



# FORM 5: SUBMISSION

ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN  
OR PLAN CHANGE OR VARIATION



Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991



**TO** // Queenstown Lakes District Council

Name of submitter Dr John Cossens



**THIS IS A SUBMISSION ON** // Proposed plan, plan change, or variation

[write the name of the plan change or variation here]

☐

I could

☒

I could not\*

gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

☒

I am

☐

I am not\*\*

directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

(a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

\* Select one \*\* Select one or ignore if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.



**YOUR DETAILS** // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by **email**

Electronic address for service of submitter [email] 202 Mount Barker Road, Wanaka

Telephone/mobile 0210624711

Postal address including post code: [or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act]

202 Mount Barker Road, RD2, Wanaka, 9382

Contact person [name and designation, if applicable] John Cossens

☒

I wish

☐

I do not wish\*

to be heard in support of my submission.

☒

I will

☐

I will not\*

consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

\* Select one

## SIGNATURE

\*\*\* Signature [or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter]

John Cossens



Digitally signed by John Cossens  
Date: 2024.12.14 14:45:56 +13'00'

Date Dec 14, 2024

\*\*\* A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.



## MY SUBMISSION

Specific provisions Specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to.	Support or oppose Whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended.	Reasons for your views Provide what you would like amended and reasons for your view.	Decision sought from Council Provide precise details on what decision you are seeking.
All Landscape Schedules	Oppose	The landscape methodology adopted by the assessment team is flawed, unfair, not best practice and introduces a level of bias which is unacceptable and renders the schedules as meaningless	The Council should reject and withdraw the schedules on the basis they are not a fair, robust and valid assessment of Landscape values and attributes

**NOTE // To person making submission**

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- > it is frivolous or vexatious
- > it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- > it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- > it contains offensive language
- > it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter
- > If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B

