 PDP listed the Mill House as a Category A building but the District Plan Mill House entry did not include any NZHPT category listing (whereas such listings were recorded in that plan for other items where they existed) indicating that, on the face of it, the Mill House was not listed simply because it was (accidently or correctly) thought to form part of the flourmill complex HNZ listing. In fact, at the time the PDP was notified, it appears that the flour mill complex was actually not listed by HNZ (Historic Places Trust) as, Historic Places Trust had temporarily removed this along with other items from its register as being 'deficient.' The flourmill complex was only officially returned to the register in 2012 following further research;
- (d) there were presumably submissions opposing the 1995 District Plan listing of the Mill House as the item is now listed as a Category 3 item in the ODP, rather than a Category A/ 1 building as notified in 1995; and
- (e) it is my understanding from the evidence that both Ms O'Dea and Mr Knott have agreed that the inclusion of the HNZ reference alongside the Mill House entry is an error but not that the listing of the item in the District Plan itself is necessarily an error.

#### **Kinross Stone Buildings**

The planning maps accurately show the location of the Kinross stone buildings (item 91). A plan from Council's GIS is attached as **Appendix 2** showing item

91 overlaid an aerial photograph. While the item 91 annotation on the GIS relates to the ODP, a comparison between the ODP and PDP maps confirms that item 91 is shown in the same place on both.

#### **Queenstown Courthouse Heritage Precinct**

16.3 The boundary of the heritage precinct in respect of the Pig 'n' Whistle building has been slightly realigned so as to sit 0.5m from the face of the building and clarification of its exact location has been added to the map contained in the Historic Heritage Chapter.

#### **Chapter Structure**

The structure of the chapter has been amended to better align with the rest of the PDP in that the 'other rules' section now follows the 'objectives and policies'. I have opted to leave the 'identification and protection' and 'information requirements' sections under the purpose.

#### Changes accepted at hearing

- Mr Knott has confirmed that he is supportive of the amended wording of the Antrim Engines listing (Item 3) as suggested in paragraph 2.5 of Ms Fiona Black's Summary of evidence. This change has been included in the revised chapter attached at **Appendix 1**.
- 16.6 Unless otherwise stated above, all other amendments to the revised chapter proposed by other experts that I accepted in my Evidence Summary are included in the attached ROR revised chapter attached at **Appendix 1**.

#### Changes to planning maps

- 16.1 Item 37 (Earnslaw) has been removed from the chapter and the planning maps (PDP Map 36).
- 16.2 Item 68 (Glenarm cottage) has been removed from the amended chapter and from the planning maps (PDP Map 36)

### 17. CONCLUSION


17.1 Overall, I consider that the ROR revised chapter as set out in **Appendix 1** is the most appropriate way to meet the purpose of the RMA.


Vicki Jones


Director/ Planner, Vision Planning Ltd


6 July 2016


# APPENDIX 1 26 HISTORIC HERITAGE ROR REVISED CHAPTER











#### Key:

Red underlined text for additions and red strike through text for deletions, Appendix 1 to Vicki Jones' Right of Reply, dated 6 July 2016.

<u>Black underlined</u> text for additions and strike through text for deletions, Appendix 1 to Vicki Jones' s42A report, dated 2 June 2016

#### 26 Historic Heritage

#### 26.1 Purpose

The purpose of this chapter is to promote the sustainable management of the District's historic heritage features. These features are an important part of the amenity and character of our natural, physical and cultural heritage. Protecting these helps retain the District's character, history, and sense of place. This will be achieved by identifying and recognising heritage values, which can then be offered protection through the Plan.

Accordingly, this chapter contains provisions relating to:

- the Inventory of Protected Features, which includes all listed buildings, structures, and features
- Heritage Precincts
- Heritage Landscapes
- Archaeological sites
- Sites of significance to Maori.

Pursuant to Section 86(B)(3) of the RMA, the rules applicable to Historic Heritage have immediate legal effect from the date of Notification.

#### 26.2 Identification and Protection

The District's most significant known heritage features are represented in the Inventory of Protected Features ('the Inventory'). The number of individual features stands at several hundred and Although they all have a level of heritage value, some can be grouped and they are categorised according to their relative level of importance, which This in turn allows a different scales of protection to be applied. For buildings, structures and features, this means that there are three categories; 1 to 3, with Category 1 being the most significant. It is noted that not all historic heritage will necessarily be captured in the Council's schedule, and due regard to matters of national importance will still be required.

The Queenstown Lakes District Council—believes that its schedule of protected features is well informed and robust. It also acknowledges that it—this is a snapshot of protected features as they steed at a particular point in time and may subsequently change. In order to accommodate anticipated changes it will review this schedule at the midpoint in the life of the Plan (i.e., in five years from becoming Operative.)—The effectiveness of the Inventory will be monitored and reviewed and, if appropriate, a plan change initiated to ensure the Inventory remains current. Nominations for inclusions, removals, or amendments to Categories for individual protected features will be are welcomed from the public Such nominations are encouraged to include, but must centain sufficiently

Comment [MSOffice1]: #426

Comment [MSOffice2]: #426

Comment [MSOffice3]: #798, #426

1 Sec 2A RMA

detailed and robust reports, <u>using the evaluation criteria contained in the District Plan</u> in line with assessments that It would be advantageous to include:

• For heritage precincts and landscapes, a report from a <a href="mailto:appropriately-qualified">appropriately-qualified and experienced-Conservation/ Landscape Architect is required. These may include site specific reports from government bodies with a remit for heritage, such as Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga and the Department of Conservation.

 For sites of significance to Maori, a detailed assessment of the extent of the site and related values must be obtained from prepared by the appropriately mandated iwi.

• For individual buildings and structures, a report from a suitably qualified Conservation Architect, using the Council's criteria and, for Category 1 features, a Conservation Plan is encouraged. Any Conservation Plan should be prepared in accordance with Heritage New Zealand's Best Practice Guidelines.

For archaeological sites, a detailed assessment by a suitably qualified and experienced archaeologist.

They must It is preferable that nominations include the written consent of the owners or where this has not been obtained, that they advise what consultation has occurred with the owner.

#### 26.2.1 **Definitions of listed Heritage Categories 1 - 3**

#### (i) Category 1

The heritage resource warrants the highest level of protection because it is extremely significant to the District and is often also extremely very significant; regionally and/ or nationally. Category 1 shall include all places of greatest historical or cultural heritage significance including but not limited to all features in Category 1 of the Heritage New Zealand 'New Zealand Heritage List/ Rārangi Kōrero' ('the List').

#### (ii) Category 2

The heritage resource warrants permanent preservation protection because it is very significant locally and/ or to the District.

#### (iii) Category 3

Preservation Protection of the heritage resource is encouraged as it is significant to the district. The Council will be more flexible regarding significant alterations. Category 3 shall include all other places of special historical or cultural value

#### 26.3 Information Requirements

Development affecting historic heritage can be a complex matter because of the sensitivity of the values associated with them. Users of the Plan are directed to submit sufficient detail with such applications to allow Council Planners to process those applications. The evaluation criteria contained in this chapter shall form the basis of any Assessment of Effects on historic heritage information above gives an indication of the level of detail required, but this will be decided on a case by case basis, and will be proportionate to the level of effects. Council encourages—Early consultation is encouraged on development proposals with heritage professionals, Heritage New Zealand, and community heritage groups, before the design stage.

Comment [MSOffice4]: #621

Comment [MSOffice5]: #621

Comment [MSOffice6]: #426

Comment [MSOffice7]: #621

Comment [MSOffice8]: #604

Comment [SG9]: #604

Comment [SG10]: #604

Comment [SG11]: #604

Comment [SG12]: #604

Comment [SG13]: #604

Comment [MSOffice14]: #604, #FS1098

#### 26.3.1 Archaeology Alert Layer

Unless the context otherwise requires, subject to section 42(3), the HNZPTA 2014<sup>2</sup> defines archaeological sites as:

a) any place in New Zealand, including any building or structure (or part of a building or structure), that

(i) was associated with human activity that occurred before 1900 or is the site of the wreck of any vessel where the wreck occurred before 1900; and

(ii) provides or may provide, through investigation by archaeological methods, evidence relating to the history of New Zealand; and

(b) includes a site for which a declaration is made under section 43(1)

The HNZPTA 2014<sup>3</sup> makes it unlawful to destroy or modify or cause to be destroyed or modified the whole or any part of an archaeological site without the prior authority of Heritage New Zealand. This is a separate process to obtaining any resource consents required under this District Plan but is an important step for applicants to consider when preparing a resource consent application which might affect an archaeological site. An 'archaeological site' is defined in the HNZPTA 2014 and this definition is also included in the 'Terms used in this chapter' section (26.6) of this chapter.

Given the large number of archaeological sites within the District, it is not possible to map them all-fer the purposes of this Plan. Consequently, in order to assist prospective applicants, an alert layer is maintained by Council, which has been populated that identifies particularly significant groups of sites or significant sites with unknown extents. This layer is for information purposes only and users of the Plan are referred to early consultation with Heritage New Zealand. The layer can be viewed through the Council's online GIS mapping system. The alert layer does not necessarily contain all archaeological sites but is intended to provide applicants with an easily accessible means of undertaking an initial check of the subject site. The alert layer will be updated as new information is made available to the Council. It does not form part of the District Plan planning maps.

#### **Other Relevant Provisions and Rules**

#### **District Wide Rules**

Attention is drawn to the rules elsewhere in the Plan that may apply in addition to the rules in this chapter. Where rules are breached in those other chapters, then resource consent will be required. All provisions referred to are in the Operative District Plan, unless otherwise stated as Proposed District Plan (PDP). This includes, but is not limited to:

**Earthworks** 

Signs

Town Centres (PDP)

Protected Trees (PDP)

#### **District Wide**

<sup>2</sup> Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014

Comment [MSOffice15]: #604

Comment [MSOffice16]: #604

Comment [MSOffice17]: #621

**Comment [SG18]:** Relocation to ensure consistent format with other PDP chapters, following Panel suggestion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014

Attention is drawn to the following District Wide chapters. All provisions referred to are within Stage 1 of the Proposed District Plan, unless marked as Operative District Plan (ODP).

1 Introduction	2 Definitions	3 Strategic Direction
4 Urban Development	5-Tangata Whenua	<u>6 Landscapes</u>
24 Signs (18 ODP)	25 Earthworks (22 ODP)	29 Transport (14 ODP)
27 Subdivision	28 Natural Hazards	32 Protected Trees
30 Utilities and Renewable	31 Hazardous Substances (16	35 Temporary Activities and
Energy	<del>ODP)</del>	Relocated Buildings
33 Indigenous Vegetation	34 Wilding Exotic Trees	Planning Maps
<del>36 Noise</del>	37 Designations	

#### 26.4 Objectives and Policies

Note: Refer to 26.6 for definitions of the following terms used in this chapter: Protected feature, total demolition, partial demolition, relocation, heritage significance, and setting.

26.4.1 Objective - To recognise and protect\_historic heritage features in the District from the adverse effects of land use, subdivision and development.

Historic heritage is recognised, protected, maintained, and enhanced

#### **Policies**

- 26.4.1.1 Ensure historic heritage features within the District that warrant protection are recognised in the Inventory of Protected Features.
- 26.4.1.2 Protect, maintain, and enhance historic heritage values features against while managing the adverse effects of land use, subdivision, and development; ensuring that all including cumulative effects are considered and that the management of adverse effects is proportionate to the level of significance of the protected feature.
- 26.4.1.3

  Require the mitigation of development affecting historic heritage, where it cannot be reasonably avoided, to be proportionate to the level of significance of the feature.

  Where effects on historic heritage cannot be reasonably avoided, effects shall be mitigated to an extent that is proportionate to the level of significance of the feature.
- 26.4.1.4 Enable activities to occur within the heritage setting of a feature provided the heritage significance of the feature is protected, by ensuring:
  - (a) The form, scale and proportion of the development, and the materials used do not detract from the protected feature(s) located within the setting:
  - (b) The location of the development respects and does not degrade any relationship that exists between the setting and the protected feature(s), and which contributes to the values identified for the protected feature(s);
  - (c) Existing views of the protected feature(s) from adjoining public places (and publicly accessible areas within the scheduled heritage site) are maintained as far as is practicable; and
  - (d) Network utilities and natural hazard mitigation activities within the setting are designed, located, and/or screened to be as unobtrusive as possible.

**Comment [MSOffice19]:** #519, #598

Comment [MSOffice20]: #519

**Comment [MSOffice21]:** #519, #598

Comment [MSOffice22]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

26.4.1.5 In relation to demolition, destruction, and relocation beyond the site:

a) Avoid the total demolition, destruction, or relocation of Category 2 protected feature(s) beyond the site unless:

a. Exceptional circumstances render all other alternatives impractical and evidence
of this is provided; and

- i. A feature or part of a feature poses a significant risk to safety or property and evidence of this is provided; and/ or
- ii. The feature is unable to serve a productive use and retaining it imposes an unreasonable financial burden and evidence of this is provided; and

b. Specifically in relation to relocation:

- i. The relocation is necessary to facilitate the ongoing use or protection of the building or ensure public safety; and
- ii. Measures are in place to minimise the risk of damage to the feature; and
- iii. The heritage values of the feature in its new location are not significantly diminished.
- b) Discourage the total demolition or relocation of Category 3 features beyond the site.
- c) Avoid the partial demolition or destruction of Category 1 and 2 protected features wherever practical alternatives exist except that in the following circumstances mitigation or remediation of the effects may be appropriate, and the partial demolition or destruction of a protected feature may be unavoidable acceptable:
  - a. Where it will avoid significant risk to safety and property or where it will reduce or remove a significant financial burden on the owner and evidence of this is provided:
  - b. Where it will result in significant public benefit that could not otherwise be achieved and where that benefit is deemed to outweigh the loss in heritage values (as assessed in accordance with the criteria in section 26.6.28);
  - c. Where it is necessary to remove a significant amount of damaged fabric in order to ensure the conservation of the protected feature;
  - d. Where no adverse cumulative effects will result from approving successive partial demolitions or alterations.
- d) Provide for the partial demolition of Category 3 protected features, on the condition that their heritage significance, including the cumulative effects on its significance, are not reduced.

26.4.1.6 With regard to the relocation of protected features within the site:

- a) Avoid the relocation of Category 1 and 2 features within the same site unless:
  - It is necessary for the long term viability of the feature and evidence of this is provided; and
  - ii. Other alternatives have been exhausted and evidence of this is provided; and

Provided the relocation will maintain or enhance the heritage significance of the feature, as assessed using the evaluation criteria in section 26.6.28.

b) Provide for the relocation of Category 3 protected features within the same site, where the relocation will maintain or enhance the heritage significance of the protected feature, as evaluated using the criteria in section 26.6.28.

Comment [MSOffice23]: #604

Comment [MSOffice24]: #604

Comment [MSOffice25]: #604

Comment [MSOffice26]: #604

Comment [MSOffice27]: #604

Comment [MSOffice28]: #604

Comment [MSOffice29]: #604

**Comment [MSOffice30]:** #688, #672, #696, #726.

Comment [MSOffice31]: #604

Comment [MSOffice32]: #604

Comment [MSOffice33]: #604

Comment [MSOffice34]: #604

**Comment [MSOffice35]:** Reordering to better link relocation policies in response to Panel comments

Comment [MSOffice36]: #604

Comment [MSOffice37]: #688, #672, #696, #726.

