

See attached

# FORM 6: FURTHER SUBMISSION





Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

	JRTHER SUBMISSION // In support of (or opposition to) a submission on the following:
Please	e see attached letter
I AM [	state whether you are]
	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or [in this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category
<b>\</b>	A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has; or
	The local authority for the relevant area.
1.9	SUPPORT (OR OPPOSE) // The submission of:
	BOFFORT ON OFFOSE, IT THE SUBHISSION OF.

[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]

THE PARTICULAR PARTS // Of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

November 2019



# **THE REASONS** // For my support (or opposition) are:

[give reasons]

See attached



#### I SEEK II That the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):

[give precise details]

See Attached

wish , do not wish\*

to be heard in support of my further submission.

will not\* will /

consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

<sup>\*</sup> Select one.



## **SIGNATURE**

\*\*Signature

[or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter]

Date 03/08/2023

<sup>\*\*</sup> A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.



#### YOUR DETAILS // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by email

Electronic address for service of submitter [email]wmurray@propertygroup.co.nz

Telephone [work] 0274456845

under section 352 of the Actl

[home]

Postal Address

C/- The Property Group PO Box 2130, [or alternative method of service

Queenstown

Post code 9371

Contact person [name and designation, if applicable] Werner Murray



#### NOTE // To person making further submission

### A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- > it is frivolous or vexatious:
- > it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- > it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- > it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.





Submission	Further Submission on Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan – Variation –Te Pūtahi Ladies Mile Masterplan, Under Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991
Submitter	Anna Hutchinson, Tim Hutchinson, and John Tavendale as trustees of the Anna Hutchinson Family Trust ("Submitter") (Submitter #107)
Prepared by (agent)	Werner Murray – Planner at The Property Group  Joanne Skuse – Planner at The Property Group
Agent contact details	Phone: 027 445 6845; 027 498 1745  Email: wmurray@propertygroup.co.nz; jskuse@propertygroup.co.nz;

- 1. Anna Hutchinson, Tim Hutchinson, and John Tavendale as trustees of the Anna Hutchinson Family Trust (the 'Further Submitter') made a submission on the proposed Variation to Queenstown Lakes District Proposed District Plan Te Pūtahi Ladies Mile ('Variation') which seeks to make changes to the Queenstown Lakes District Proposed District Plan (the 'Plan'). The Further Submitter has an interest in the Variation that is greater than the interest the general public has.
- 2. While the Further Submitter has an interest in the entire Variation, it is particularly interested in issues relating to the proposed western end of the Variation area, transport outcomes and servicing of the development. The Submitter also has a particular interest in the land described as Lot 2 DP 463532, Lot 1 DP 20162, Lot 1 DP 463532, and Section 1 SO 24954.
- 3. This is a **Further Submission** by the Submitter on the Variation.
- 4. The specific submission points and Submitters, in respect of which this Further Submission is made, are set out in Appendix A. Appendix A further includes additional, specific reasons for the Further Submission.
- 5. In addition to the specific reasons, Appendix 1 outlines the relief sought (which includes all consequential or other relief to address the concerns raised). This is advanced on the basis the relief will:

138

a. achieve the sustainable management purpose of the RMA and otherwise meet the

requirements of Part 2;

b. better meet the requirements for a Variation under the RMA, including that the relief is "most appropriate" for achieving the purpose of the Act, the objective, or the objectives

and policies (as relevant);

c. better meet the Minister's statement of expectations in respect of the Variation; and

d. better achieve the outcomes sought in the Further Submitter's original submission.

6. The Submitter wishes to be heard in support of its Further Submission.

If others make similar Further Submissions or have made similar Original Submissions to those made by the Further Submitter, the Further Submitter will consider presenting a joint case at any hearing.

