

Summary of Comments from July 2006 Consultation meeting

Name and Address	Comments
Catherine Chisholm 78 Ann Steet, Dunedin	Thinks that the residents who back on to the development of Kirimoko should be better considered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - green planted margin should have a maximum mature height of 3-4 metres, tall plantings will block sunlight - No affordable housing should back on to Rata street properties, not an appropriate placement - Existing fences and hedges on the boundary of Rata Street properties backing on to the development should become the property of the Rata street owners.
Eldon Chisholm 78 Ann Steet, Dunedin	Nothing from the Kirimoko block subdivision should adversely affect the current Rata Street owners <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - existing boundary fences and hedges if built or planted over the Rata Street residences boundary should become part of the Rata Street residents land. - No plants to grow over 3 metres in height as sunlight may be blocked - No affordable housing placed near our properties - Take into account the amenity of the Rata Street properties too.
Tim Harper 6 Rata Street, Wanaka	Support the initiative <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Night lighting – to protect the stunning night environment submitter sees a night lighting policy along the lines of Tekapo is essential - Traffic control at Rata/Aubrey Road of at least a similar level to new controls at Aubrey/Beacon Pt Corner. There have been a number of near misses and lots of boy-racer activity. Other intersections will require higher levels of traffic control too.
Greg Sligo and Philippa Harris	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Require greater emphasis to be given to formal access to the walking tracks and MTB tracks in Sticky Forest and DOC reserve areas.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provision for walkways and lanes to provide for non vehicular traffic and should provide access to the existing lanes on the west side of Rata street. Provision of legal access to the tracks and walks already enjoyed.
<p>Bob Daultery and Leona Hastings PO Box 220, Wanaka</p>	<p>Plan Change appears to have been done in thoughtful way including the incorporation of community housing and minimising the earthworks required to implement the roading plan.</p> <p>Previous discussions for the Plan Change had included a 20m setback and 5.5m height limits for the first line of houses and larger lots sizes for those developments. Would like to discuss the impact of the no-build zone?</p> <p>Keen to be involved in further discussions.</p>
<p>Lyndon Cleugh Allanby Farms</p>	<p>Would be desirable to have road and walkway linkages between adjoining landholdings.</p>
<p>Alistair Munro – owner of Lot 5</p>	<p>Detailed submission re Plan Change which details the landscape reports and his assessment of them.</p> <p>The appropriate line for the boundary between “highly protected” and “less protected” should be a line connecting from the north-west corner of the last property on Peak View Ridge. This line is objective, practical, consistent, and entirely defensible.</p> <p>The line take into account Peninsula Bay and the existing development.</p> <p>Within Lot 5 reverse sensitivity with the Peak View ridge neighbors should be accommodated and connectivity be provided linking Scurr Heights and Peninsula Bay.</p> <p>Rebecca Ramsay’s assessment was appropriate 92000sqm sections)</p> <p>Rhys proposal in inconsistent and may lead to non complying resource consents.</p> <p>Council should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - adopt Ms Ramsay’s report, 4m strip on the eastern boundary of minimum 2000sqm sections - a 20m buildings setback from the eastern boundary (creating at least a 26m separation between Kirimoko and Peak view houses

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- A height restriction of 5.5 metres for the first row of houses in Lot 5- Height restrictions on trees <p>A 10m public walkway along the eastern boundary, maintained by the Council with public liability to the Council.</p>
--	--