Comment [MSOffice38]: #604

Comment [MSOffice39]: #604

Comment [MSOffice40]: #604

- 26.4.1.7 Enable additions and alterations to protected features provided they are undertaken in a manner that:
  - a) Enhances the heritage values of the protected feature or; where this is not possible;
  - b) Maintains the heritage values of the protected feature, as assessed in accordance with the criteria listed in section 26.6.28; or where this is not possible:
  - c) Ensures that the effects are minimised such that the heritage significance of the protected feature is not reduced.

Note: Applicants will be required to show that the above options have all been investigated.

26.4.1.8 Ensure that, where possible, any activity requiring consent within a heritage precinct avoids adverse effects on those key features and values of the precinct that are specifically identified in the 'statement of significance' and 'key features to be protected' parts of section 26.8 and that only where avoidance is not possible.

26.4.2 Objective - To provide for Historic heritage features The are used sustainably use

#### **Policies**

- 26.4.2.1 Encourage the ongoing economic use of heritage buildings and sites by allowing adaptations and uses that:
  - (a) Are in accordance with best practice; and

remedies or mitigates such effects.

- (i) <u>Either enhance heritage values or</u> do not permanently adversely affect heritage values to the extent that the heritage significance of the feature would be reduced, noting that the cumulative effects of incremental change must also be considered; and are in accordance with best practice.
- (b) Improve the viability of the protected feature and/ or improve public health and safety.
- (c) Recognise that heritage structures and buildings may need to be modified or reengineered as engineering and safety standards evolve.
- 26.4.2.2 Encourage the maintenance of historic heritage features and <u>by</u> allow<u>ing</u> minor repairs and maintenance. to be achieved without the need for consents.
- 26.4.3 Objective <del>To recognise</del> The diversity of historic heritage <del>features, landscapes, and values associated with them</del> is recognised

#### Policies

- 26.4.3.1 Identify the heritage values of precincts, buildings, structures, sites, archaeological sites, landscapes and sites of significance to Maori.
- 26.4.3.2 Ensure that decision making on development proposals, on the effects on tangible and non-tangible values of sites of significance to Maori, are informed by those mandated to do so.
- 26.4.3.3 Recognise and protect the different layers of history within heritage landscapes and the relationship between these layers to retain their cultural meaning and values.
- 26.4.3.4 Avoid <u>unnecessary</u> duplication of consents with other statutory bodies on archaeological sites.

Comment [MSOffice41]: #604, #688, #672, #696, #726.

Comment [MSOffice42]: Panel/#604

Comment [MSOffice43]: #426

Comment [MSOffice44]: #519

Comment [MSOffice45]: #426

Comment [MSOffice46]: #621, #604

Comment [MSOffice47]: #621

Comment [MSOffice48]: #426

26.4.4 Objective - To enhance The historic heritage value of features is are enhanced where possible.

#### **Policies**

26.4.4.1 Encourage opportunities to enhance the understanding of historic heritage features, including through the need for the provision of to provide for interpretation and

Comment [MSOffice49]: #604

26.4.4.2 Enable improved planning outcomes and encourage the enhancement of heritage values through effering the possible relaxation of rules elsewhere in the District Plan, in order to achieve accommodate better planning outcomes for heritage on a case by case basis.

Comment [MSOffice50]: #604

26.4.4.3 Recognise the value of long term commitments to the preservation of heritage values in the form of covenants and consent notices.

Comment [MSOffice51]: #621, #604

26.4.4.4 Enable and encourage Accept that ongoing improvements to protected features buildings, including earthquake strengthening and other safety measures, in recognition that this will provide for their ongoing use and longevity.

Comment [MSOffice52]: #519

Enable the continuation of the mining activities that helped to create the various heritage landscapes, provided the complex of features identified in Section 26.12 that give the heritage landscape its character are protected and effects on the wider heritage values of the heritage landscape are minimised or remedied or mitigated.

Comment [MSOffice53]: #519
Comment [MSOffice54]: #519

Note: These features are listed under both the 'Statements of Significance' and 'Key Features to be Protected' headings.

**Comment [MSOffice55]:** Relocation to ensure consistent format with other PDP chapters, following Panel

suggestion.

#### 26.5 Other Relevant Provisions and Rules

#### **District Wide**

26.4.4.5

Attention is drawn to the following District Wide chapters. All provisions referred to are within Stage 1 of the Proposed District Plan, unless marked as Operative District Plan (ODP).

1 Introduction	2 Definitions	3 Strategic Direction		
4 Urban Development	5 Tangata Whenua	6 Landscapes		
24 Signs (18 ODP)	25 Earthworks (22 ODP)	29 Transport (14 ODP)		
27 Subdivision	28 Natural Hazards	32 Protected Trees		
30 Utilities and Renewable	31 Hazardous Substances (16	35 Temporary Activities and		
Energy	ODP)	Relocated Buildings		
33 Indigenous Vegetation	34 Wilding Exotic Trees	Planning Maps		
36 Noise	37 Designations			

Note: The heritage rules, including the precincts and landscapes, are an overlay and not a zone and, as such, the underlying zone provisions also apply in all cases.

Comment [MSOffice56]: #519

#### 26.6 Rules

The following tables describe activities, standards and subsequent level of activity for resource consent purposes.

Any activity that is not Permitted requires resource consent, and any activity that is not specifically identified in a level of activity, but breaches a standard, requires resource consent as a Discretionary activity.

The following abbreviations are used in the tables:

Р	Permitted	С	Controlled
RD	Restricted Discretionary	D	Discretionary
NC	Non Complying	PR	Prohibited

#### Terms used in this Chapter

26.6.5

26.6.1 For the purpose of this chapter, non-contributory buildings have no identifiable historic heritage significance or fabric. Their current impact will either be adverse or neutral. They are identified within a precinct because any future development of the site may impact on the contributory elements.

For the purpose of this chapter, 'heritage fabric or characteristics' means any physical aspect of a heritage feature, which contributes to its heritage values as assessed in accordance with the criteria provided in section 26.6.28. Where a heritage assessment exists for a feature on Council's records this will most likely provide a good indication of what constitutes the heritage fabric of that feature. Where such an assessment does not exist, heritage fabric may include but is not limited to:

a. Original and later material and detailing which forms part of, or is attached to, the interior or exterior of a protected feature;

b. The patina of age resulting from the weathering and wear of construction material over time;

c. Fixtures and fittings that form part of the design or significance of a heritage feature, but excludes inbuilt museum and artwork exhibitions and displays;

For the purpose of this chapter, Heritage significance means the significance of a feature (be it Category 1, 2, or 3) as evaluated in accordance with the criteria listed in section 26.2. A reduction in heritage significance refers to whether a proposed activity would have adverse effects which would degrade the Category that has been attributed to the feature.

26.6.4 For the purpose of this chapter, protected feature or feature are the collective terms used to explain all buildings, features, and structures listed in the Inventory of Protected Features (26.9).

For the purpose of this chapter, relocation means the relocation of protected features, including protected buildings, both within and beyond the site. The definition of 'relocation' in chapter 2 (which means the removal and resting of any building from any site to another site) shall not apply to this chapter.

Comment [MSOffice57]: #519

Comment [MSOffice58]: #604

**Comment [MSOffice59]:** #604, #426.

Comment [MSOffice60]: #604

**Comment [MSOffice61]:** #604, #621.

**Comment [MSOffice62]:** Consequen tial additional to avoid direct conflict with definitions chapter

26.6.6 For the purpose of this chapter, setting means the area around and/ or adjacent to a heritage feature listed in 26.9, which is integral to its function, meaning, and relationships and which is contained within the same legal title as the feature listed in the Inventory.

**Comment [MSOffice63]:** #688, #696, #726, #672, #621, #368, #524, #604

- 26.6.X For the purpose of this chapter archaeological site means, subject to section 42(3) of the HNZPTA 2014<sup>4</sup>:
  - (a) any place in New Zealand, including any building or structure (or part of a building or structure), that—
    - was associated with human activity that occurred before 1900 or is the site of the wreck of any vessel where the wreck occurred before 1900; and
    - (ii) provides or may provide, through investigation by archaeological methods, evidence relating to the history of New Zealand; and
  - (b) includes a site for which a declaration is made under section 43(1) of the HNZPTA 2014.

Comment [MSOffice64]: #604

#### **Table 1 General**

Rule	Activity	All heritage features	
26.6.7	Repairs and maintenance	Р	
	Minor repairs and maintenance on all protected buildings and features, including and contributory and non-contributory buildings <sup>5</sup> in heritage precincts. This includes minor repair of building materials and includes replacement of minor components such as individual bricks, cut stone, timber sections, roofing and glazing.		
	The replacement items should shall be of the original or, if this is not achievable, closely matching material, colour, texture, form and design, except that the replacement of any products containing asbestos with a closely matching product is more desirable than using the same product.		Comment [MSOffice65]: #426, #524
	Works that do not meet these standards are classed as alterations.		
26.6.8	Subdivision	Đ	 Comment [MSOffice66]: #383
	Subdivision of any site containing all or part of a protected feature.		

5 Contributory buildings - are those that contribute to the significance of a heritage precinct but may not be worthy of individual protection. They may contain significant heritage fabric, architecture or positioning that adds value to the precinct.

Non contributory buildings - have no identifiable historic heritage significance or fabric. Their current impact will either be adverse or neutral. They are identified within a precinct because any future development of the site may impact on the contributory elements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014

Table 2 Buildings, structures and features

Rule	Activity Standard	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	1	
26.6.9	Total Demolition or relocation to another site	PR	NC	RD*	4	Comment [MSOffice67]: #688,
20.0.0	Works that result in damage, substantial removal from the site, destruction of any, or all, significant elements of the historic fabric or characteristics of a building or feature, involving (but not limited to) the removal or replacement of walls, windows, ceilings, floors, roofs and any associated additions.		140			#696, #726, #672
	The relocation or the total demolition or destruction of the historic fabric or characteristics of a protected feature,					Comment [MSOffice68]: #604
	equal to or exceeding 70 per cent, by volume or area whichever is the greater, whereby volume is measured from the outermost surface of the feature (including any surfaces below ground) and area is measured by the footprint of the feature.					Comment [MSOffice69]: #604, #621  Comment [MSOffice70]: Panel suggestion that footnotes would be better placed within the rules.
	*Discretion is limited to: - The extent of the demolition.					Detter placed within the raise.
	- The effects on the heritage values and heritage significance, as evaluated in accordance with the criteria in section 26.6.28:					Comment [MSOffice71]: #604
	- The cumulative effects on the heritage value of the building or feature.					Comment prisonness 21, 7007
	- Where the protected feature is located within a heritage precinct, the effects of the proposal on the key features of the precinct as identified in section 26.8.					Comment [MSOffice72]: #604, #426
26.6.9(i)	Partial demolition or destruction	NC	<u>NC</u>	RD*		Comment [MSOffice73]: #688, #696, #672, #726
	Partial demolition or destruction of the historic fabric or characteristics of a protected feature exceeding 30 per					Comment [MSOffice74]: #604
	cent but less than 70 per cent, by volume or area whichever is the greater, whereby:					Comment [MSOffice75]: #604
	a) Volume is measured from the outermost surface of the feature (including any surfaces below ground) and area is measured by the footprint of the feature.					Comment [MSOffice76]: Panel
	b) Partial demolition is calculated as the cumulative or incremental demolition of the heritage fabric as of xx/ the date that this District Plan became operative.					suggestion that footnotes would be better placed within the rules.  Comment [MSOffice77]: #688,
	*Discretion is limited to:					#696, #726, #672

Rule	Activity Standard	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	
	- The extent of the demolition.				
	- The effects on the heritage values and heritage significance, as evaluated in accordance with the criteria in section 26.6. 28.				 Comment [MSOffice78]: #604
	- The cumulative effects on the building or protected feature, including the cumulative effects on the heritage values resulting from incremental demolition.				
	- Where the protected feature is located within a heritage precinct; the effects of the proposal on the key features of the precinct as identified in section 26.8.				 Comment [MSOffice79]: #604, #426.
26.6.10	Relocation within the site	PR	NC	RD*	
	Works that result in The relocation of an existing building	NC		D where	 Comment [MSOffice81]: #621
	er protected feature being relocated within the same site.			the feature is	Comment [MSOffice82]: #604
	* Discretion is limited to:			within a heritage	
	- The effects on the heritage values and heritage significance, as evaluated in accordance with the criteria in section 26.6, 28;			precinct	 Comment [MSOffice80]: #604
	- The physical effects on the heritage fabric and the effects on the setting of the feature.				
	Note 1: 'Heritage fabric or characteristics' is as defined in Section 26.6 - Terms used in this chapter.				
26.6.11	External alterations and additions	D	RD*	RD*	
	Works External alterations or additions affecting the heritage fabric or characteristics of protected buildings and features. Additions to buildings such as signs lighting and				
	street furniture are also included.				 Comment [MSOffice83]: #688, #696, #672, #726
	*Discretion is limited to:				
	- The extent of the alteration.				
	The cumulative effects on the heritage value of the building or. feature-				
	The effects, including cumulative effects, on the heritage values and heritage significance, as evaluated in accordance with the criteria in section 26.6. 28; and				
	- Where the protected feature is located within a heritage precinct; the effects of the proposal on the key features of the precinct as identified in section 26.8.				Comment [MSOffice84]: #604,
	Note 1: 'Heritage fabric or characteristics' is as defined in Section 26.6 - Terms used in this chapter				#426.
	Note 2: Additions to buildings such as signs and lighting are also included.				
26.6.12	Internal alterations	D	RD*	Р	
	Works Internal alterations affecting the historic fabric or characteristics of a building. including (but not limited to)				

Rule	Activity Standard	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	
	the partial removal and replacement of decoration, windows, ceilings, floors or roofs that only affect the interior of the building or object.				Comment [MSOffice85]: #688,
	*Discretion is limited to:  - The extent of the alteration  - The effects, including cumulative effects, on the building or feature. the heritage values and heritage significance of the protected feature, as evaluated in accordance with the criteria in section 26.6. 28  Note 1: 'Heritage fabric or characteristics' is as defined in Section 26.6 - Terms used in this chapter.  Note 2: Alterations such as the partial removal and replacement of decoration, windows, ceilings, floors or roofs that only affect the interior of the building or object are included.				#696, #672, #726.
26.6.13	Development within the curtilage or setting or Extent of Place  Works including earthworks, signage, lighting, street furniture, new buildings and structures.  Development within the 'Extent of Place' where this is specifically defined in the Inventory (26.9) or, where no Extent of Place is defined, this rule shall apply to development within the setting.  For the purpose of this rule:  Development means new buildings and structures, earthworks that otherwise requires consent under the earthworks rules, carpark areas over 15m² within view of a public road, and carpark areas over 40m² elsewhere. 'Development' does not include any land use activity occurring in the setting (such as residential, retail, or industrial activity), which is managed instead through the relevant zone provisions.	D	RD*	RD*	Comment [MSOffice86]: #688, #696, #726, #672, #621, #368, #524, #604.
	<u>'Setting' is as defined in Section 26.6 - Terms Used in this Chapter.</u>				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Setting means the area around and/or adjacent to a place of cultural heritage value that is integral to its function, meaning, and relationships. Setting includes the structures, outbuildings, features, gardens, curtilage, airspace, and accessways forming the spatial context of the place or used in association with the place. Setting also includes cultural landscapes, townscapes, and streetscapes; perspectives, views, and viewshafts to and from a place; and relationships with other places which contribute to the cultural heritage value of the place. Setting may extend beyond the area defined by legal title, and may include a buffer zone necessary for the long-term protection of the cultural heritage value of the place. ICOMOS New Zealand Charter 2010

Rule	Activity Standard	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3
	*Discretion is limited to: - The extent of the development.			
	- The cumulative effects on the <del>building or</del> <u>protected</u> feature, and its setting, <u>and</u>			
	- The effects on the heritage values and heritage significance, as evaluated in accordance with the criteria in section 26.6.28.			