# **DATED**

3 August 2023

Electronic address for service of submitter: <a href="wmurray@propertygroup.co.nz">wmurray@propertygroup.co.nz</a>, and <a href="mailto:jskuse@propertygroup.co.nz">jskuse@propertygroup.co.nz</a>

Telephone: 027 445 6845

Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

C/- The Property Group PO Box 2130, Queenstown 9371

For: Werner Murray; or Joanne Skuse

# Appendix A

Original Submission Point	Original Submitter, Name	Decision Requested by Original Submitter	Further Submitter: Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision Sought by Further Submitter
OS27.1	Jim Robinson	The Submitter states that, while not against the development and implementation of the Ladies Mile Plans, it is naive that 2,400 additional homes, along with those that exist, will walk, cycle, and use a new public transport system.	Support in Part	The Further Submitter acknowledges the issue around mode shift and seeks to address the issue, including by granting the relief sought in the Submitters original submission, as the submission enhances transportation choice and connectivity.	Support the intent
OS27.2	Jim Robinson	The Submitter states that, a significant State Highway 6 upgrade must be built before the proposed Ladies Mile housing development is completed, which comprises a fast public transport service while also increasing the capacity of the highway, including by: - providing a dual carriageway in each direction from the Arrowtown - Lake Hayes Rd to the BP roundabout and, in the future, also through the Kawarau Gorge; - potentially creating alternative bypass routes (two options are outlined); and - potentially creating a rail link through the Kawarau Gorge.	Oppose and support	The Further Submitter acknowledges the issue around traffic and congestion. However, support mode shift and micro-transit options, especially providing for pedestrian/cycling connections to better achieve the Variation's active transport goals. The Submitter seeks to address the issue including by granting the relief sought in the Submitters original submission, as the submission enhances transportation choice and connectivity.	Neither support of oppose

OS7.1	Sandy	That the variation must provide	support	Acknowledge the issue around traffic	Support the intent
	Waddingham	proper walkways and pathways		and congestion. However, support	
		along the roads.		mode shift and micro-transit options,	
		· ·		especially providing for	
				pedestrian/cycling connections to	
				better achieve the Variation's active	
				transport goals. Especially providing	
				for more integrated connectivity into	
				existing walkways and pathways. The	
				Further Submitter seeks to address	
				the issue, including by granting the	
				relief sought in the Further Submitters	
				original submission, as the submission	
				enhances transportation choice and	
				connectivity.	
OS8.1	Nicky	The Submitter states that, the Ladies	support	This Submitter touches on our district	Support intent
	Sygrove	Mile district plan be changed to		being able to provide housing for	
		allow for the zone changes.		workers (over the winter months). The	
				Further Submitter supports the intent	
				and the drive behind the submission	
				and is able to provide workforce	
				housing for the District. The Further	
				Submitter has a heads of agreement	
				with the Queenstown Lakes	
				Community Housing trust that we	
				believe will address this issue, and the	
				submitter seeks to address the issue,	
				including by granting the relief sought	
				in the Further Submitters original	

OS19.3	Katie Hill	The Submitter states that, there be a	Support intent	The Further Submitter acknowledges	Support intent
		pedestrian/ bike path on the bridge.		the issue around traffic and	
				congestion. However, support mode	
				shift and micro-transit options,	
				especially providing for	
				pedestrian/cycling connections to	
				better achieve the Variation's active	
				transport goals. Especially providing	
				for more integrated connectivity into	
				existing walkways and pathways	
				(including the Old Lower Shotover	
				Bridge). The Further Submitter seeks	
				to address the issue including by	
				granting the relief sought in the	
				Further Submitters original	
				submission, as the submission	
				enhances transportation choice and	
				connectivity.	

OS19.4	Katie Hill	The Submitter states that, there be a	Support intent	The Further Submitter acknowledges	Support intent
		tramline between Ladies Mile, the		the issue around traffic and	
		airport, and the town centre.		congestion. However, support mode	
				shift and micro-transit options,	
				especially providing for	
				pedestrian/cycling connections to	
				better achieve the Variation's active	
				transport goals. The Further Submitter	
				supports a more resilient road	
				network and new transit technology –	
				being a tramline or gondola (As Stated	
				in the Te Kirikiri/Frankton masterplan:	
				High-capacity public transport options	
				are currently being investigated and	
				include, but are not limited to,	
				trackless trams, double decker buses	
				and gondolas to improve access within	
				Te Kirikiri/Frankton, to Queenstown	
				and across the Wakatipu Basin). The	
				Further Submitter seeks to address	
				the issue including by granting the	
				relief sought in the Further Submitters	
				original submission, as the submission	
				enhances transportation choice and	
				connectivity.	

