Appendix A – Relief sought

Provision (PDP Decisions Version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
Chapter 6		
6.2 Values	Support in part	Amend 6.2 Values
While acknowledging these rural areas have established rural living and development, and a substantial amount of further subdivision and development has already been approved in these areas, the landscape values of these areas are vulnerable to degradation from further subdivision and development. Areas where rural living development is at or is approaching the finite capacity of the landscape need to be identified if the District's distinctive rural landscape values are to be sustained. Areas where the landscape can accommodate sensitive and sympathetic rural living developments similarly need to be identified.	It is recognised that landscape character and amenity values in the WBRAZ and WBLP are derived from historic and existing rural living development as well as agricultural use. The WBLP has been established because it is recognised that further rural living development is appropriate in certain areas within the Wakatipu Basin, where landscape values can be maintained. The amendments sought to 6.2 better	While aAcknowledging these rural areas have established rural living and development, and that landscape character and amenity values are derived from that evolved land use and a substantial amount of further subdivision and development has already been approved in these areas, the landscape values of these areas are vulnerable to degradation from further inappropriate subdivision and development. Areas where rural living development is at or is approaching the finite capacity of the landscape need to be identified if the District's distinctive rural landscape values are to be sustained. Areas where the landscape can accommodate further sensitive and sympathetic rural living developments similarly need to be identified, and development enabled where landscape character and amenity values can be maintained or enhanced
	reflect this recognition.	
Policy 6.3.3.A Provide a separate regulatory regime for the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zones, within which the Outstanding Natural Feature, Outstanding Natural Landscape and Rural Character Landscape categories and the policies of this chapter related to those categories do not apply.	Clarification that the landscape categories and associated policies do not apply to the WBRAZ is supported. However, this rule (and other bespoke rules such as 6.3.3.B regarding the Open Space and Recreation Zone) could be deleted if an Interpretation section was included in Chapter 6 to clarify the relationship between the landscape categories and the various rural zones and other zones.	Retain Policy 6.3.3A, or in the alternative, work the content of the policy into a new Interpretation section for Chapter 6.
Policy 6.3.27	Oppose	Delete Policy 6.3.27
In the Wakatipu Basin, avoid planting and screening, particularly along roads and boundaries that would degrade openness where such openness is an important part of	This policy sits under the heading 'Managing Activities in Rural Character Landscapes'. Policy 6.3.3.A clarifies	In the Wakatipu Basin, avoid planting and screening, particularly along roads and boundaries that would degrade openness where such openness is an important part of its landscape quality or character. (3.2.1.1, 3.2.1.8, 3.2.5.2,

	I	
its landscape quality or character. (3.2.1.1, 3.2.1.8, 3.2.5.2, 3.3.20-21, 3.3.24-25, 3.3.32).	that the Rural Character Landscape category does not apply to the WBRAZ and therefore this policy should be	3.3.20-21, 3.3.24-25, 3.3.32).
,	either deleted or relocated.	
	Regardless, it is considered that there	
	is sufficient policy direction in Chapter	
	24 regarding planting, and therefore	
	this policy is not necessary.	
Chapter 24 – Wakatipu Basin		
24.1 Zone Purpose	Oppose	Re-insert and rework the following paragraph from the Zone Purpose as notified (as a new paragraph 3 in the decision version Zone Purpose):
New paragraph 3	The Zone Purpose does not address	
	the range of activities that are	A wide range of activities that rely on and seek to locate within the Wakatipu
	contemplated in the Zone other than	Basin are contemplated in the Rural Amenity Zone, including rural living at a
	rural living and rural activities. It is	variety of densities, recreation, commercial and tourism activities, as well as
	important to include reference to the	enabling farming and farming activities. There are also established industrial
	range of non-residential and non- farming activities such as commercial	type activities that are based on rural resources or support rural type activities.
	and tourism activities that can be	
	provided for in the Wakatipu Basin in	
	the introductory parts of the Chapter.	
24.1 Zone Purpose	Oppose	Amend paragraph 3 of the Zone Purpose:
Paragraph 3 (decision version)	The level of detail in the deleted text is	While the Rural Amenity Zone does not contain Outstanding Natural Features or
Paragraph 3 (decision version)	not necessary or appropriate to include	Landscapes, it is a distinctive and high amenity value landscape which is often
	in the Zone Purpose. Instead it is	located adjacent to, or nearby to, Outstanding Natural Features and
	sufficient to reference the importance	Landscapes. Development within the Rural Amenity Zone adjacent to or nearby
	of protecting ONFs and ONLs.	Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes is to be managed to ensure
		Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes are protected from inappropriate
		subdivision, use and development. There are no specific setback rules for
		development adjacent to Outstanding Natural Features or Landscapes.
		However, all buildings except small farm buildings and subdivision require
		resource consent to ensure that inappropriate buildings and/or subdivision does
		not occur adjacent to those features and landscapes. Buildings and
		development in the Zone and the Precinct are required to be set back from Escarpment, Ridgeline and River Cliff Features shown on the planning maps, to
		maintain the distinctive and high amenity landscapes of the Wakatipu Basin.
Objective 24.2.1	Support in part	Amend Objective 24.2.1
	- appoint in pair	Tanona Objectivo E nen
Landscape character and visual amenity	Reference to 'Wakatipu Basin Rural	Landscape character and visual amenity values in the Wakatipu Basin Rural