#### **Table 3 Heritage precincts**

Rule	Activity  Table 3 only relates to buildings that are not listed in the Inventory (26.9) as those buildings are subject to Tables 1 and 2 only	Contributory buildings other than those listed in 26.9	(clarity only).	<b>ISOffice87]:</b> #604, #519
26.6.14	Total and partial demolition or relocation beyond the site  Demolition of between 31 and 69 percent of the historic fabric or characteristics of a protected feature by volume or area, whichever is the greater, shall be deemed to be partial demolition.  Demolition of 70 per cent or more of the historic fabric or characteristics of the protected feature by volume or area whichever is the greater shall be deemed to be total demolition.  In both instances, volume is measured from the outermost surface of the feature (including any surfaces below ground) and area is measured by the footprint of the feature.  Partial demolition is calculated as the cumulative or incremental demolition of the heritage fabric as of xx/ the date that this District Plan became operative.  of contributory buildings, other than those individually listed. Includes works that result in damage or destruction of any, or all, significant elements of the fabric or characteristics of a building, involving (but not limited to) the removal or replacement of walls, windows, ceilings, floors, roofs and any associated additions.	D	tial amendme	ISOffice88]: Consequen ints from having created 2 tion in response to #688, 672
26.6.15	Demolition  Demolition or removal of non-contributory buildings and features.	P		
26.6.16	Relocation within a heritage precinct  Relocation of contributory buildings, within or from a heritage precinct.	D	D	

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$  Refer Note 3 to Table 1 for definition of contributory and non-contributory buildings

	T	1		
26.6.17	Relocation from a heritage precinct	D	<u>P</u>	
	Relocation of non-contributory building or feature within a heritage precinct.			
26.6.18	External alterations	RD*	RD*	
	Contributory building or feature.			
	*Discretion is limited to:			
	The extent of the alterations and the cumulative effects on:			
	- The building <del>or feature</del> , and its setting.			
	- including the precinct, Other contributory and individually listed buildings and protected features.			
	- The key features and values of the precinct as identified in the statement of significance and key features to be protected.		Comment [N	<b>1SOffice89]:</b> #426
26.6.19	External alterations	RD*		
	Non Contributory			
	*Restricted Discretion is limited to:			
	The extent of the development and the cumulative effects on the			
	setting, including the precinct, contributory and individually listed buildings and features.			
26.6.20	Internal alterations	Р	<u>P</u>	
	Contributory buildings and features not individually listed.			
	Non contributory buildings and features.			
26.6.21	Development	Đ	₽	
	Works including earthworks, signage, new buildings and structures.		Comment [N	<b>1SOffice90]:</b> #519

#### Table 4 Sites of Significance to Maori

Rule	Activity Standard	All sites
26.6.22	Development  Any development that affects the Maori values of the feature.	D
	Written approval from Tangata Whenua is required. Failure to provide this will result in Limited Notification to Tangata Whenua.	

#### Table 5 Archaeological sites

Rule	Activity Standard - Archaeological sites listed in Section 26.10	All sites
26.6.23	Modification, damage or Destruction or demolition of an archaeological site	₽
	Any alterations to an archaeological site (scheduled or not) included within	

Comment [MSOffice91]: #604, #621, #426, #672, #688, #696, #726

Rule	Activity Standard - Archaeological sites listed in Section 26.10	All sites	
	the provisions of an authority to modify, damage or destroy under the HNZPTA 2014 <sup>9</sup> , provided that there are <b>no other effects on heritage</b> .		
	Destruction or demolition of an archaeological site listed in section 26.10	<u>D</u>	
	Destruction of any archaeological feature or partial or total demolition of any		 Comment [SG92]: #426
	built archaeological feature associated with an archaeological site listed in Section 26.10.		
26.6.24	Modification, damage or destruction of an archaeological site	<del>RD*</del>	
	Any alterations to a scheduled archaeological site included within the provisions of an authority to modify, damage or destroy under the HNZPTA 2014, provided that there are only minor other effects on heritage.		
	*Restricted Discretion is limited to:		
	The extent of the development on any heritage feature that is not covered under the archaeological authority.		
	Relocation of an archaeological feature associated with affecting an archaeological site		
	Any activity that results in an archaeological feature associated with an archaeological site listed in Section 26.10 being relocated within the same site or to another site.	<u>D</u>	 Comment [SG93]: #426
	·		Comment [MSOffice94]: #426
	For the purpose of this rule, consistent with the definition of 'archaeological site' in Section 26.6 (Terms used in this Chapter), archaeological feature means any building or structure or part thereof, which is associated with human activity that occurred before 1900 and which provides or may provide, through investigation by archaeological methods, evidence relating to the history of NZ, and includes any such site, for which a declaration has		
	been made under section 43(1) of the HNZPTA 2014		 Comment [MSOffice95]: #406
26.6.25	Modification or alteration damage or destruction of an archaeological site	Đ	Comment [MSOffice96]: #426
20.0.20	Any alterations to a scheduled archaeological site included within the provisions of an authority to modify, damage or destroy under the HNZPTA 2014, where there are more than minor other effects on heritage.		
	Modification or alteration of an archaeological site listed in Section 26.10	RD*	
	*Discretion is limited to:		
	The effect of the alteration or modification on the heritage values of the site.		
26.6.26	Modification, damage or destruction of an archaeological site	PR	
	In breach of the HNZPTA 2014.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014

Rule	Activity Standard - Archaeological sites listed in Section 26.10	All sites
	Development within the <del>curtilage or</del> setting <sup>10</sup> or Extent of Place	D
	Works including earthworks, signage, lighting, street furniture, new buildings and structures.	
	Development in the Extent of Place where this is specifically defined in the Inventory (26.9) or, where no Extent of Place is defined, this rule shall apply to development within the setting.	
	For the purpose of this rule:	
	<b>Development</b> means new buildings and structures, earthworks that otherwise requires consent under the earthworks rules, carpark areas over 15m² within view of a public road, and carpark areas over 40m² elsewhere. 'Development' does not include any land use activity occurring in the setting (such as residential, retail, or industrial activity), which is managed instead through the relevant zone provisions.	
	'Setting' is as defined in Section 26.6 - Terms Used in this Chapter.	

Comment [MSOffice97]: #688, #696, #726, #672, #621, #368, #524,

Comment [SG98]: #426

Note: An Authority from Heritage New Zealand will also be required to destroy or modify or cause to be destroyed or modified the whole or any part of an archaeological site, pursuant to the HNZPTA 2014,11

#### **Table 6 Heritage landscapes**

Rule	Activity Standard	All landscapes	
26.6.27	Development in heritage landscapes	D	 Comment [MSOffice99]: #519
	Earthworks over 200m³ (but excluding farm track access, fencing, firebreaks and public use tracks, and mining, activities)		 Comment [SG100]: #519
	Buildings ever 5m2 in footprint.		 Comment [MSOffice101]: #519
	Subdivision.		 Comment [MSOffice102]: #383
	Forestry.		
	Removal or destruction of any heritage feature that contributes to the values of the heritage landscape and is referred to in the Statement		 Comment [MSOffice103]: #519

Queenstown Lakes District Council Proposed District Plan 2015 - Right of Reply - 6 July 2016

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Setting means the area around and/or adjacent to a place of cultural heritage value that is integral to its function, meaning, and relationships. Setting includes the structures, outbuildings, features, gardens, curtilage, airspace, and accessways forming the spatial context of the place or used in association with the place. Setting also includes cultural landscapes, townscapes, and streetscapes; perspectives, views, and viewshafts to and from a place; and relationships with other places which contribute to the cultural heritage value of the place. Setting may extend beyond the area defined by legal title, and may include a buffer zone necessary for the long-term protection of the cultural heritage value of the place. ICOMOS New Zealand Charter 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014

Rule	Activity Standard	All landscapes	
	of Significance or Key Features to be protected.		 Comment [SG104]: #519
	Where archaeological sites are referred to in the Statements of Significance or Key Features to be protected, you are referred to the definition of archaeological sites in Section 26.6 - Terms Used in this Chapter.		Comment [SG105]: #426
	Note: If intending to destroy or modify or cause to be destroyed or modified an archaeological site, an Authority will be required from Heritage New Zealand pursuant to the HNZPTA 2014 <sup>12</sup> and if the archaeological site is listed in 26.10 of the District Plan then resource consent may also be required under Table 5 (rules 26.6.24 - 26.6.27)		

26.6.28 Evaluation criteria for inclusion of features in the Inventory of protected features and their Category and for string and categorising heritage features and assessing resource consent applications

Comment [MSOffice106]: #604

The following criteria are used to:

- Determine the listing and category of listed features. whether a feature should be included in the Inventory and the category of such listed features; and to
- These criteria shall be used to Assess the effects of a proposal on heritage values and on the
  overall heritage significance of a feature, when preparing and assessing resource consent
  applications.

Heritage Assessments exist for many of the Protected Features and these provide a detailed assessment of the values of the feature and a conclusion of its overall significance. These assessments are available from the Council and should be used as the starting point for any evaluation. Where such an assessment does not exist, then your evaluation will need to be based on existing historical information, which can be obtained from various sources, including the Council's archaeological alert layer, Heritage New Zealand, the Council's resource consent files, and the Lakes District Museum.

#### 1. Historic and Social Value

- a. Whether the feature reflects characteristics of national and/or local history.
- b. With regard to local history, whether the feature represents important social and development patterns of its time, such as settlement history, farming, transport, trade, civic, cultural and social aspects.
- c. Whether the feature is significant in terms of a notable figure, event, phase or activity.
- d. The degree of community association or public esteem for the feature.
- e. Whether the feature has the potential to provide knowledge and assist in public education with regard to Otago and New Zealand History.

#### 2. Cultural and Spiritual Value

<sup>12</sup> Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014

26-17

Queenstown Lakes District Council Proposed District Plan 2015 - Right of Reply - 6 July 2016

- a. Whether it is of special significance to Tangata Whenua.
- b. <u>Contribution to the characteristics of a way of life, philosophy, religion or other belief which is held</u> by a particular group or community.

#### 3. Architectural Value

- a. Whether the building or structure has architectural or artistic value.
- b. Whether the feature represents a particular era or style of architecture or significant designer.
- c. Whether the style of the building or structure contributes to the general character of the area.
- d. The degree to which the feature is intact.
- e. Whether the building or structure has undergone any alteration, thereby changing the original design.

#### 4. Townscape and Context Value

- a. Whether the feature plays a role in defining a space or street.
- b. Whether the feature provides visual interest and amenity.
- c. <u>Degree of unity in terms of scale, form materials, textures and colour in relation to its setting and/or surrounding buildings.</u>

#### 5. Rarity and Representative Value

- a. Whether the feature is a unique or exceptional representative of its type either locally or nationally.
- b. Whether the feature represents a way of life, a technology, a style or a period of time.
- c. Whether the feature is regarded as a landmark or represents symbolic values.
- d. Whether the feature is valued as a rarity due to its type, style, distribution and quantity left in existence.

#### 6. Technological Value

- a. Whether the building has technical value in respect of the structure, nature and use of materials and/or finish.
- b. Whether the building or structure is representative of a particular technique.

#### 7. Archaeological Value

a. Significance in terms of important physical evidence of human activities which through archaeological investigation could provide knowledge of the history of Otago and New Zealand.

#### 26.7 Non-notification


The provisions of the RMA apply in determining whether an application needs to be processed on a notified basis. No activities or non-compliances with the standards in this chapter have been identified for processing on a non-notified basis.


### **Inventory of Protected Features**

26.8 Heritage Precincts

26.8.1 Queenstown Courthouse Heritage Precinct

**Comment [MSOffice107]:** #596, #604





#### 26.8.2 Statement of Significance

The Precinct represents the historically significant civic centre of Queenstown and contains a number of important heritage buildings, open spaces and structures. Their design and the nature of their stone construction convey their high status within the District. The buildings / structures are an architectural statement of permanency, stability and prosperity as the town evolved progressively from its early canvas tent and timber structures to a new generation of enduring public buildings. The buildings / structures generally remain intact and have a high degree of historical and architectural authenticity within the town. They are very distinctive and prominent features of the townscape in this part of Queenstown and define its provenance. Their scale, form and materials are characteristic of 19<sup>th</sup> century Queenstown and, together, they are considered to have high 'group' / contextual value in relation to each other. The Stone Bridge is also a rare example of its kind in the District.

#### 26.8.3 Key features to be protected

- 26.8.3.1 The individual principal historic buildings; their form, scale, materials and significance. Incremental loss must be avoided.
- 26.8.3.2 The 'group' value of the buildings within the Precinct and their setting within it, including the open spaces.
- 26.8.3.3 The townscape / landmark value of the Precinct, i.e., other buildings, development and signage within the precinct or adjoining it should not adversely affect or diminish the significance of the Heritage Precinct.

#### 26.8.4 Queenstown Mall Heritage Precinct



Blue shapes are the non-contributory buildings.

#### 26.8.5 Statement of Significance

The Precinct represents the historically significant commercial centre of Queenstown and still embodies its early settlement pattern from when the town was set out in 1864. This is evident in the arrangement of the sections and the street layout within the precinct. The Precinct contains a wide variance of architectural styles and features of interest is centred on the Mall (Ballarat Street), which since the earliest days of Queenstown has been the principal thoroughfare from the lake through the town. The route of Ballarat Street running up to Hallenstein Street and the frontage of Eichardt's Hotel near the lake provide an historically iconic view of the town from the lake of outstanding townscape and contextual value. The Precinct is considered to have high archaeological value for the evidence that it could provide of the early settlement of Queenstown and its pre-1900 development.

#### 26.8.6 Key features to be protected

- 26.8.6.1 The group of reasonably intact 19<sup>th</sup> century commercial buildings / structures towards the lake end of the Mall and their setting within the Precinct.
- 26.8.6.2 The early settlement pattern of the town (the arrangement of the sections and the street layout within the Precinct). Incremental loss must be avoided.
- 26.8.6.3 The view of the Precinct from the lake, including the straight view up Ballarat Street to Hallenstein and vice-versa.
- 26.8.6.4 The archaeology of the Precinct.

#### 26.8.7 Queenstown Marine Parade Heritage Precinct



Blue shapes are the non-contributory buildings.

#### 26.8.8 Summary of significance

The combination of the heritage buildings, the environs of Marine Parade and the shoreline of Lake Wakatipu and the landscape beyond, result in the Heritage Precinct being of unique and exceptional townscape significance. The heritage buildings within the Precinct are representative of the evolution of the early settlement into a permanent and prosperous town. The Masonic Lodge and William's Cottage are thought to be amongst the oldest buildings in the town and create a Precinct of architectural 'gems', which signifies the social and tourist heritage of the town.