OS25.1	Jennifer	The Submitter states that, a proper	Support intent	The Further Submitter acknowledges	Support
	James	network of protected cycle and		the issue around traffic and	
		walking paths be built and that the		congestion. Support mode shift and	
		problems that already exist be		micro-transit options, especially	
		resolved before creating more.		providing for pedestrian/cycling	
				connections to better achieve the	
				Variation's active transport goals.	
				Especially providing for more	
				integrated connectivity into existing	
				walkways and pathways (including the	
				Old Lower Shotover Bridge).	
				It must be recognised that	
				development cannot be static as QLDC	
				need to meet Housing Bottom lines as	
				set out in NPS UD.	
				The Further Submitter seeks to	
				address the issue including by granting	
				the relief sought in the Further	
				Submitters original submission, as the	
				submission enhances transportation	
				choice and connectivity.	

OS25.2	Jennifer	The Submitter states that, cycleways	Support intent	The Further Submitter acknowledges	Support intent
	James	be separated from the carriageway,		the issue around traffic and	
		tar sealed, free flowing, and		congestion. However, supports mode	
		unobstructed by roadworks.		shift and micro-transit options,	
				especially providing for	
				pedestrian/cycling connections to	
				better achieve the Variation's active	
				transport goals. Especially providing	
				for more integrated connectivity into	
				existing walkways and pathways	
				(including the Old Lower Shotover	
				Bridge). The Further Submitter seeks	
				to address the issue including by	
				granting the relief sought in the	
				Further Submitters original	
				submission, as the submission	
				enhances transportation choice and	
				connectivity.	

OS25.4	Jennifer	The Submitter states that,	Support intent	The Further Submitter acknowledges	Support intent
	James	alternative public transport solutions		the issue around traffic and	
		be considered including an electric		congestion. However, supports mode	
		monorail/ train. tram service.		shift and micro-transit options,	
				especially providing for	
				pedestrian/cycling connections to	
				better achieve the Variation's active	
				transport goals. The Further Submitter	
				supports a more resilient road	
				network and new transit technology –	
				being a tramline or gondola (As Stated	
				in the Te Kirikiri/Frankton masterplan:	
				High-capacity public transport options	
				are currently being investigated and	
				include, but are not limited to,	
				trackless trams, double decker buses	
				and gondolas to improve access within	
				Te Kirikiri/Frankton, to Queenstown	
				and across the Wakatipu Basin). The	
				Further Submitter seeks to address	
				the issue including by granting the	
				relief sought in the Further Submitters	
				original submission, as the submission	
				enhances transportation choice and	
				connectivity.	

OS25.5	Jennifer	The Submitter states that, the	Support intent	The Further Submitter understands	Support intent
	James	impact of population growth on		that, through the Te Pūtahi Ladies	
		other services, such as the hospital,		Mile Plan Variation, that there were	
		be considered.		many land use requirements (like for	
				instance community facilities as	
				mentioned by the Submitter) that	
				would not find a place in the	
				masterplan due to a finite land area in	
				the Variation area. The Further	
				Submitter seeks to address the issue	
				including by granting the relief sought	
				in the Further Submitters original	
				submission, given the inclusion of	
				additional land to develop.	
OS35.5	Peter	The Submitter states that solutions	Support the	The Further Submitter supports the	Support the intent
	Chudleigh	be found to commit higher	intent	intent and the drive behind the	
		proportions of development to		submission, which is to be able to	
		affordable housing.		provide workforce housing for the	
				District. The Further Submitter has a	
				'heads of agreement' with the	
				Queenstown Lakes Community	
				Housing Trust that the Further	
				Submitter believes will address this	
				issue, if the relief sought in the	
				Further Submitters original submission	
				is granted.	