values in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity	Amenity Zone' is not necessary in this	Amenity Zone are maintained or enhanced.
Zone are maintained or enhanced.	objective and creates confusion as to	
	whether this objective and the suite of	
	policies apply to the Precinct, despite	
	the explanatory statement under	
	heading 24.2.	
Policy 24.2.1.1	Oppose	Delete Policy 24.2.1.1
Require an 80 hectare minimum net site area	The policies in respect of maintaining	Require an 80 hectare minimum net site are be maintained within the Wakatipu
be maintained within the Wakatipu Basin	and enhancing landscape character	Basin Rural Amenity Zone outside of the Precinct.
Rural Amenity Zone outside of the Precinct.	and visual amenity values should take	
	an effects based approach as opposed	Insert new Policy 24.2.1.1
	to a blanket approach based on	
	minimum lot sizes.	Assess all applications for subdivision and development against the relevant
		Landscape Classification Units described in Schedule 24.8.
New Policy 24.2.1.x	It is important to recognise that	Insert new Policy 24.2.1.x
	amenity in the Basin is derived not only	December that the amounts and landers a should wister of the Zone on
	from pastoral lands use, but also a	Recognise that the amenity and landscape characteristics of the Zone are derived from historical rural and rural living subdivision and development.
	varied form and pattern of rural living development which has evolved over	derived from historical rural and rural living subdivision and development.
	time.	
Policy 24.2.1.4	Support in part	Amend Policy 24.2.1.5:
Maintain or enhance the landscape character	Explicit reference to the Precinct is not	Maintain or enhance the landscape character and visual amenity values
and visual amenity values associated with		associated with the Rural Amenity Zone including the Precinct and surrounding
the Rural Amenity Zone including the		landscape context by:
Precinct and surrounding landscape context		landscape context by:
by:	the Precinct. Explicit reference to the	a. controlling the colour, scale, form, coverage, location (including setbacks from
~,.	Precinct in only this policy creates	boundaries) and heights of buildings and associated infrastructure, vegetation
a. controlling the colour, scale, form,	confusion.	and landscape elements;.
coverage, location (including setbacks from		, -
boundaries) and heights of buildings and		b. setting development back from Escarpment, Ridgeline and River Cliff
associated infrastructure, vegetation and		Features shown on the Planning maps
landscape elements;	setbacks comes under the Council's	
	general control over location in sub-	
b. setting development back from	point a.	
Escarpment, Ridgeline and River Cliff		
Features shown on the Planning maps.	0	4 A 1 D.F. 04 0 4 0 ()
Policy 24.2.1.6	Support in part	1. Amend Policy 24.2.1.6 as follows:

recreation and tourism related activities that rely on the rural land resource, subject to maintaining or enhancing landscape character and visual amenity values.	which are provided for in the Basin should not be exhaustive — all appropriate non-residential activity which maintains or enhances landscape character and visual amenity (and complies with the standards) should be provided for. Schedule 24.8 defines the landscape character and visual amenity values of each LCU of the Basin. It should be clear throughout Chapter 24 that the landscape character and visual amenity values referred to are those set out in Schedule 24.8 as being relevant to each LCU.	community, recreation and tourism related activities that rely on the rural land resource, subject to maintaining or enhancing landscape character and visual amenity values identified in the relevant Landscape Character Unit. 2. Relocate policy to sit under Objective 24.2.2, as notified.
Policy 24.2.1.10	Support in part	Amend Policy 24.2.1.10
Enable residential activity within building platforms created prior to 21 March 2019 subject to achieving appropriate standards.	Where residential activity complies with the relevant standards it should be enabled. There is no justification for limiting development beyond the date of the decision in circumstances where standards can be met.	Amend the policy so that it is not date limited, but instead enables all approved development. Also expand the policy to recognise and provide for any established building rights under the ODP. Relocate Policy 24.2.1.10 to sit under the new objective 24.2.2 proposed (see below).
Policy 24.2.1.11	Oppose	Amend Policy 24.2.1.11:
Provide for activities, whose built form is subservient to natural landscape elements and that, in areas Schedule 24.8 identifies as having a sense of openness and spaciousness, maintain those qualities.	It is not reasonable to require built form be subservient to natural landscape. This will often be difficult to achieve and is not possible to accurately assess. Compatibility is a more reasonable assessment that will ensure landscape character values are maintained.	Provide for activities, whose built form is subservient to complements natural landscape elements and that, in areas Schedule 24.8 identifies as having a sense of openness and spaciousness, maintain those qualities.
Policy 24.2.1.12 Manage lighting so that it does not cause adverse glare to other properties, roads, public places or degrade views of the night sky.	Oppose All lighting is inherently adverse in terms of causing glare to neighbouring properties, roads and public places,	Amend Policy 24.2.1.12 Manage lighting so that it does not cause adverse inappropriate glare to other properties, roads, public places or degrade views of the night sky.

New Objective 24.2.x and policy suite recognising existing development rights	policy suite is to specifically recognise the benefits associated with rural living subdivision and development within the Basin. Post <i>King Salmon</i> , applications for consent are assessment primarily against the provisions of the Plan, and short of any issues with validity in the Plan, are not assessed directly against Part 2. Therefore, if a Plan does not provide for the positive and enabling elements of Part 2, these will not be considered in the assessment of a resource consent application. In such circumstances the Plan may be considered to be incomplete. In respect of new policy 24.2.2.1, recognition of existing rights within the Basin is critical for many landowners who have invested and developed their land on the basis of controlled activity rights. It is an efficient use of planning resources to reduce uncertainty and consenting requirements. In respect of new policy 24.2.2.3, each of the benefits listed are tangible and have positive impacts on the Wakatipu	Insert new objective and policies as follows: 24.2.x Objective - Existing development rights and additional rural living opportunities are recognised and provided for 24.2.2.1 Recognise and provide for existing and consented rights to carry out land-use activities and to erect and use buildings. Amend, relocate and renumber policy 24.2.1.10 as 24.2.2.2: Enable residential activity within approved building platforms ereated prior to 21 March 2019 subject to achieving appropriate standards. 24.2.2.3 Recognise and provide for the social, cultural, and economic benefits derived from rural living subdivision and development, including: • The enjoyment of rural living amenities by residents and visitors; • The opportunity for rural living opportunities within close proximity to employment and social opportunities in town centres; • The diversification of land use where farming is no longer viable or economically productive; • The onsite and offsite employment opportunities generated by subdivision, construction, landscaping, property maintenance and related activities; • The efficient and effective use of a finite rural land resource.
Policy 24.2.2.2	of the benefits listed are tangible and	Delete Policy 24.2.2.2

Restrict the type and intensity of non-residential activities to those which are compatible in relation to generated effects (e.g. traffic, noise, and hours of operation) with surrounding uses and natural environment.	There is no justification for compatibility or comparability of non-residential activities. This would often not be achieved in most instances of non-residential activities, given the different scale and nature of effects generated from non-residential use, e.g. in the instance of a commercial cellar door operation, traffic, bulk and location effects are unlikely to be comparable to those of existing uses in the Basin. This is also a repetition of the reverse sensitivity policies under objective 24.2.3.	Restrict the type and intensity of non-residential activities to those which are compatible in relation to generated effects (e.g. traffic, noise, and hours of operation) with surrounding uses and natural environment
New policy 24.2.2.x	If the MFSZ rezoning is approved, policy recognition should be included in the policies of Chapter 24.	Insert new Policy 24.2.2.x Encourage the appropriate development of the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct for visitor accommodation, commercial and tourism related activities.
Policy 24.2.3.4	Oppose	Delete Policy 24.2.3.4
Ensure non-farming activities with potential for nuisance effects from dust, visual, noise or odour effects are located a sufficient distance from formed roads, neighbouring properties, waterbodies and any residential activity.	This policy is essentially a duplication of policy 24.2.2.3 above.	Ensure non-farming activities with potential for nuisance effects from dust, visual, noise or odour effects are located a sufficient distance from formed roads, neighbouring properties, waterbodies and any residential activity.
Policy 24.2.4.2	Oppose	Delete Policy 24.2.4.2:
Restrict the scale, intensity and location of subdivision, development and use of land in the Lake Hayes catchment, unless it can occur consistently with improvement to water quality in the catchment.	It is not reasonable to include a blanket restriction on land use and development within the Lake Hayes catchment.	Restrict the scale, intensity and location of subdivision, development and use of land in the Lake Hayes catchment, unless it can occur consistently with improvement to water quality in the catchment. Or in the alternative
	It is not reasonable to require land use and development to improve water quality or occur concurrently with	Amend Policy 24.2.4.2:
	improvements in water quality. This	Restrict Manage the scale, intensity and location of subdivision, development