#### 26.8.9 Key features to be protected

- 26.8.9.1 The individual principal historic buildings; their form scale, materials and significance. Incremental loss must be avoided.
- 26.8.9.2 The unique and exceptional townscape significance of the Precinct.

#### 26.8.10 Arrowtown Town Centre Heritage Precinct



#### 26.8.11 Statement of Significance

The precinct represents the commercial centre of the town and includes a nucleus of heritage buildings that have developed on the site of the 1864 relocated town centre. Buildings such as the former BNZ bank premises (associated with the renowned architect, R.A. Lawson) and Pritchard's Store date from the mid -1870s are symbolic of the development of the town during that economically stable period. The Postmaster's House and Post &Telegraph office have origins in the 20<sup>th</sup> century and are symbolic of the later progression of the town. The Precinct is held in high esteem by the local community and visitors alike and is a very popular tourist attraction. It contains heritage buildings / structures that are of high aesthetic and architectural significance within the District and wider region as authentic examples or representation of a goldfields' town dating from the 1860s and 1870s. It is considered to have high archaeological value for the evidence that it could provide of pre-1900 commercial Arrowtown dating to the early to mid – 1860s.

#### 26.8.12 Key features to be protected

- 26.8.12.1 The unity of the Precinct in terms of scale, form, materials, textures and colours in relation to its mountain and river setting.
- 26.8.12.2 The 'group' value of the Precinct and its representative image of a traditional goldfields town.
- 26.8.12.3 The streetscape, and street and section patterns.
- 26.8.12.4 Views through the Precinct.
- 26.8.12.5 Archaeology.

#### 26.8.13 Arrowtown Cottages Heritage Precinct



Blue shapes are the non-contributory buildings.

#### 26.8.14 Statement of Significance

The Precinct represents the historically significant and authentic early years of the settlement and development of Arrowtown from, principally, a social perspective. It contains some of the town's most important buildings and features, including 1870s miners' cottages, the Masonic Lodge, the Green and the tree-lined avenue. The architectural and aesthetic quality of the precinct is derived from its plain, functional, small scale buildings, principally of timber and iron, which represent the typical form of accommodation in which miners and their families lived during the Central Otago Gold Rush years. The larger stone buildings demonstrate progress and permanence as the prosperity and confidence of the town grew. The tree-lined avenue and Green have great aesthetic appeal and provide the setting for the buildings within the precinct. The Precinct has very high townscape / contextual and rarity significance within the District.

#### 26.8.15 Key Features to be protected

- 26.8.15.1 The individual principal historic buildings; their form, scale, materials and significance. Incremental loss must be avoided.
- 26.8.15.2 The 'group' value of the buildings within the precinct and their setting within it, including the open spaces.
- 26.8.15.3 The townscape / landmark value of the Precinct i.e., other buildings, development and signage within the Precinct or adjoining it should not adversely affect or diminish the significance of the heritage Precinct.
- 26.8.15.4 Archaeology.

# 26.9 Buildings, structures and features (collectively referred to in this Chapter as Protected Features or Features)

Ref	Мар	Description (including Extent of	Legal Description	NZHPT	QLDC
No	Ref	Place where defined)	(Valuation Reference)	HNZ Cat / No.	Cat
1	36	St Peter's Anglican Church Organ, St Peter's Church, corner Church and Camp Streets	Lot 1 DP 365052 (2910504403)		3
2	36	St Peter's Anglican Church Carved Eagle Lectern, St Peter's Church, corner Church and Camp Streets	Lot 1 DP 365052 (2910504403)		3
3	37	The paddle steam ship "Antrim's" former engines and boiler within the winding house, plus the slipway and cradle, the winch house, and the Antrim's former boiler, Kelvin Peninsula	Adjacent to Sections 25 and 26, Block I, Coneburn SD (on water's edge) (Adjacent to 2909954900)		2
4	26	Group of Stone Building remains, Whitechapel	Lot 2 DP 15996 Block VIII, Shotover SD (2907210100)		3
5	10	Skippers Road, including stone retaining walls, cuttings at Hell's Gate, Heaven's Gate, Bus Scratch Corner, road to Branches and geographical features Lighthouse Rock, Castle Peak and Long Gully but excluding that part of long Gully legally described as Sections 3, 4 and 5 SO Plan 24648	Road Reserve Commencing at Coronet Peak Road and ending at the end of Branches Road – Blocks II, XV, XVI Shotover SD and Block II Skippers SD.	† <u>1</u> /  7684	2
6	10	The Macetown Road and all road stone retaining walls. From Butler Park, Buckingham Street, Arrowtown through to Macetown Historic Reserve.	Road reserve adjacent to Part Section 2 Block XXV Town of Arrowtown and Run 23, 25, 26, 39 and Part Run 27 (Road Reserve adjacent to 2918233400, 2907214600, 2907212500, 2907214700, 2907300200)		3
7	9	The Hillocks, vicinity Dart Bridge	Part Sections 1 & 2, Block IV, Dart SD (2911130400, 2911130500)		3
8	25	Bible Face, Glenorchy. Vicinity Depot and Gravel Pit, Queenstown- Glenorchy Road, Glenorchy. Exact location shown by the building line restriction.	Part Section 2, Block XIX, Town of Glenorchy (2911120100)		3
9	13	Judge and Jury Rocks, rock features only, Vicinity Kawarau Gorge Bridge	Section 4, Block I, Kawarau SD (2907213800)		3
10	9	Peter Tomb's rock, near Diamond Lake	Section 43 Block II Dart SD (2911131800)		3

**Comment [MSOffice108]:** #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Comment [SG110]: #621

Comment [MSOffice111]: #201

Comment [MSOffice112]: #426 (for all such changes)

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
NO	Kei	riace where defined)	(Valuation Reference)	Cat / No.	Cai
11	36	Horne Creek, running through Queenstown Town Centre.	Runs from Lot 1 DP20875 Block V, Queenstown Village Green through Lot 1 and Lot 2 DP416867, Lot 2 DP 357929, Lot 2 DP 18459 Block XXXI, Road reserve and adjacent to Sections 2 & 3 Block LII adjacent to Sections 2 & 3 Block LII and ending adjacent to Section 1 Block LII. (2910631100, 2910500301, 2910500510, Adjacent to 2910500401, 2910500500 and 2910506500)		2
12	36	Hotop's Rise, Corner Earl and Camp Street	Road Reserve (Camp Street)		2
13	35	Queenstown Gardens and Plantation Reserve Block, 52 Park Street	Section 7 Block LI Town of Queenstown (2910507200)		2
14	12	Copper mine tunnel, Moke Creek	Run 11 Glenorchy Mid Wakatipu (2907305900)		3
15	12	Re-direction tunnel, Moke Creek	Run 11 Glenorchy Mid Wakatipu (2907305900)		3
16	33	Boatshed, Slipway and original Old Ticket Office, Frankton Marina Recreation Reserve	Sections 59 & Part Section 39 Block XXI Shotover SD (2910331100)		2
17	35	Queenstown Cemetery, Brecon Street	Section 132 Block XX Shotover SD (2910614701)		2
18	35	Transit of Venus Site, 8 Melbourne Street, Queenstown	Section 15, Block XXXVI, Town of Queenstown (2910537500)		23
19	10	Cemetery, Skippers	Section 56, Block XI, Skippers Creek SD (2907301000)		3
20	36	Lake Level Plaque, Marine Parade (beside Jetty), Queenstown	Section 6 Block LI Town of Queenstown (2910506600)		3
21	36	Rees Tablet, Waterfront, Marine Parade, Queenstown	Section 6 Block LI Town of Queenstown (2910506600)		3

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
				Cat / No.	
22	30	Robert Lee's Memorial Trough, Ladies Mile, SH 6	Road reserve adjacent to Lot 2, DP 12921, Shotover SD (Road Reserve Adjacent to 29071402001)		3
23	25	War Memorial, Mull Street, Glenorchy	Section 1560R, Block XII, Town of Glenorchy (Adjacent to 2911101100)		2
24	35	William Rees Memorial, Queenstown Gardens	Part Section 7 Block LI Town of Queenstown (2910507200)		3
25	34	Haki Te Karu Plaque, Queenstown Gardens	Part Section 7 Block LI Town of Queenstown (2910507200)		3
26	34	Scott Rock Memorial, Queenstown Gardens	Part Section 7 Block LI Town of Queenstown (2910507200)		3
27	36	War Memorial Gate, Marine Parade	Road Reserve and Section 6 Block LI Town of Queenstown (Marine Parade) (Road reserve and 2910506600)		2
28	33	1940 Centennial Gates, Queenstown Airport	Lot 2 DP 304345 (2910100106)		3
29	39	Thomas Arthur Monument, Beside Edith Cavell Bridge, Arthurs Point	Road Reserve Crown Land Block XIX Shotover SD (Road Reserve opposite 2910721001)		3
30	25	Centennial Gates, Entrance to Recreation Ground, Corner Mull and Oban Streets, Glenorchy.	Section 1 Block XX Town of Glenorchy (2911118700)		3
31	13	Steam Engine Beside Oxenbridge Tunnel, Arthurs Point	Part Section 148 Crown Land (Shotover River) Block XIX Shotover SD (2907303900)		2
<del>32</del>	33	Frankton Mill Site, Kawarau Road, Frankton	Section 3, Block XVIII, Town of Queenstown (2910121800)	1	3
33	12	Trig Station, Mount Nicholas Station	Block X, Part Run 630, Mid Wakatipu SD (2911136100)		3
34	9	Invincible Mine, including the battery, and buddle sites, Vicinity Rees River	Part run 752 Earnslaw SD (2907321000)		3
			Legal description: Sections 1 and 2 Blk XII Earnslaw		

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Comment [MSOffice114]: #604

Comment [MSOffice115]: #426, #201

Ref	Мар	Description (including Extent of	Legal Description	NZHPT	QLDC	
No	Ref	Place where defined)	(Valuation Reference)	HNZ Cat / No.	Cat	 Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726
				1		 Comment [MSOffice109]: #426
			SD, SO 18563 (Invincible Mine Historic Reserve, NZ Gazette 1979 p.570) Otago Land District Heritage New Zealand Cat/No: 2/5603 & 5604			Comment [MSOffice116]: #426
35	39	Edith Cavell Bridge Arthur's Point	Bridge adjoining Crown Land Block XIX Shotover SD being the banks of the Shotover River (Road Reserve opposite 2910721001)	↓ <u>1</u> / 4371	1	
36	36	Ballarat Street Bridge, Horne Creek Queenstown Town Centre	Adjacent to Lot 1, DP 20875, Block V and Lot 1 DP 20964, Block XXXI, Town of Queenstown (Road Reserve Adjacent to 2910631100 and 2910500300)	† <u>1</u> /7097	1	
<del>37</del>	<del>36</del>	TSS Earnslaw (the ship), whose	Adjacent to Section 76	-	4	
		berthing is located at Steamer Wharf, Beach Street	Block XX Shotover SD (Adjacent to 2910642000)			 Comment [MSOffice117]: #604
			-			 Comment [SG118]: #621
38	36	Bridge over Horne Creek - 11 Camp Street	Lot 2 DP 357929 (2910500401)		2	
39	36	Lychgate, St Peter's Anglican Church, Corner Camp and Church Street	Lot 1 DP 365052 (29105 04403)		3	
40	33	Kawarau Falls Bridge, Frankton	Bridge adjoining Section 4 Block XVIII, Town of Frankton (Adjoining 2910121800)	¥ <u>1</u> / 7448	<u>21</u>	Comment [MSOffice119]: #426, #201
41	13	Kawarau Gorge Suspension Bridge, Vicinity Gibbston	Bridge adjoining Sections 63 and 64, Block I, Kawarau SD. (2907200700)	¥ <u>1</u> / 50	1	
42	35	Stone Walled Race, 26 Hallenstein Street Queenstown	Section 12, Block XXXV, Town of Queenstown (2910532900)	# <u>2</u> / 5224	3	
43	30	Fish Smoker, Lake Hayes	Lot 6 DP 353144 (2907126606)		2	
44	35	Stone Walls, Queenstown Cemetery, Brecon Street.	Section 132 Block XX Shotover SD (2910614701)		3	
45	10	Skippers Bridge, Shotover River	Adjacent to Shotover Riverbank, Crown Land and Section 148, Block XI, Skippers Creek SD (Bridge adjoining	↓ <u>1</u> / 7684	21	Comment [MSOffice120]: #426

Ref	Map	Description (including Extent of	Legal Description	NZHPT	QLDC
No	Ref	Place where defined)	(Valuation Reference)	HNZ Cat / No.	Cat
			2907301600)		
46	9	Scheelite Battery, Glenorchy (Mt Judah)	SECTION 7 SO 369025 (2911125502)		3
47	33 Frankton Cemetery Walls and Gates, Frankton-Ladies Mile Highway  Cemetery Reserve No 1 Frankton Town. On the boundary of Crown Land and Part section 5 Block XXI Shotover SD and Lot 1 DP 11353 (On the boundary of 2910340500, 2910340400 and 2910340600)			3	
48	33	Old Frankton Racecourse Stand (Mount Cook Hangar), Lucas Place	Lot 2 DP 304345 (2910100106)		3
49	33	Brunswick Flour Mill-turbine and stone buildings by Kawarau Falls Bridge, 22 Bridge Street.	Sections 3 & 4 and Block I Town of Frankton and unformed road. (2910121000 and Road Reserve)		2
50	31	Stone Buildings, Tucker Beach Road	Lot 15 DP 351843 (2907146901)		3
51	25	Railway Shed and Track, Recreation Reserve Benmore Place, Glenorchy	Section 22 Block IV Glenorchy SD (2911124100)		3
52	25	Glenorchy Wharf, Vicinity of Recreation Reserve Benmore Place, Glenorchy	Lake Bed Adjacent to Section 22 Block Glenorchy SD (Adjacent to 2911124100)		3
53	25	Glenorchy Library Building, 15 Argyle Street, Glenorchy	Section 23 Block II Town of Glenorchy (2911113900)		3
54	9	Scheelite mine and associated ruins, sluicing area and compressor, and other shaft entrances, Paradise Trust.	Section 39 Block II Dart SD Part Section 148 Block XI Skippers Creek Part Mt Aurum Recreation Reserve (2911131900)		3
55	10	Dam in Skippers Creek	Part Section 148 Block XI Skippers Creek SD (2907300400)		3
56	36	Hulbert House (Tutuila) 68 Ballarat Street  Extent of Place: The land described as Sec 4-5, Pt Sec 3 & Pt Sec 6 Blk XIX Town of Queenstown (CT OT9B/637), Otago Land District. Refer to the map	Sections 4 & 5, Part Sections 3 & 6 Block XX Town of Queenstown (2910615900)	# <u>2</u> / 2343	3-2