OS35.3	Peter	The Submitter states that, the traffic	Oppose in part	The Further Submitter acknowledges	Support in part but oppose relief
	Chudleigh	issues need be resolved before		the issue around traffic and	that requires development to stall
		further development, including that		congestion. However, supports mode	while infrastructure is installed.
		a non-car oriented masterplan/		shift and micro-transit options,	
		green transportation plan is needed		especially providing for	
		if development is to proceed. The		pedestrian/cycling connections to	
		submitter refers to solutions that		better achieve the Variation's active	
		could be implemented including		transport goals, rather than stalling	
		Whoosh® - Introducing a new		development. The Further Submitter	
		transportation solution.		supports a more resilient road	
				network and new transit technology –	
				being a tramline or gondola (As Stated	
				in the Te Kirikiri/Frankton masterplan:	
				High-capacity public transport options	
				are currently being investigated and	
				include, but are not limited to,	
				trackless trams, double decker buses	
				and gondolas to improve access within	
				Te Kirikiri/Frankton, to Queenstown	
				and across the Wakatipu Basin). The	
				Further Submitter seeks to address	
				the issue including by granting the	
				relief sought in the Further Submitters	
				original submission, as the submission	
				enhances transportation choice and	
				connectivity.	
				The Further Submitter supports the	
				investigation and possible	
				implementation of Whoosh® -	
				Introducing a new transportation	
				solution.	

OS44.1	Murray Brass	The Submitter states that, the	Support in part	The Further Submitter acknowledges	Support in part
		proposed Variation is not approved		the requirements to be sensitive to	
		unless or until there is		fauna in the area. The Further	
		adequate offsetting and/ or		Submitter believes that there is value	
		compensation for the loss of bird		in creating the possibility of a green	
		habitat, and provision for		corridor from the Shotover River to	
		a consolidated stormwater		Lake Hayes. The Further Submitter	
		management approach.		seeks to address the issue including by	
				granting the relief sought in the	
				Further Submitters original submission	
OS71.1	GW & SE	This submission outlines	Support intent	The Further Submitter believes that	Support intent
	Stalker	requirements that the Submitter		their original submission can	
		would like to see on neighbouring		accommodate some of the	
		land		suggestions/requirements that have	
				been talked about by the Submitter.	
OS81.1	Doolyttle	This submission seeks to include	Support intent	The Further Submitter believes that it	Support intent
	and Son	additional land into the variation		is entirely appropriate to consider	
	Limited -			land that was not originally included in	
				the QLDC Masterplan as this is now a	
				process under the RMA.	
OS82.1	Bishop	The Submitter would like to see a	Support intent	The Further Submitter understands	Support intent
	Michael	school or place of worship on Mike		that, through the Te Pūtahi Ladies	
	Dooley	Henry's land (bishop@cdd.org.nz)		Mile Plan Variation that there were	
				many land use requirements (like for	
				instance community facilities as	
				mentioned by Submission 25) that	
				would not find a place in the	
				masterplan due to a finite land area in	
				the Variation area. Placing a school or	
				other community facility within Ladies	
				Mile would contribute to a well-	
				functioning urban environment.	

OS92.1	Stephen	This submission considered the issue	Support intent	The Further Submitter supports the	Support intent
	Brent	that developers do not want to		intent and the drive behind the	
		contribute to the Queenstown Lakes		submission - to provide workforce	
		Community Housing Trust.		housing for the District. The Further	
				Submitter has a heads of agreement	
				with the Queenstown Lakes	
				Community Housing Trust that the	
				Further Submitter believes will	
				address this issue, and the Further	
				Submitter seeks to address the issue	
				including by granting the relief sought	
				in the Further Submitters original	
				submission.	
OS95.1	Charlie Evans	The Submitter has stated that	Support	The Further Submitter supports the	Support the relief
		houses for workers are needed.		intent and the drive behind the	
				submission - to provide workforce	
				housing for the District. The Further	
				Submitter has a 'heads of agreement'	
				with the Queenstown Lakes	
				Community Housing Trust that the	
				Further Submitter believes will	
				address this issue. The Further	
				Submitter seeks to address the issue	
				including by granting the relief sought	
				in the Further Submitters original	
				submission.	