	policy should be enabling and provide for use and development to occur concurrently with consistent maintenance of water quality. Further, water quality considerations for the Lake Hayes catchment will be captured in policy 24.2.4.1, therefore this policy is not necessary.	and use of land in the Lake Hayes catchment, unless it can to ensure it occurs consistently with improvement to maintenance of water quality in the catchment.
Policy 24.2.4.4	Oppose	Delete Policy 24.2.4.4
Provide adequate firefighting water and emergency vehicle access to ensure an efficient and effective emergency response.	This policy is essentially a duplication of a Chapter 27 policy. The subdivision chapter is the appropriate chapter for considerations of water supply and emergency vehicle access.	Provide adequate firefighting water and emergency vehicle access to ensure an efficient and effective emergency response.
Policy 24.2.4.5	Oppose	Amend Policy 24.2.4.5
Ensure development has regard to servicing and infrastructure costs that are not met by the developer.	The provisions of Chapter 27 are comprehensive for subdivision development infrastructure. Consolidating this assessment here will simplify the planning regime.	Ensure development has regard to servicing and infrastructure and servicing is provided in accordance with the provisions as set out in Chapter 27 costs that are not met by the developer.
Policy 24.2.4.8	Support in part	Policy 24.2.4.8
Encourage the removal of wilding exotic trees at the time of development.	It is not always appropriate or practical to remove wilding pines at the time of development. The policy should provide flexibility where appropriate and its focus should be on preventing wilding spread.	Encourage the removal of wilding exotic trees at the time of development, where there is a risk of wilding spread.
	The amendment proposed is consistent with the wording of Policy 24.2.5.6.	
Policy 24.2.4.9	Support in part	Amend Policy 24.2.4.9
Encourage the planting, retention and enhancement of indigenous vegetation that is appropriate to the area and planted at a scale, density, pattern and composition that	This policy should be general to all areas suitable for replanting.	Encourage the planting, retention and enhancement of indigenous vegetation that is ecologically appropriate to the area and planted at a scale, density, pattern and composition that enhances indigenous biodiversity values particularly in locations such as gullies and riparian areas, or to provide stability.

contributes to native habitat restoration,		
particularly in locations such as gullies and		
riparian areas, or to provide stability.		
Policy 24.2.5.4	Support in part	Amend Policy 24.2.5.4
Implement minimum and average lot size	The intention of an average lot size is	Implement minimum and average lot size standards in conjunction with
standards in conjunction with standards	not to reduce cumulative effects but to	standards controlling building size, location and external appearance, to
controlling building size, location and	encourage variation in subdivision and	enable development and variation in subdivision design and layout which
external appearance, so that the	design.	reflects so that the landscape character and visual amenity values of the
landscape character and visual amenity	_	applicable Landscape Character Unit Precinct, as identified in Schedule
values of the Precinct, as identified in		24.8 – Landscape Character Units, are not compromised by cumulative
Schedule 24.8		adverse effects of development.
 Landscape Character Units, are not 		
compromised by cumulative adverse effects		
of development		
Policy 24.2.5.5	Oppose	Delete Policy 24.2.5.5
Maintain a defensible adde between areas of	This policy is uppercently upplear	Maintain a defensible edge between areas of rural living in the Precinct and the
Maintain a defensible edge between areas of rural living in the Precinct and the balance of	This policy is unnecessary, unclear, would be impractical to apply, and	balance of the Zone
the Zone	would not result in favourable	baiance of the Zone
the Zone	landscape outcomes.	
Policy 24.2.5.6	Support in part	Amend Policy 24.2.5.6
		, in the second of the second
Retain vegetation that contributes to	The amendments proposed are	Retain Encourage the retention of vegetation that contributes to
landscape character and visual amenity	compatible with the wording of policies	landscape character and visual amenity values of the Precinct,
values of the Precinct, provided it does	24.2.4.8 and 24.2.4.9	provided it does not present a high risk of wilding spread.
not present a high risk of wilding spread.		
Advice note 24.3.3.1	Oppose	Delete Advice note 24.3.3.1
Clarifications of the meaning of root		Clarifications of the meaning of root protection zone, minor trimming of a
protection zone, minor trimming of a	This advice note should be deleted	hedgerow, minor trimming and significant trimming are provided in Chapter
hedgerow, minor trimming and significant	consequentially, as Rule 24.4.29 is	2—Definitions.
trimming are provided in Chapter 2 –	ultra vires in accordance with s76 RMA	2 Delinitions.
Definitions.	and should also be deleted.	
Rule 24.4.6	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.4.6
The construction of buildings for residential	There is no justification for requiring	The construction of buildings for residential activity that are located within a
activity that are located within a building	that a land owner obtain further	building platform approved by a resource consent and registered on the
platform approved by a resource consent and	resource consent when building on an	applicable Computer Freehold register before 21 March 2019.
registered on the applicable Computer	approved and established building	