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Comment [MSOffice121]: #604

Comment [MSOffice122]: #604,

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
	1101	- lace whole defined	(valuation received)	Cat / No.	Jul
		of the Extent of Place in section 26.9.1			
57	39	Dwelling, Complex Gorge Road (former Bordeau's store) 201 Arthur's Point Road.  Extent of Place: Part of the land described as Lot 1 DP 16632 (CT OT9A/1370), Otago Land District.  Refer to the map of the Extent of Place in section 26.9.1	Lot 1, DP 16632, being part of Block XIX, Shotover SD (2907100900)	# <u>2</u> / 2238	2
58	35	Stone Building, 17 Brisbane Street, Queenstown  Extent of Place: Refer to the map of the Extent of Place in section 26.9.1	Lot 9 DP 9667 (2910514500)	# <u>2</u> / 5225	2
59	36	McNeill Cottage (Mullhollands Stone House), 14 Church Street	Sections 4, SO 14826, Block III, Town of Queenstown (2910505900)	# <u>2</u> / 2330	<u>23</u>
60	36	Frederick Daniels House, 47 Hallenstein Street, Queenstown	Lot 2 DP 20343, Block XLVI, Town of Queenstown (2910548000)	# <u>2</u> / 2333	2
61	35	Waldmann Cottage "Nil Desperandum", 2 York Street, Queenstown	Lot 4 DP 17970 Town of Queenstown (2910544200)		3
62	39	House and sleep out, Paddy Mathias Place, Arthurs Point Road, Arthurs Point	Section 123 Block XIX, Shotover SD (2910720700)		2
63	35	Cottage, 28 Park Street	Section 17 Block XXXVIII Town of Queenstown (2910512900)		3-2
64	36	Masonic Lodge Building, (Lake Lodge of Ophir), Corner Marine Parade/Church Street (13 Marine Parade)	Section 6, SO 14826, Block III, Town of Queenstown (2910505800)	† <u>1</u> / 2338	1
65	35	Queenstown Bowling Club Pavilion, located within the grounds of the Queenstown Gardens	Part Sections 4-5 & 7 Block LI Queenstown Town (2910507200)		2
66	36	Williams Cottage (Mulhollands Wooden House) 21 Marine Parade	Lot 2 DP 24375 Block III Town of Queenstown (2910505500)	¥ <u>1</u> / 2336	1
67	10	Pleasant Terrace Workings, Sainsbury's House and outbuilding, Skippers Mt Aurum Recreational	Section 148 Block XI Skippers Creek SD, (2907300400)	# <u>1</u> / 5176	3-1

**Comment [MSOffice108]:** #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Comment [MSOffice123]: #604

Comment [MSOffice125]: #426 Comment [MSOffice124]: #426, #201

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ Cat / No.	QLDC Cat	 Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726
						 Comment [MSOffice109]: #426
		Reserve.  Extent of Place relating to the Pleasant				
		Terrace Workings: Part of the land described as Sec 148 Blk XI Skippers Creek SD (NZ Gazette, 1985, p.5386) and legal road (part of Skippers Road), Otago Land District and the sites associated with Pleasant Terrace				
		Workings thereon.				
		Refer to the map of the Extent of Place in section 26.9.1.				
<del>68</del>	<del>36</del>	Glenarm Cottage, 50 Camp Street, Queenstown	Section 1 Block XII Town of Queenstown (2910634200)	+	2	 Comment [MSOffice126]: #672
69	30	Laurel Bank House, 47 Maxs Way, Lower Shotover, Queenstown	Lot 8 DP 325561 (2907464700)		3	
70 <u>a</u> )	30	Threepwood and Stone Buildings, Threepwood timber villa, Lake Hayes	Lot 21 DP 378242 (2907123716)	1	2	
70b)		Threepwood stone woolshed	Lot 21 DP 378242 (2907123716)		3	 Comment [MSOffice127]: #604
71	26	Stone Cottage (McAuley), Malaghans Road  Extent of Place: legal description	Lot 1 DP 27269 Block XVI, Shotover SD (2907111100)		3	
		Refer to the map of the Extent of Place in section 26.9.1.				 Comment [SG128]: #696
72	27	Hanan's House, McDonnell Road	Part Section 19, Block VII, Shotover SD (2907129300)		3	
73	36	Thompson House (excluding additions made after 1900), 66 Hallenstein Street	Lot 1 DP 3401 Block XVI Queenstown (2910527300)		3	
74	30	McMaster House, Morven Ferry Road	Lot 1 DP 23902 Block VIII Shotover SD (2907132400)		3	
75	30	Loose Box (Mt Linton) House, SH 6/Lake Hayes	Lot 1 DP 9052 Shotover SD (2907126200)		2	
76	26	Mill House, 549 Speargrass Flat Road (Mill Creek)	Lot 1 DP 12234 Block VII Shotover SD (2907113302)	<del>II 2 /</del> 2241	3	
77	26	Oast House, 557 Speargrass Flat Road (Mill Creek)	Lot 1 DP 18523 Block VII Shotover SD (2907113301)	# <u>2</u> / 2241	3 <u>2</u>	 Comment [MSOffice129]: #426

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ Cat /	QLDC Cat	 Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696,
				No.		#726
78	13	Stone Cottage (Rees), 148 Kingston Road, SH 6, original part only	Pt Section 40 BLK XII Coneburn SD (2909954703)		3	Comment [MSOffice109]: #426
79	13	Tomanovitch Cottage, East of DOC Reserve, Gibbston.  Extent of place: The land in certificate of title OT15B/296including the orchard associated with Tomanovitch Cottage but excluding the adjacent modern dwelling.	Section 40 Block V Kawarau SD (2907204302)	# <u>2</u> / 7595	32	Comment [MSOffice130]: #426
80	26	Cottage Whitechapel, (Tomes) (Original Part Only)	Section 126, Block VIII Shotover SD (2907210500)		3	
81	9	Arcadia, Paradise, Glenorchy (Original Part Only)	Sections 3 & 4 Lot 13 DP 25326 Block II Dart SD (2911132000)		32	 Comment [MSOffice131]: #604
82	<u>26</u>	Millbrook stables (remaining historic stone structure), the implement shed (remaining historic stone structure), and the blacksmith's building/ smoker.  Extent of Place: legal description  Refer to the map of the Extent of Place	Lot 1 DP 27625, Otago <u>Land District</u> (2918530510A)		2	Comment [MSOffice133]: #604
		in section 26.9.1.				 Comment [SG132]: #696
83	30	Shaw Cottage, Morven Ferry Road	Lot 2 DP 15559 (2907132100)		3	
84	<del>39</del>	172 Arthurs Point (original part only).	Lot 1 DP 11702 being part of Block XIX, Shotover SD and Road Reserve (2910721800)		3	Comment [MSOffice134]: #604
85	36	Boyne Building (Queenstown Supermarket), The Mall, 11 Ballarat Street	Section 20 and 21, SO 14826, Block II, Town of Queenstown (2910503600)	# <u>2</u> / 5226	3	Comment [MSOffice135]: #604
86	36	Colonial Bank, The Mall, 5 Ballarat Street	Section 17, SO 14826, Block II, Town of Queenstown (2910503400)		2	
<u>87</u>	<u>35</u>	Gratuity cottage, 9 Gorge Road, Queenstown	Lot 1 DP 12476 (2910623700)		2	 Comment [MSOffice136]: #426, #604.
88	36	The Queenstown Athenaeum and Town Hall (Winnie Bagoes), The Mall, 7-9 Ballarat Street	Lot 1, DP 16597 (Previously Part Section 19), Block II, Town of Queenstown (2910503500)		3	

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat	Comment [MSOffice108]: #368,
	1101	- lace where defined	(Variation Reference)	Cat / No.	Jul	#524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726
						Comment [MSOffice109]: #426
89	35	New Zealand Forest Service Building (Former), 5 Brisbane Street	Lot 12 DP 9667 (2910514100)	₩ <u>2</u> / 2331	3	Comment [MSOffice137]: #604
90	36	The Cow Restaurant, Cow Lane	Section 16, Block I, Town of Queenstown (2910651200)	# <u>2</u> / 5227	2	
91	13	Kinross <del>Store and</del> stone buildings, Gibbston	Lot 1 DP 24857 Block V, Kawarau SD (2907203903)	# <u>2</u> / 7240	3	Comment [MSOffice138]: #604
92	31	Ferry Hotel, Spence Road, Lower Shotover	Part Section 106 Block III Shotover SD (2907122201)		2	
93	26	Butel's Flourmill (original foundations and stone wall), Off Butel Road, Millbrook Area.  Extent of Place: legal description  Refer to the map of the Extent of Place	Lot 1 DP 300042 (2918500103)	# <u>2</u> / 3206	2	
		in section 26.9.1.				Comment [SG139]: #696
94	13	Roaring Meg Power Station, SH6	Part Riverdale Reserve, Crown land adjacent to Kawarau River Block VI Kawarau SD (2907214500)		3	
95	30	Ruins Maynes Hotel, SH6, Lake Hayes Corner	Lot 1 DP352501 (2907126902)		2	
96	34	Queenstown Powerhouse, One Mile	Part Sections 110 Block XX Shotover SD (2910654000)		2	
97	25	Former Glacier Hotel (Kinloch Lodge) Armadale Street, Kinloch	Section 4 Block XX Town of Kinloch (2911121600)		<del>3/ 2</del> 2	Comment [MSOffice140]: #604
98	36	Dominican Convent (Of Our Lady of the Sacred Heart) Corner Beetham and Melbourne Street	Section 7 & 8 part Section 8 Block XXXIV Town of Queenstown SO 14831 (2910529300)		2	
99	36	St Peter's Anglican Church, Corner Camp Street and 4 Church Street	Lot 1 DP 365052 (2910504403)	# <u>2</u> / 2341	3	
100	36	St Peters Parish Hall <del>, 5</del> Earl Street	Lot 3 DP 365052 ( <del>2910504402)</del> ( <u>2910504404)</u>	# <u>2</u> / 5404	3	Comment [MSOffice141]: #604
101	36	St Peter's Parish Centre (former Vicarage), 1 Earl Street	Lot 2 DP 365052 (2910504404)	# <u>2</u> / 2342	<del>3</del> - <u>2</u>	

Ref	Map	Description (including Extent of	Legal Description	NZHPT	QLDC
No	Ref	Place where defined)	(Valuation Reference)	HNZ Cat /	Cat
				No.	
102	36	St Joseph's Roman Catholic Church, 41 Melbourne Street	Sections 6 SO 14831, Block XXXIV, Town of Queenstown (2910529300)	# <u>2</u> / 2340	2
103	25	Church, 13 Argyle Street, Glenorchy	Section 22 Block II Town of Glenorchy (2911114000)		3
104	39	The old McChesney bridge abutment remains, located by the one-way bridge by Arthurs Point Hotel, Arthurs Point	Crown Land Block XIX Shotover SD (2907150900)		2
105	29	Stone Stable, located on the former Littles farm, Littles Road, Wakatipu Basin	Lot9 DP 301885 (2907108804)		3
106	36	Former Lakes County Council Building Corner Ballarat and Stanley Streets (original part only)	Lot 1, DP 21011 (previously Section 10 and 11), Block IV, Town of Queenstown (2910630600)	# <u>2</u> / 2337	1
107	36	Courthouse (Former Library and Reading Room and Justice Building), Ballarat Street	Lot 3, DP 20964 and Section 7 Block XXXI, Town of Queenstown (2910500508, 2910500100)	1 1 / 362 / 7655	1
108	36	Coronation Bath House, Marine Parade.  Extent of Place: Part of the land in Sec 6 Blk LI Town of Queenstown (CT 46575), Otago Land District. Refer to the map of the Extent of Place in section 26.9.1	Section 6, SO 20747 Block LI, Town of Queenstown (2910506600)	# <u>2</u> / 5223	3
109	<del>25</del> 9	Old School Building, <del>Oban Street,</del> <del>Glenorchy 1771 Paradise Road,</del>	Block VI Glenorchy Town (2911116000) Section 30 Block II Dart SD (2911131900)		2
110	26	Ayrburn Homestead and Stone Farm Buildings	Lot 1 DP 18109 (house) and Part Lot 3 DP 5737 (Dennisons Farm) (2907113200, 2907116606)		2
111	30	Homestead and Stone Stables, Bendemeer Station	Lot 2 DP 366461 (2907127311)		2
112	30	McQuilkin Cottage and Stables (Original Part Only), Bendemeer Bay, Lake Hayes	Lot 1 DP 15921 (2907136301)		3

**Comment [MSOffice108]:** #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Ref	Мар	Description (including Extent of	Legal Description	NZHPT	QLDC
No	Ref	Place where defined)	(Valuation Reference)	HNZ Cat /	Cat
				No.	
113	13	Brodie Homestead and Farm Buildings (Glen Russell)	Lots 1 and 2, DP 22393 Block VIII Shotover SD (2907211501)		3
114	38	Closeburn Homestead Queenstown/Glenorchy Road, Closeburn	Lot 1 DP 22593 (2907317901)		3
115	13	Crown Lodge, 28 Glencoe Road Cardrona	Lot 1 DP 16512, Lot 1, DP 21358 Block VIII (2907212200)		3
116	13	Kawarau Station Woolshed, SH 6, Gibbston	Lot 20 DP 27121 (2907201600)		3
117	13	Stronsay Farm Buildings, Gibbston	Lot 8 DP 23706 (2907203702)		3
118	26	McEntyre Homestead, Lake Hayes/Arrowtown Road, (Original Part Only)	Lot 1 DP 20834 Block VII Shotover SD (29071 28600)		3
119	33	McBrides Farm Buildings: consisting of Original Smithy, Dairy, Barn and Woolshed, 64 Grant Road, Frankton Flats	Dairy and Woolshed: Lot 9 DP 22121 Block I Shotover SD, Smithy: Lot 11 DP 304345, Barn: Part Section 60, Block I Shotover SD (2910210500, 2910210103, 2910210001)		2
120	30	Bridesdale, Ladies Mile	Lot 3 DP 392823 (2907400508)		3
121	30	Douglas Vale, Ladies Mile	Lot 1 DP 337267 (2907401005)		3
122	30	Glenpanel, Ladies Mile On un-named road on hill above Ladies Mile	Lot 1 DP 20162 Part Section 83 Block III Shotover SD (2907123600)		3
123	26	Willowbrook Homestead, 760 Malaghans Road	Lot 1 DP 20331 Block VI Shotover SD (2907110800)		3
124	29	Ben Lomond Station Homestead, 101 Malaghans Road	Lot 2 DP 1800 Shotover SD (2907100700)		3
125	29	Cockburn Homestead, 18 Malaghans Road	Lot 1 DP 300530 (2907100502)		3
126	26	Muter Farm Homestead (Roger Monk), McDonnell Road	Part Section 88 Block VII Shotover SD (2918400400)		2
127	30	Stone Barn, 297 Morven Ferry Road	Lot 4 DP 300119 (2907132313)		3

