In the Environment Court of New Zealand Christchurch Registry

I Te Koti Taiao o Aotearoa Ōtautahi Rohe

ENV-2018-CHC-

Under the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)

In the matter of An appeal under clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 of the RMA in

relation to the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan

Between Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association (NZ) Inc

Appellant

And Queenstown Lakes District Council

Respondent

Notice of Appeal

19 June 2018

Appellant's solicitors:

Maree Baker-Galloway | Rosie Hill Anderson Lloyd Level 2, 13 Camp Street, Queenstown 9300 PO Box 201, Queenstown 9348 DX Box ZP95010 Queenstown p + 64 3 450 0700 | f + 64 3 450 0799 Maree.baker-galloway@al.nz | rosie.hill@al.nz



- To The Registrar
 Environment Court
 Christchurch
- The Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association (NZ) Inc (**Society**) appeals against part of the decision of Queenstown Lakes District Council on the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan (**PDP**).
- The Society made submissions (#211) and (#1066) on the PDP.
- The Society is not a trade competitor for the purpose of section 308D Resource Management Act 1991 (**RMA**).
- The Society received notice of the decision on 7 May 2018.
- 5 The decision was made by Queenstown Lakes District Council (QLDC).
- 6 The parts of the decisions appealed relate to:
 - (a) Chapter 21 Rural Zone;
 - (b) Chapter 36 Noise;
 - (c) Consequential relief Definition Chapter 2 / (Wakatipu Basin Chapter 24).
- 7 Reasons for appeal

Background

- The Society represents the interests of over 900 recreational and private aviators in New Zealand. The Society provides a unified voice for pilots in New Zealand by building relationships with Government and regulatory bodies to ensure members' views are represented, with the aim of preventing increasing costs and restrictions being placed on private and recreational flying. Many members reside or fly both helicopters and fixed wing aircraft in the Wakatipu / Wanaka area.
- The Society was actively involved in the preparation and hearings of the PDP. As outlined in the attached submission, its principle concerns related to a proposed reduction of rights of informal and recreational aircraft users through the PDP regime.
- The Council's Decision on informal airport standards within Rural Zoned land remains of concern to the Society, given the standards will be difficult to comply with in most instances and will result in an unworkable and unnecessarily litigious planning framework for small scale recreational use which has limited environmental impacts.

16005126 | 3592075 page 1

- 11 The Society considers this Decision:
 - fails to give sufficient weight and recognition to the acoustic evidence presented by Dr Chiles;
 - fails to adequately provide for non-commercial recreational uses of aircraft in the district;
 - (c) fails to recognise the effects on the environment from informal airports; and
 - (d) fails to recognise the positive effects arising from enabling flights associated with informal airports;
 - (e) fails to achieve the relevant district-wide objectives and policies of the PDP; and
 - (f) fails to uphold the principles of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- Alternative and consequential relief is sought in this Appeal with respect to the Wakatipu Basin Variation (Chapter 24, Stage 2). The Appellant acknowledges that Stage 2 decisions are yet to be completed, however given the timing of the Council's staged review, the outcomes of the Rural Zone rules applicable in respect of the Basin are at present uncertain, and therefore being appealed. In the instance that the Wakatipu Basin Zone is confirmed in Stage 2, similar relief to that set out in this Appeal is sought to be applicable to that Stage 2 Zoning.
- The Appellants also consider that it may be prudent for all appeals on these provisions relevant to informal airports in rural zones to be put on hold, pending the outcome of Stage 2 Wakatipu Basin to 'catch up' through the Court process to these appeals. The reason being, that there is no justification for a separate rule regime applicable to Rural Zone informal airports as compared to the Wakatipu Basin Zone, particularly given in some instances the Basin Zone and Rural Zone abut each other. Hearing the appeals in a combined fashion will ensure a consistent PDP outcome, as well as ensuring the requirements of section 18A are met:

18A Procedural Principles

Every person exercising powers and performing functions under this Act must take all practicable steps to—

- (a) use timely, efficient, consistent, and cost-effective processes that are proportionate to the functions or powers being performed or exercised; and
- (b) ensure that policy statements and plans—

16005126 | 3592075 page 2

- (i) include only those matters relevant to the purpose of this Act: and
- (ii) are worded in a way that is clear and concise; and

...

Further and consequential relief sought

The Society opposes any further provisions inconsistent with this appeal and seeks alternative, consequential, or necessary additional relief to that set out in this appeal and to give effect to the matters raised generally in this appeal and the Society's submissions.

Attachments

- 15 The following documents are **attached** to this notice:
 - (a) Appendix A relief sought
 - (b) Appendix B A copy of the Appellant's submissions;
 - (c) Appendix C A copy of the relevant parts of the decision; and
 - (d) **Appendix D -** A list of names and addresses of persons to be served with this notice.

Dated this 19th day of June 2018

Marce Baker-Galloway

Maree Baker-Galloway/Rosie Hill Counsel for the Appellant

16005126 | 3592075 page 3

Address for service of the Appellants

Anderson Lloyd

Level 2, 13 Camp Street

PO Box 201

Queenstown 9300

Phone: 03 450 0700 Fax: 03 450 0799

Email: maree.baker-galloway@al.nz | rosie.hill@al.nz

Contact persons: Maree Baker-Galloway | Rosie Hill

Advice to recipients of copy of notice of appeal

How to become party to proceedings

You may be a party to the appeal if you made a submission or a further submission on

the matter of this appeal.

To become a party to the appeal, you must,—

within 15 working days after the period for lodging a notice of appeal ends, lodge

a notice of your wish to be a party to the proceedings (in form 33) with the Environment Court and serve copies of your notice on the relevant local authority

and the Appellant; and

within 20 working days after the period for lodging a notice of appeal ends, serve

copies of your notice on all other parties.

Your right to be a party to the proceedings in the court may be limited by the trade

competition provisions in section 274(1) and Part 11A of the Resource Management Act

1991.

You may apply to the Environment Court under section 281 of the Resource

Management Act 1991 for a waiver of the above timing or service requirements (see

form 38).

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in

Christchurch.

16005126 | 3592075 page 4