Freehold register before 21 March 2019. Control is reserved over: a. Landscape character; b. Visual amenity values c. Access; d. Infrastructure; e. Landform modification, landscaping and planting (existing and proposed). [Activity status: Controlled]	platform which has already been subject to a thorough landscape assessment in which residential development was deemed appropriate. Further, where residential activity complies with the relevant standards it should be enabled. There is no justification for restricting future development (beyond the date of the decision) in circumstances where standards can be met and landscape character can be maintained. Support in part	d. Infrastructure; e. Landform modification, landscaping and planting (existing and proposed).
The construction of buildings for residential activity that are not provided for in Rule 24.4.5 or 24.4.6 and are not contrary to Rule 24.4.8. Discretion is restricted to: a. Landscape character; b. Visual amenity values c. Access; d. Infrastructure; e. Landform modification, landscaping and planting (existing and proposed). f. Natural hazards.	To ensure unreasonable development cannot occur in the MFRVP, which is intended to enable appropriate visitor activities, residential buildings within the MFRVP are proposed to be excluded from this rule. A separate rule for the management of residential buildings within the MFRVP is proposed, to limit residential activities within the MFRVP to those which support management and staffing of visitor activities.	The construction of buildings for residential activity that are not provided for in Rule 24.4.5 or 24.4.6 and are not contrary to Rule 24.4.8. Note: This rule does not apply to buildings within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor
[Activity status: Restricted Discretionary]		
New rule 24.4.x In Table 24.1 Activities in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone Residential activities and buildings	As above, a separate rule is proposed for residential activities within the MFRVP, to ensure the purpose of the precinct to enable visitor activities is maintained and inappropriate residential development does not occur.	Road Visitor precinct.
Rule 24.4.8	Oppose	[Activity status: Discretionary] Amend Rule 24.4.8
Kule 24.4.0	Oppose	Allieliu Kule 24.4.0

The construction of buildings for residential activity outside a building platform approved by a resource consent and registered on the applicable Computer Freehold Register on a site where there is such a building platform. [Activity status: Non-complying]	It is unclear why Standard 24.5.2 provides for the alternation of residential buildings not within a building platform by up to 30% increase in ground floor area as a restricted discretionary activity, but new construction outside of building platforms is non-complying. It is considered that discretionary activity status is more appropriate, given any residential building constructed outside of a building platform will be subject to the Standards in Table 24.3.	The construction of buildings for residential activity outside a building platform approved by a resource consent and registered on the applicable Computer Freehold Register on a site where there is such a building platform. [Activity status: Non-complying-Discretionary]
New rule 24.4.x	Rule 24.4.18 is a blanket rule making	Insert new Rule 24.4.x:
In Table 044 Addition in the Webster	all construction and alteration of	The effective of the fifth and fifther and for the control of
In Table 24.1 Activities in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone	buildings for non-residential activities a Restricted Discretionary activity.	The alteration of any lawfully established building used for non-residential activity.
Non-residential activities and buildings	It is submitted that the alteration of lawfully established buildings for non-residential activities should be permitted, as it is for the alteration of buildings for residential activities in Rule 24.4.4	[Activity status: Permitted]
	All other circumstances of construction and alteration of buildings for non-residential activities will come under Rule 24.4.18.	
New rule 24.4.21A	Visitor accommodation within the WBRAZ generally is a discretionary	Insert new Rule 24.4.21A
In Table 24.1 Activities in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone	activity. A separate rule is proposed to provide for visitor accommodation	Visitor accommodation within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct
Non-residential activities and buildings	within the MFRVP as a controlled activity, subject to the Morven Ferry Subzone specific standards sought to be included in Chapter 24.	[Activity status: Controlled]
New rule 24.4.x	The inclusion of this rule is in accordance with building rights under	New rule 24.4.x