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
			,	Cat / No.	
128	30	Stables, Morven Ferry Road	Lot 2 DP 397 602 (2907132313)		3
129	13	Royalburn Station Homestead, off Crown Range Road (Original Part Only)	Lot 2 DP 304567 (2907212003)		3
130	10	Mount Aurum Homestead, Skippers, Mount Aurum Recreational Reserve	Sections 148, Block XI Skippers Creek SD Run 818 Blocks 2-4, 7, 8, 11. Poolnoon SD (2907300400)	# <u>2</u> / 5176	2
131	29	Stables, Barn, Smithy, Stone Cottage, Wooden Cottage and Ruins, Thurlby Domain, Speargrass Flat Road.  Extent of Place: Part of the land described as Lot 1 DP 22310 (CT 35296) and the land described as Lot 2 DP 22310 (CT OT14C/392), Otago Land District. The extent encompasses two areas linked by a corridor of land along part of the driveway and the road fence line. Included within the extent are the wooden cottage the corrugated iron farm shed, the stone cottage, and two stone stables buildings. These are connected to the ruins of the former homestead by a 0.5 metre strip of land that runs along the fence line facing Speargrass Flat Road and includes a section of driveway off Speargrass Flat Road, including the iron gates, extending one metre either side of the centreline. For clarity the Extent of Place includes an area of one metre around the ruins. Refer to the map of the Extent of Place in section 26.9.1.	Lot 2 DP 22310 (2907119704)	#1 / 2240	2-1
132	13	Seffers Town School House, Moke Creek	Part Block XI, Mid Wakatipu SD		2
133	36	Eureka House <u>building</u> <del>facade</del> , 17 Ballarat Street, Queenstown	Sections 23 SO 14826, Block II Town of Queenstown (2910503800)		3
134	36	Forresters Lodge building, Ballarat Street (all external façade)	Lot 1, DP 21011 (previously Section 12), Block IV, Town of Queenstown (2910630600)	# <u>2</u> / 2332	2
135	36	Van Der Walde Building - facade The Mall, Ballarat Street (Skyline Arcade)	Lot 2, DP 19416 (previously Part Section 13) Block I, Town of Queenstown (2910651000)		2

**Comment [MSOffice108]:** #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Comment [MSOffice144]: #426 Comment [MSOffice145]: #604, #426

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
			,	Cat / No.	
136	36	Eichardts Hotel facade, Corner Ballarat Street (The Mall) & Marine Parade, Queenstown	Sections 15 and 16, Block II, Town of Queenstown (2910503201)	# <u>2</u> / 7439	2
137	36	Mountaineer Hotel facade, Corner Rees and Beach Street, Queenstown	Lot 2 DP 22252 Block VII, Town of Queenstown (2910645501)		2
138	36	Façade, 3 Rees Street, Queenstown	Part Section 19 and Section 20 Block I, Town of Queenstown (2910651500)		3
139	10	School House at Mt Aurum	Section 148 Block XI Skippers Creek (2907300400)	<u>2/</u> 5176	3
140	10	Bullendale hydro electric dynamo and mining site. Township—including Eden Hut and Musters Hut.  Extent of Place: Part of the land described as Sec 148 Blk XI Skippers Creek SD (Recreation Reserve, NZ Gazette 1985, p.5386) and Pt Legal Road (Bullendale Track), Otago Land District, and includes all remnants around the site belonging to the era of gold mining era and all objects associated with the mining and power generation operations and settlement at Bullendale within the extent of registration boundary.  Refer to the map of the Extent of Place in section 26.9.1.	Section 148 Block XI Skippers Creek (2907300400)		21
144	10	Strohle's Hut	Part Run 27 Shotover, Skippers Creek and Soho SD's (2907300200)		3
145	10	Otago Hotel	Section 148 Block XI Skippers Creek (2907301600)		3
216	13	Chard Road	Road Reserve		2
217	10	Macnicol Battery, Aurum Basin	Part Section 148 Block XI Skippers Creek Part Mt Aurum Recreation Reserve (2907300400)		2

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Comment [MSOffice147]: #426

**Comment [MSOffice149]:** #201, #426

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
				Cat / No.	
218	10	Eureka Battery, Jennings Creek	Part Section 148 Block XI Skippers Creek Part Mt Aurum Recreation Reserve (2907300400)		3
219	10	Nugget Battery below Nugget Terrace	Part Section 148 Block XI Skippers Creek Part Mt Aurum Recreation Reserve (2907300400)		3
220	34	Rifle Butt, Lake Wakatipu foreshore	Lake Wakatipu (approx. 250m south-west from Fernhill Road Roundabout)		3
221	35	Beacon Tripod and Beacon	Part Section 109 Block XX Shotover SD and Lake Wakatipu (2910654000)		2
222	31	Old Shotover Bridge	Joins Crown Land Block II Shotover Survey District and Spence Road		3
223	13	Victoria Bridge Supports, Gibbston Highway	River and Road Reserve		3
224	13	Ryecroft House, 1800 Gibbston Highway	Lot 1 DP 9947 (2907200800)		3
225	13	Perriam's House, Gibbston Back Road	Lot 3 DP 23253 (2907202903)		3
226	9	Paradise House ( <u>Miller House</u> ), Paradise Trust, <u>1771</u> Paradise Road	Section 30 Block II Dart SD (2911131900)	<u>1 /</u> 7766	2
227	25	Coll Street Cottage, Coll Street	Lot 1 DP 22743 (2911119101)		3
228	10	Curries Hut, Dynamo Creek	Part Section 148 Block XI Skippers Creek Part Mt Aurum Recreation Reserve (2907300400)		3
229	13	Post Office at Seffertown	Part Run 794 Mid Wakatipu, Shotover, Skippers Creek and Glenorchy SDs (2907303900)		2
230	13	Store at Seffertown	Part Run 794 Mid Wakatipu, Shotover, Skippers Creek and Glenorchy SDs (2907303900)		2

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
				Cat / No.	
231	13	Library at Seffertown	Part Run 794 Mid Wakatipu, Shotover, Skippers Creek and Glenorchy SDs (2907303900)		2
232	13	Resta Stone Stables, Resta Road/Camp Hill	Glenroy Station		3
233	13	Wentworth Cookshop, 2125 Gibbston Highway	Lot 20 DP 27121 (2907201600)		3
234	13	Remnants of Gibbston Hotel, Dairy, Stables and out buildings. Rapid No. 8, Coal Pit Road	Lot 1 and Lot 3 DP 385701 (2907201802, 2907201803)		3
235	13	Gibbston school teachers house, 2214 Gibbston Highway	Part Section 11 Block V Kawarau SD (2907202000)		2
236	13	Rum Curries Hut, Rafters Road	Section 39 Block V Kawarau SD (2907204500)		1
237	12	Goods shed, Elfin Bay Station, beside wharf	Section 12 SO 12351 (2911135401)		3
238	9	E. Barnetts Hut - Wyuna Station Scheelite Mining Area	Section 14 SO 369025 (2911125502)		3
239	25	Kinloch jetty and wharf building	Sec 4, Blk XX Town of Kinloch (associated with Kinloch Lodge) (2911121600)		2
240	30	Marshall Cottage, Strains Road, Threepwood, Lake Hayes	Lot 2 DP 21614 (2907123753		3
241	33	Kawarau Falls Dairy and Meat Store	Lot 4 DP 385775		2
242	30	Threepwood Stables	Lot 2 DP 21614		<u>2-1</u>
248	31	Hicks Cottage, Old School Road	Lot 101 DP325561		3
250	28	Millers Flat Church, Roman's Lane, Arrowtown	Part Section 3 Block x Town of Arrowtown (2918217100)		3
251	28	Former Methodist Church, 8 Berkshire Street, Arrowtown	Pt Secs 1&2 BLK VII Arrowtown (2918231100)		3
252	26	Shanahan's Cottage, Arrowtown Golf Course	Sec 3, Blk XXXII Tn of Arrowtown (2918400500)		3
253	26	Stone Cottage, 253 Centennial Avenue, Arrowtown (Limited curtilage)	Section 5 SO 445725 (2907130002)		2

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
		- iass who a deminary	(valuation iteration)	Cat / No.	
301	28	King Edward VII Memorial Lamp, Corner Wiltshire Street and Berkshire Street, Arrowtown.	Road reserve adjacent to Block VI, Town of Arrowtown	# <u>2</u> / 2107	3
		Extent of place: The immediate area around the King Edward VII Memorial Lamp. Refer to the map of the Extent of Place in section 26.9.1.			
302	28	Explosive Magazine, Malaghans Road, Arrowtow <u>n</u> .	Sections 9 Block XIX, Town of Arrowtown (2918235002C)	# <u>2</u> / 2108	3
303	28	World War I Field Gun, reserve, Corner Caernarvon and Durham Street	Part Section 5 Block XVIII Town of Arrowtown (2918234800)		2
304	10	Scholes Tunnel, Macetown Road	Run 26 Block XVIII Shotover SD Macetown Road (2907214600)		3
305	28	Cobbled Gutters, Berkshire Street, Arrowtown	Road Reserve	# <u>2</u> / 2086	2
308	28	World War I Memorial Reserve, Corner Caenarvon and Durham Street Arrowtown	Part Section 5, Block XVIII Town of Arrowtown (2918234800)	# <u>2</u> / 2124	2
309	26	William Fox Memorial, Coopers Terrace, Arrow River, Arrowtown	Run 26 Block XVIII Shotover SD (2907214600)		2
310	28	Stone Wall, Arrow Lane Arrowtown	Fronting Lots 1 and 2, DP9213 and Lot 1 DP17116 Block VI, Town of Arrowtown (2918228100, 2918228200)		3
311	28	Stone Wall, Recreation Reserve, Buckingham Street Arrowtown	Sections 1 and 2, Block XXV, Town of Arrowtown (2918233400, 2918232600)	# <u>2</u> / 2120	3
312	28	Ah Wak's Lavatory, 2 Buckingham Street Arrowtown	Lot 4 DP 18410 (2918232900)	# <u>2</u> / 2084	2
313	28	Cemetery Wall	Block II Section 10, 12, 13 Town of Arrowtown (2918234900)		3
314	28	Stone wall, old Arrowtown Primary School, Anglesea Street	Section 14 Block IV Town of Arrowtown (2918223202)		2
315	28	Cottage, 9 Anglesea Street Arrowtown	Section 7, Block V, Town of Arrowtown (2918220300)	# <u>2</u> / 3167	2

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
	I.c.	- idea where defined	(Valdation Reference)	Cat / No.	
316	28	Cottage, 10 Anglesea Street Arrowtown	Lot 2 DP 342961 (2918223204)	# <u>2</u> / 2087	3
317	28	Cottage, 11 Anglesea Street Arrowtown	Lot 2, DP11488 (2918220400)	# <u>2</u> / 3166	2
318	28	Cottage, 12 Anglesea Street Arrowtown	North Part Section 10/11, Block IV, Town of Arrowtown (2918223100)	# <u>2</u> / 2088	2
319	28	Cottage, 21 Anglesea Street Arrowtown	Part Section 6, Block II, Town of Arrowtown (2918219400)	# <u>2</u> / 2089	2
320	28	Cottage, 7 Bedford Street Arrowtown	Lot 1, DP 16248, Block XXIV, Town of Arrowtown (2918216300)	₩ <u>2</u> / 2091	2
321	28	Cottage, 3 Berkshire Street Arrowtown	Lot 1, DP 9213, Block VI, Town of Arrowtown (2918228100)	# <u>2</u> / 2122	2
322	28	Cottage, 18 Berkshire Street Arrowtown	Section 3, Block XIII, Town of Arrowtown (2918234400)	# <u>2</u> / 2090	2
323	28	Dudley's House Chinese Residence and Butlers House, 4 Buckingham Street Arrowtown	Lot 1, DP 8232, being part Block VII, Town of Arrowtown (2918233000)	# <u>2</u> / 2106	2
324	28	Ah Lum's Cottage, Arrowtown Chinese Settlement, Middlesex Street	Lot 3 DP18410 Block VIII Town of Arrowtown (2918232800)	1 <u>1</u> / 4366	1
325	28	Cottage (O'Callaghan's) 16 Caernarvon Street Arrowtown	Section 3 Block XIV, Town of Arrowtown (2918224500)	# <u>2</u> / 2100	2
326	28	Old Fever Ward, 24 Caernarvon Street Arrowtown	Lot 2, DP 10960 (2918224100)	# <u>2</u> / 2101	3
327	28	Off Plumb Cottage, 38 Caernarvon Street Arrowtown	Lot 1, DP 12438 (2918222200)	# <u>2</u> / 2112	2
328	28	Cottage (Low) 15 Denbigh Street Arrowtown	Lot 1, DP 11234 (2918221200)	# <u>2</u> / 2102	2
329	28	McClintock's Cottage, 31 Merioneth Street Arrowtown	Sections 2 Block XX, Town of Arrowtown (2918211800)	# <u>2</u> / 2103	2
330	28	Masonic Lodge Building, 9 Wiltshire Street Arrowtown	Lot 1 DP19573, Block I, Town of Arrowtown (2918217800)	¥ <u>1</u> / 2110	2
331	28	Cottage, 11 Wiltshire Street Arrowtown	DP19573 Sections 6 & 7 Block I Town of Arrowtown (29182179000	# <u>2</u> / 3168	2

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
140	Kei	riace where defined	(valuation Reference)	Cat / No.	Cat
332	28	Cottage (former Vicarage) 34 Wiltshire Street Arrowtown	Section 20, Block VII, Town of Arrowtown (2918231500)	# <u>2</u> / 2105	2
333	28	Reidhaven, 5 7 Villiers Street Arrowtown.  Extent of Place: Includes the land described as Lot 3 DP 408944 (CT 432806), Otago Land District. Refer to the map of the Extent of Place in section 26.9.1.	Part Section 10, Block VII, Town of Arrewtown (2918231900) Lots 1 - 3 DP 408944 (CT 432806), Otago Land District (2918231901	# <u>2</u> / 2116	2
334	28	Cottage, 8 Villiers Street Arrowtown	Part Sections 2 and 3, Block VIII, Town of Arrowtown (2918233200)	# <u>2</u> / 2104	2
335	28	Adam's Cottage, 61 Buckingham Street Arrowtown	Part Section 3, Block X Town of Arrowtown (2918217100)	# <u>2</u> / 2097	3
336	26	Scheib Cottage (Original Part Only) Arrow Junction	Section 118 Block VIII Shotover SD (2907130800)		3
337	26	Doctor's House, Centennial Avenue	Lot 1 DP 22726 Block XXXIII Town of Arrowtown (2918401200)		3
338	30	Fitzgibbon Cottage, Arrow Junction Road/Morven Ferry Road	Section 82, Block VIII Shotover SD (29071328000		3
339	28	Cottage, Corner Berkshire and Caernarvon Street, Arrowtown	Section 3 Block IV Town of Arrowtown (2918223500)		3
340	28	Crowie's Cottage, 53 Buckingham Street Arrowtown	Part Section 1 Block X Town of Arrowtown (2918217500)	# <u>2</u> / 2093	2
341	27	Wilcox Cottage, Corner Devon and Cornwall Street, Arrowtown	Lot 1 DP 12431 (2918105200)		3
342	28	Luker's Cottage, Feehly Hill, Durham Street	Lot 4 DP 11307 (2918235503)		3
343	28	Forbes Cottage, original part only including chimney, 67 Buckingham Street Arrowtown	Section 2, Block XI Town of Arrowtown (2918215500)		3
344	28	McLaren Cottage, Corner Ford and Bedford Street Arrowtown	Lot 2 DP 9802 (2918203900)		3
345	28	Granny Jone's Cottage 59 Buckingham Street Arrowtown	Part Section 2 & 3 Block X Town of Arrowtown (2918217200)	# <u>2</u> / 2096	2
346	28	Gilmour's Cottage original parts only,	Lot 2 DP 19573		3

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
		- lace where definited,	(valuation resistance)	Cat / No.	Jul
		5 Hertford Street Arrowtown	(2918218000)		
347	28	Meg Cottage corner Hertford and Merioneth Street Arrowtown	Section 5 Block XII Town of Arrowtown (2918212200)		3
348	27	Johnston Cottage 51 Devon Street Arrowtown.	Lot 2 DP 16516 (2918105900)		3
349	28	Brodie Cottage 32 Kent Street Arrowtown	Section 6 Block XV Town of Arrowtown (2918222600)		3
350	28	Preston Cottage 30 Kent Street Arrowtown	Section 5 Block XV Town of Arrowtown (2918222700)		3
351	28	Furneaux Smith House, 5 Caernarvon Street Arrowtown	Lot 7 DP 11302 Town of Arrowtown (2918234000)		3
352	27	Currie's Cottage, Manse Road Arrowtown	Lot 2 DP 300024 Town of Arrowtown (2918410800)		3
353	28	Murphy's House, 1 Merioneth Street Arrowtown	Lot 2 DP 25997 Block XI Town of Arrowtown (2918215800)		3
354	28	Cottage (Fitzpatrick) 27 Merioneth Street Arrowtown	Section 2 Block XX Town of Arrowtown (2918211800)		3
355	28	Policeman's House 70 Buckingham Street, Arrowtown	Lot 19 DP 9914 Block VI (2918214300)		3
356	28	Pittaway's Cottage, 69 Buckingham Street Arrowtown	Section 3 Block XI Town of Arrowtown (2918215600)	# <u>2</u> / 2099	3
357	28	Roman's Cottage 65 Buckingham Street, Arrowtown	Lot 1 DP 12521 (2918217000)	# <u>2</u> / 2098	2
358	28	Stevenson's Cottage 55 Buckingham Street, Arrowtown	Part Sections 1 & 2 Block X Town of Arrowtown (2918217400)	# <u>2</u> / 2094	2
359	28	Cottage, 28 Wiltshire Street Arrowtown	Part Section 1 Block VII Town of Arrowtown (2918231200)		2
360	28	Summers Cottage 16 Wiltshire Street, Arrowtown	Lot 1 DP 23743 Town of Arrowtown (2918227801)		2
361	28	Summers Cottage, 12 Stafford Street	Lot 2 DP 16665 Block XVI Town of Arrowtown		2

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Ref No	Map Ref	Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
				Cat / No.	
		Arrowtown	(2918226200)		
362	28	Postmaster's House, 54 Buckingham Street, Arrowtown <sup>13</sup>	Lot 2 DP 21884 Block VI (2918228801)	# <u>2</u> / 2113	2
363	26	Walnut Cottage, 265 Arrowtown-Lake Hayes Road, original building only	Lot 1 DP 5746 (2907114002)		3
365	28	Reid's Stables, 40 Wiltshire Street, Arrowtown	Lot 9 DP 1923 (2918231800)	# <u>2</u> / 2115	2
366	27	Presbyterian Manse, 51 Manse Road Arrowtown	Lots 1 DP 342248 (2918410007)		2
367	28	St John's Church, 26 Berkshire Street Arrowtown  Extent of place: The land described as part of Sec 1 Blk XVIII Arrowtown (Otago Land District) and the garden and grounds Refer to the map of the Extent of Place in section 26.9.1.	Section 1, Block XVIII, Town of Arrowtown (2918234700)	# <u>2</u> / 2119	2
368	28	St Paul's Anglican Church, 13-15 Berkshire Street, Arrowtown	Section 1 & 2, Block IV, Town of Arrowtown (2918223400)	# <u>2</u> / 2121	2
369	28	Anglican Vestry Building, 15 Berkshire Street, Arrowtown	Sections 1 & 2, Block IV, Town of Arrowtown (2918234700)	# <u>2</u> / 2123	3
370	28	St Patrick's Church (Roman Catholic) & Blessed Mary MacKillop Cottage 7 Hertford Street Arrowtown	2918218100	# <u>2</u> / 2117	2
372	28	Arrowtown Borough Council Buildings, 57 Buckingham Street Arrowtown	Lot 1 DP 26376 Block X, Town of Arrowtown (2918217300)	₩ <u>2</u> / 2095	1
373	28	Post Office, 52 Buckingham Street, Arrowtown <sup>14</sup>	Lot 1 DP 21884 Block VI Arrowtown (2918228800)	# <u>2</u> / 2114	2
374	28	Jail and Reserve (0.0545ha), 8 Cardigan Street Arrowtown	Lot 7, DP 9914, being Part Section 15, Town of Arrowtown (2918213600)	‡ <u>1</u> / 350	1

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Heritage Protection Order Number 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Heritage protection Order Number 1

Ref	Map	Description (including Extent of	Legal Description	NZHPT	QLDC
No	Ref	Place where defined)	(Valuation Reference)	HNZ Cat /	Cat
				No.	
375	27	Police Camp Building Butler Park, Arrowtown	Part Section 2 Block XXV Town of Arrowtown (2918233400)		2
378	28	Arrowtown General Store, 18-20 Buckingham Street, Arrowtown	Lot 1 DP 27544 (2918229800)	<del>1</del> <u>1</u> / 4370	2
379	28	Stable Block (The Stables Restaurant), 28 Buckingham Street, Arrowtown.  Extent of place: Part of the land described as Lot 1 DP 12884 (CT 222970), Otago Land District. Refer to the map of the Extent of Place in section 26.9.1.	Lot 1 DP 12884 (2918229600)	# <u>2</u> / 2118	2
380	28	Stone Cottage, 51 Buckingham Street Arrowtown	Part 1 Section 1, Block X, Town of Arrowtown (2918217600)	# <u>2</u> / 2092	2
381	28	B.N.Z Agency Building, 30 Buckingham Street, Arrowtown	Lot 2 DP 12884 (2918229500)	<del>11</del> 2 / 2085	2
382	28	Lakes District Museum (former Bank), 47 Buckingham Street, Arrowtown	Sections 1-3 Block IX Arrowtown (2918230900)	# <u>2</u> / 2111	2
385	10	Macetown Ruins and Reserve, Vicinity Macetown	Land on SO's 14538, 18539 and 18612. Section 1, Block XIV, Shotover SD, SO18612, Sections 1-6, Block I, Sections 104, Block II; Sections 1-10 Block III, Sections 1-6 Block V; Sections 1-6 Block V; Sections 1-6 Block VI; Sections 1-15 Block VII; Sections 1-10 Block XI; Sections 1-10 Block XI; Sections 1-10 Block XI; Sections 1-9 Block XII; and Sections 1-9 Block XIII; Mining Reserve adjoining Block II, III, IV, IX, X & XII and adjacent to Block I & VIII and Crown Land adjoining Blocks V, VI, VII, VIII, IX & XIII and adjacent to Block 1; Town of Macetown. As in all document no's 489403 and 149467. SO Plan 14538; SO Plan 18539 and 18612.		3

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

		Description (including Extent of	Legal Description	NZHPT	QLDC
NO Rei Flace wh		Place where defined)	(Valuation Reference)	HNZ Cat /	Cat
				No.	
387	10	Britannia Terrace, Macetown Road	Block XVIII Shotover SD, Lot 1 DP 12267; Lots 1 & 2 DP 12940; Lots 1-4 DP 15443; Sections 3-5 Block VI Town of Arrowtown; Lots 1 & 2 DP 21884, Sections 14-15 Block IX Town of Arrowtown; Lot I DP 27170, Lot 1 DP 21701; Town of Arrowtown and the legal road to which all these properties front, Sections 1-9 Block IX (2907214600, 2918229600, 2918229500, 2918229600, 2918229300, 291822900, 2918229100, 291822900, 291822801, 2918230300, 2918230400, 2918230500, 2918230600, 2918230700, 2918230800, 2918230900		3
400	39	Stone seat, Kingston foreshore	Section 1 Block XX Kingston Town (2913106700)		3
401	39	Square stone culvert, under railway yards.	Road Reserve - Kent Street		3
402	39	Stone cairn, site of the launching of the Earnslaw	Road Reserve - Kent Street		3
403	39	Rock retaining wall, wharf approach, Kingston	Lake Wakatipu		3
404	39	Wharf, Kingston	Lake Wakatipu		3
405	39	Old School Building (current library), 48 Kent Street	Lot 1 Section 15 Block 1 Kingston (2913126700)		3
410	39	Ships Inn, 24 Cornwall Street	Section 16 Block X Town of Kingston (2913114300)		3
411	39	Kingston Flyer Railway, including: Railway turntable, water tank and crane. The railway line from Kingston to Fairlight (up to the QLDC District boundary), Kingston Railway Station. Water weir.	Lots 1 & 6 DP 306647 Lot 2 Part Lot 1 DP 318661; Block I, V, XII Kingston SD; Sections 1-3, 5, 7-10, 12- 15, 20, 23 & 24 Block VI Town of Kingston; Section 2, 4, 6-8, 10, 11, 25, Part Section 3, 5, 9 Section 1; SO7617; Section 1-3 SO10898 SO 10760; Run 593. Lot 2 Part Lot 1 DP 318661; Lot 1 DP		2

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Ref Map		Description (including Extent of	Legal Description	NZHPT	QLDC	
No Ref		Place where defined)	(Valuation Reference)	HNZ	Cat	
				Cat / No.	•	
			306648; Block I, V, XII Kingston SD; Sections 1-3, 5, 7-10, 12-15, 20, 23 & 24 Block VI Town of Kingston; Section 2, 4, 6-8, 10, 11, 25, Part Section 3, 5, 9 Section 1; SO7617; Section 1-3 SO10898 SO 10760; Run 593; Lot 9DP 306647; Lot 4DP 318631 Section 1 Block X Part Section 8 Block I Kingston SD Scenic Reserve Balance at 29280-43500 (2913104205 2913102800, 2913104205, 2913109901, 2913104210,2913101801, 2913102800)			
500	10	Old Butchery, Tuohy's Gully, Cardrona	Part Section 3 Block I Cardrona SD		2	
506	20	Wilkin Memorial 2 McLellan Place, Albert Town	Lot 23 DP 24481 Block IV Lower Wanaka SD (2908326330)		2	
507	21	Soldiers Monument Chalmers Street Lookout QLDC Local Purpose Reserve Wanaka	Lot 1 DP 4961 Wanaka Memorial Reserve (2905309900)		2	
508	24	Early Graves and Pioneer Memorial Albert Town Cemetery Reserve, Lake Hawea-Albert Town Road	Section 20, Block V Lower Wanaka SD (2908201200)		2	
509	24	James Horn Plaque, Albert Town Bridge over the Clutha River (Albert Town side of the river, upstream side of the bridge), Albert Town, Lake Hawea Road	Road Reserve adjacent to Section 1 SO 24606 (Adjacent to 2908330323)		2	
510	10	Studholme Nursery Plaque, Vicinity of the site of early Cardrona nursery, Cardrona Road, Cardrona Valley	Road Reserve adjacent to P254 part Run 505C Cardrona SD (Adjacent to 2906119900)		2	
511	7	Scaife Plaque, Mount Roy	Part Section 1 SO 22998 (2906122801)		2	
512	18	Stone Ruin (Landreth property) 342 Kane Road, Hawea Flat	Section 51 Block VII Lower Hawea SD (2908211300)		3	
513	22	Homestead Foundation QLDC Recreation Reserve Norman Terrace to Mt Aspiring Road	Lot 1 DP 16152 Lower Wanaka SD (2905401400)		2	
514	18	Cabaret Building Foundations, Ruby Island	Ruby Island Lower Wanaka SD (2906122700)		3	

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Ref	Map	Description (including Extent of	Legal Description	NZHPT	QLDC	
No	No Ref Place where defined) (Va		(Valuation Reference)	HNZ Cat / No.	Cat	
515	8	Luggate Red Bridge, Rural Luggate	Road and River Reserve		3	
520	24	Old Stone Cottage 100-120 Alison Avenue Albert Town	20 Alison Lot 39 DP 7458 Albert Town Extn No 3 (2908330500)		3	
521	23	Glebe House, 133 Stone Street, Lot 2 DP 24047 (2905371000)			2	
522	18	Halliday Homestead, 85 Halliday Road	Lot 2 DP 340274 (2906304710)		3	
523	8	Drake Family Stone House, Hawea Back Road	Section 34 Block I Lower Hawea SD (2908207200)		3	
524	11	Stone Cottage and Stables next to Luggate Hotel, 60 Main Road, Luggate	Lot 1 DP 15124 Block VI Tarras SD (2908300900)		2	
525	18	Pearce Clay stone hut, 590 Mount Barker Road	Part Lot 1 DP 17508 Block I Lower Wanaka SD (2906109502)		3	
526	18	Cob House and Stone Shed, 107 Maxwell Road	Lot 2 DP 23129 Block I Lower Wanaka SD (2906109500)		3	
527	8	Old John Cottage – (F Urquhart Property) Corner Gladstone Road and Hawea Back Road, Hawea	Part Section 52 Block I, Lower Hawea SD (2908204500)		3	
528	18	"Blairnhall" 115 Hawea Back Road (Private Dwelling)	Lot 1 DP 9204 Block V Lower Hawea SD (2908207800)		3	
529	18	Sod Cottage, 25 Loach Road, Hawea Flat	Section 88 Block XII Lower Hawea SD (2908215500)		3	
530	18	McClennan's Cottage, 64 McClennan Road Hawea Flat	Lot 2 DP 343710 (2908214101)		3	
531	8	Cob Cottage, 324 Luggate-Tarras Road, Hawea Flat	Part Section 3 Block VII Tarras SD (2908211800)		2	
532	<u>1</u> 8	McPherson House, Hawea-Albert Town Road	Pt Section 2 Blk V\ Lower Wanaka SD (2908202400)		3	
534	21	St Columba Anglican Church Corner MacDougall/Upton Street Wanaka	Section 4 & 5 Block XXI Wanaka Town (2905338100)  # 2 / 7465		3	
535	18	Former St Patricks Catholic Church 65 Newcastle Road, Hawea Flat	5 Lot 1 DP 337991 (2908212605)		3	
536	18	St Ninians Presbytarian Church, Kane Road, Hawea Flat	Part Section 11 Block V Lower Hawea SD (2908217800)		3	
538	21	Old Jail Buildings – timber cell and stone building 2 Dunmore Street	Lot 3 DP 27690		2	

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726

Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Comment [MSOffice152]: #383

Ref Map		Description (including Extent of Place where defined)	Legal Description (Valuation Reference)	NZHPT HNZ	QLDC Cat
140	Kei	riace where defined)	(valuation Reference)	Cat / No.	Cat
		Wanaka	(2905307103)		
539	11	Luggate School Plaque Kingan Road Luggate	Part Section 5 Block VI Tarras SD (2908301200)		2
540	18	Old Post Office Building, Camp Hill Road, Hawea Flat	Part Section 11 Block V Lower Hawea SD - Hawea Flat (2908217500)		3
541	18	Hawea Flat School building, located on the north-eastern corner of the school site, corner of Camphill Road and Kane Road, Hawea Flat	Part Section 11 Block V Lower Hawea SD (2908217700)		3
542	24	Blacksmith Shop (Part of Templeton Garage) 21 Wicklow Terrace, Albert Town	Lot 1 DP 19201 Section 4 Block XI Albert Town (29083333300)		3
543	24	Cardrona Hotel Facade, Crown Range Road Cardrona	Part of Sections 4, 9-10 Block VII Cardrona Town (2906123800)	# <u>2/</u> 2239	1
544	11	Old Flour Mill 114 & 126 Main Road SH 6 Luggate	Part Section 1, Block VI, Tarras SD (2908309100)	# <u>2</u> / 3242	2
545	11	Hotel Stonework Facade, 60 Main Road/SH 6, Luggate	Lot 1 DP 15124 Block VI Tarras Surrey District (2908300900)		3
546	21	Wanaka Store Façade, 70 Ardmore Street	Lot 2 DP 17535 (2905202400)		2
549	18	Stone Homestead McCarthy Road Hawea Flat	Section 41 Block I, Lower Hawea SD (2908207300)		3
550	22	Woolshed Studholme Road, Wanaka	(2905373922)		3
552	24	Cardrona Hall and Church, Cardrona Valley Road	Section 10 Block I Cardrona SD (2906125700)		1

Comment [MSOffice108]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696, #726


Comment [MSOffice109]: #426

Comment [MSOffice153]: #426

## 26.9.1 Maps of Extent of Place


26.9.1.1 Ref. 57 - Bordeau's Store

Comment [MSOffice154]: #368, #524, #604, #621, #672, #688, #696,



201 Arthurs Point Road. The Extent of Place is indicated by the red dotted line. The Extent of Place includes only the land surrounding the original store and cottage.

## 26.9.1.2 **Ref. 140 - Bullendale**



Section 148 Block XI Skippers Creek. The Extent of Place is shown by the black outline.

26.9.1.3 Ref. 108 - Coronation Bathhouse



Coronation Bath House, Marine Parade. The Extent of Place is indicated by the white circle.

26.9.1.4 Ref. 56 - Hulbert House




68 Ballarat Street, Queenstown. The Extent of Place is shown by the black outline.

26.9.1.5 Ref. 301 - King Edward VII Memorial Lamp



Corner of Wiltshire Street and Berkshire Street, Arrowtown. The Extent of Place is indicated by the white circle.

26.9.1.6 Ref. 67 - The Pleasant Terrace Workings



Sec 148 Blk XI Skippers Creek SD (NZ Gazette, 1985, p.5386) and legal road (part of Skippers Road). Otago Land District. The Extent of Place is shown by the red outline.

## 26.9.1.7 Ref. 333 - Reidhaven



7 Villier's St, Arrowtown. The Extent of Place is shown by the yellow outline.

26.9.1.8 Ref. 367 - St John's Church



26 Berkshire Street Arrowtown. The Extent of Place is shown by the red outline.

26.9.1.9 Ref. 379 - Stable block (the Stables restaurant)




28 Buckingham Street, Arrowtown. The Extent of Place is shown by the black outline.

## 26.9.1.10 Ref. 58 - Stone building



17 Brisbane Street, Queenstown. The Extent of Place is shown by the black outline.

## 26.9.1.11 **Ref. 131 - Thurlby Domain**



Speargrass Flat Road. The Extent of Place is shown by the purple outline.


26.9.1.12 Ref 253 - 253 Centennial Ave, Arrowtown



Speargrass Flat Road. The Extent of Place is shown by the red outline.

26.9.1.13 Ref 71 - Stone Cottage (McAuley), Malaghans Road

Comment [SG155]: #696



Malaghans Road, Lot 1 DP 27269 Secs 29 57 Blk VI Shotover SD. The Extent of Place is shown by the red outline.

26.9.1.14 Ref 82 - Millbrook stables (remaining historic stone structure), the implement shed (remaining historic stone structure), and the blacksmith's building/ smoker

Smokehouse

Table Bullet Flour Mill

States of the state of the state


Comment [SG156]: #696

26-57

Millbrook. The Extent of Place is shown by the red outline.

26.9.1.15 Ref 93 - Butel's Flourmill (original foundations and stone wall), Off Butel Road, Millbrook Area

Comment [SG157]: #696



Millbrook. The Extent of Place is shown by the red outline.

## 26.10 Archaeological sites

Ref No	Map Ref	Description	Legal Description	Valuation Reference	NZHPT HNZ Category
700	9	Maori Ti Pits and paved area. Between Dart Bridge and Kowhai Creek true right bank of Dart River below road		2911133401	₩ <u>2</u> /5600
701	10	Dynamo	Mt Aurum Historic Reserve, Run 753 Block XI, Skippers SD		# <u>2</u> / 5601
702	10	All Settlement and gold mining relics	Mt Aurum Recreation Reserve, Run 753 Block XI Skippers SD		# <u>2</u> /5602
703	9	Battery, Rees River Vicinity	Invincible Mine Historic Reserve (Doc) Part Run 752 Earnslaw SD	2907321000	II <u>2</u> / 5603
704	9	Buddle, Rees River Vicinity	Invincible Mine Historic Reserve (Doc) Part Run 752, Earnslaw SD	2907321000	II <u>2</u> / 5604
703	31 and 39	Sew Hoys big beach claim historic area	Legal river		7545
<u>704</u>	<u>10</u>	Wong Gong's terrace	Pt Run 27 (CT OTA2/1228)		7549

Comment [MSOffice158]: #426

**Comment [MSOffice159]:** #426 (all such changes)

**Comment [MSOffice160]:** #426, #201

**Comment [MSOffice161]:** #426, #201

Ref No	Map Ref	Description	Legal Description	Valuation Reference	NZHPT HNZ	(2)
					Category	Comment [MSOffice158]: #426
		historic area				
705	9	Sawmill Settlements Turners Creek - Kinloch Road Mill Creek	Crown Land Block VI Upper Wakatipu. Pt Recreation Reserve C, Blk II Upper Wakatipu SD (NZ Gazette 1891 p.790 ), Otago Land District.	Adjacent to 2911134301	# <u>2</u> / 5605	Comment [MSOffice162]: #426
706	10	Suspended Pipe Syphon in Stoney Creek	Mt Aurum Historic Reserve Run 753 Block XI Skippers SD	2907300400	# <u>2</u> /5606	
707	13	Mining Tunnel Oxenbridge Tunnel	Crown Land (Shotover River) Part Section 148 Block XIX Shotover SD	2907303900	# <u>2</u> / 5607	
708	38	Lime Kilns and Manager's residence Bobs Cove	Recreation Reserve, Block V and Part Section 39, Mid Wakatipu SD	2907306700, 2907305600	# <u>2</u> / 5608	
709	38	Gold Mining - All relics in Twelve Mile Creek	Part run 707 Block IV Mid Wakatipu SD	29073 05800	# <u>2</u> / 5609	
710	27	Arrowtown Chinese Settlement	Lot 3 DP 18410, Arrowtown Historic Reserve, Lot 4 DP 18410, Block VIII Arrowtown SD and Lot 1 DP 8232	2918232800, 2918232900, 2918233000	# <u>2</u> / 5613	
711	30	Morven Ferry Moa Hunters Site Old pitched rock (paved) road	Section 1 SO 21432		# <u>2</u>	
712	39	Dunlea Farmstead site, NZAA site F42/231	Lot 1 DP 12725	2913102600		
713	39	Old Kingston School, NZAA site F42/230	Section 1 Blk XVI TN OF Kingston	2913110500		
714	39	Old house site, Kingston	Oxford Street, Kingston	2913127000		
<u>715</u>	<u>18a</u>	Reko's Point Chinese Goldmining Sites	Crown Land (mining reserve) Block VIII Lower Hawea SD and Lot 2 DP 26911 Otago Land District.	2906305812		Comment [MSOffice163]: #426
716	<u>13c</u>	Roaring Meg Bridge Abutment (one within the Queenstown Lakes District)	Part of SH6 corridor			Comment [MSOffice164]: #426
717	33	Frankton Mill Site, Kawarau Road, Frankton	Section 3, Block XVIII, Town of Queenstown	2910121800		Comment [MSOffice165]: #604


## 26.11 Sites of Significance to Maori

(To be confirmed)

#### 26.12 Heritage Landscapes

## 26.12.1 Skippers Heritage Landscape (Refer planning maps 10 and 29)

**Comment [MSOffice166]:** #201 (for all such changes)




## 26.12.2 Statement of Significance


The Skippers Heritage Landscape (SHL) represents some of the most historically and archaeologically significant 19<sup>th</sup> century gold mining sites in Otago and Southern New Zealand. Together, the diverse gold mining sites and features form a historically rich landscape that embodies the 1860s gold mining efforts and challenges of early miners, as well as later, more sophisticated mining technology that was needed to access the more difficult deposits of gold. In combination with the remote and stunning natural landscape of the Shotover River valley, the SHL offers a unique, largely intact, and publicly accessible historic gold mining experience for visitors to the Shotover River. Within the SHL, the precipitous later 19<sup>th</sup> century Skippers Road (1883 to 1890), the deserted Skipper's Township (1862) and the 1901 Skippers Suspension Bridge are all highly significant heritage sites that have been recognised by their Heritage New Zealand listings. In addition, over 130 archaeological sites within the SHL are entered on the New Zealand Archaeological Association Site Recording Scheme, demonstrating the outstanding heritage significance of the Skippers Heritage landscape.

## 26.12.3 Key Features to be protected

- 26.12.3.1 The Skippers Road and its historic revetments and construction features.
- 26.12.3.2 The Skippers suspension bridge and former town ship area.
- 26.12.3.3 All other known archaeological sites, including sluiced terraces.
- 26.12.3.4 Unobstructed views along the Skippers canyon section of the Shotover River.

26.12.4 Moke Lake and Sefferton Heritage Landscape (Refer planning maps 9, 10, 12, and 13)






#### 26.12.5 Statement of Significance

The Sefferton and Moke Lake Heritage Landscapes (SMLHL) are significant for their concentrations of historic gold and copper mining remains, which include both mining infrastructure and settlement sites. The extensive and well preserved complex of features along Moonlight Creek and Moke Creek are an important part of the wider history of the Wakatipu gold rush, linking closely with the Shotover River, Arrow River and Macetown / Rich Burn goldfields. Sefferton / Moke Creek was the site, albeit short lived, of an early tented gold rush township that settled into a remote, mountain community that survived into the 1950's. Its remains provide tangible reminders of the many local stories that survive of the mining community and their hardships and life in the mountain goldfields of Otago.

#### 26.12.6 Key Features to be protected

- 26.12.6.1 The former mining settlement remains at Moke Creek / Sefferton including the surviving cottages, huts, gardens and plantings.
- 26.12.6.2 The copper mining site along Moke Lake Road.
- 26.12.6.3 Moke Lake Road and the historic track to Butchers Hut along the true right bank of the Moonlight Creek.
- 26.12.6.4 The extensive stone and earthwork mining remains centred on Sheepyard Terrace and the Moonlight Creek.
- 26.12.6.5 The 8.8km water race leading from above Montgomery's Creek to the Sheepyard Terrace area and below.
- 26.12.6.6 All other known archaeological sites and listed historic places within the SMLHL.

## 26.12.7 Glenorchy Heritage Landscape (Refer planning map 9)



#### 26.12.8 Summary of significance

The Glenorchy Heritage Landscape (GHL) is significant for its specific scheelite mining activities that extended from the 1880's until the 1980's, which have left a significant group of mine sites and infrastructure, along with a unique social history of the people who worked there. Collectively, these activities left behind a sequence of evidence that follows the mining cycle that began here in the 1880's and which may well recommence at some point in the future. They in turn, The sites within this heritage landscape represent the hard won and sometimes fruitless endeavours of a close knit community of miners that spanned a hundred years of mining at Glenorchy. The GHL encompasses the majority of the key mine sites, tracks, a cableway and sections of water races that represented the primary scheelite producing area in New Zealand. The combination of private and state-owned mines is also a unique part of the GHL's history in the ubiquitous and contemporary gold mining industry of the Wakatipu Basin. Overall, the scheelite mining history symbolised by the GHL is a unique one of national heritage significance. It is recognised that there is the potential for exploration and mining to recommence in the GHL at some point.

26.12.9 Key features to be protected

26.12.9.1 All mines, mining huts, the cableway and track ways within the GHL boundary (including the Black Peak Mine).


26.12.9.2 The mine sites along the Mount Judah Road.

26.12.9.3 All other known-archaeological sites and historic places within the GHL

Comment [MSOffice168]: #519

Comment [MSOffice167]: #519

## 26.12.10 Macetown Heritage Landscape (Refer planning maps 10 & 26)



## 26.12.11 Summary of significance

Although it covers a large area, the Macetown Heritage Landscape (MHL) is significant for its concentration of historic gold mining sites, focussed on the deserted mining town of Macetown, which span from the earliest exploitation of gold in the Arrowtown area in 1862, through to the end of gold mining in the 1930's. Such a continuum of mining activity – first alluvial then hard-rock or quartz – has left a distinct and intelligible landscape with diverse features and stories linked by a series of mining

tracks that still allow access to this remote and stunning countryside. The MHL encompasses three key areas; the Rich Burn Valley, Macetown and the Arrow River valley, all three of which have distinctive characters and features that coalesce to form a broader mining heritage landscape of regional significance. Among these, Macetown is highly significant, representing the surviving remains of a remote 19<sup>th</sup> century mining village to which stories are still attached and some history has been traced to its founders, occupants and demise. Situated within its larger mining heritage landscape context, Macetown can be interpreted as part of a community of gold mining activity sites, which are a key part of the wider Otago gold mining story.

## 26.12.12 Key features to be protected

- 26.12.12.1 The (Department of Conservation) Macetown Historic Reserve area including the Macetown Road.
- 26.12.12.2 The Rich Burn mining remains (e.g., Anderson's Battery and the Homeward Bound Battery; the Sunrise Mine Office).
- 26.12.12.3 The historic mining tracks of Hayes Creek, Sawpit Gully and Advance Peak and similar tracks within the MHL.
- 26.12.12.4 All other known archaeological sites and listed historic places within the MHL.

## 26.13 Heritage Orders

Ref No	Map Ref	Related Protected Features	Purpose	Heritage Protection Authority	Site and Legal Description
1	28	See 362 and 373	To protect and preserve the buildings known as the Postmaster's House and the Arrowtown Post Office and their associated buildings and their surrounding land (refer to site files for complete description of heritage order).	Queenstown Lakes District Council	52 and 54 Buckingham Street Lots 1 and 2, DP 21884, Block VI, Town of Arrowtown (Valuation reference 2918228800 and 2918228801)
2	36		To protect the building known as Archer cottage and the historic relationship created by buildings on Marine Parade, the space between these buildings and the relationship between these buildings and the public space onto which they front (refer to site files for complete description of heritage order).	Lakes District	Lot 15 DP 302022

# Appendix 2 Kinross Stone Buildings (item 91) Council GIS Map



The map is an approximate representation only and must not be used to determine the location or size of items shown, or to identify legal boundaries. To the extent permitted by law, the Queenstown Lakes District Council, their employees, agents and contractors will not be lised to only costs, damages or loss suffered as a result of the data or plan, and no warranty of any kind is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information represented by the GIS data. While reasonable use is permitted and encouraged, all data is copyright reserved by Queenstown Lakes District Council. Cadastral information derived from Land Information New Zealand. CROWN COPYRIGHT RESERVED