In Table 24.2 Activities in the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct	the Operative District Plan, where controlled activity rights are the default	The creation of a new residential building platform which complies with the residential density standards in Rule 24.5.1.
Submit Emocified Freemot	for activities that comply with the	
	relevant subdivision and density rules.	[Activity status: Controlled]
	There is no justification to restrict	
	further development within the	
	Precinct, where all standards in Table 24.3 can be complied with.	
Rule 24.4.25	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.4.25
Residential flat not exceeding 150m² gross	It is reasonable that a residential flat	Residential flat not exceeding 150m² gross floor area that is separated from the
floor area that is separated from the principal	that does not exceed 150m ² gross	principal residential unit by no more than 6 metres, that is not provided for in
residential unit by no more than 6 metres, that is not provided for in Rule 24.4.6, and is	floor area and is within 6 metres of a residential unit, which is not outside of	Rule 24.4.6, and is not contrary to Rule 24.4.8.
not contrary to Rule 24.4.8.	an approved building platform, should	
Note: Residential flats attached to the	be enabled as a permitted activity in	Rule 24.4.5.
principal residential unit are covered by Rule	the Precinct. In the circumstances landscape character and amenity	[Activity status: Discretionary Permitted]
24.4.5.	values would be able to be maintained.	
[Activity status: Discretionary]	At a minimum it is illogical for the	
	activity status of a residential flat falling	
	within this rule to be more restrictive than restricted discretionary activity	
	status, given that if this rule was not	
	included in the Chapter the activity	
	would fall generally within the ambit of	
	Rule 24.4.7 which has a restricted discretionary activity status.	
Rule 24.4.26	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.4.26
	It is illogical for the activity status of a	
Residential flat not exceeding 150m² gross	residential flat falling within this rule to	Residential flat not exceeding 150m² gross floor area that is separated from the
floor area that is separated from the principal residential unit by more than 6 metres, that is	be more restrictive than restricted discretionary activity status, given that	principal residential unit by more than 6 metres, that is not provided for in Rule 24.4.6, and is not contrary to Rule 24.4.8.
not provided for in Rule 24.4.6, and is not	if this rule was not included in the	[Activity status: Non-complying Restricted Discretionary]
contrary to Rule 24.4.8.	Chapter the activity would fall generally	[[Additive diagnosis from complying treemoted bisolotionally]
,	within the ambit of Rule 24.4.7 which	
[Activity status: Non-complying]	has a restricted discretionary activity	
Puls 04 4 00	status.	Delete Dule 04.4.00
Rule 24.4.29	Oppose	Delete Rule 24.4.29

Clearance, works within the root protection zone or significant trimming of exotic	This rule should be deleted as it is ultra vires in accordance with s76 RMA.	Clearance, works within the root protection zone or significant trimming of exotic vegetation that is of a height greater than 4 metres.
vegetation that is of a height greater than 4 metres.		Discretion is restricted to:
Discretion is restricted to:		a) The extent of clearance;
a. The extent of clearance;		b) Trimming and works within the root protection zone;
 b. Trimming and works within the root protection zone; 		c) Replacement planting.
c. Replacement planting.		
Standard 24.5.1.4	Oppose	Amend Standard 24.5.1.4
Any site in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone located wholly outside the Precinct in respect of which the Computer Freehold Register for the site was issued before 21 March 2019 and with an area less than 80 hectares, a maximum of one residential unit per site.	The effect of the requirement for sites to be 'located wholly outside of the Precinct' and Rule 24.5.1.3 which requires any unit on a site that is part WBLP and part WBRAZ to be located within the WBLP, may unreasonably limit the use of WBRAZ land in these circumstances of mixed zoning of a site.	Any site in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone located wholly outside the Precinct in respect of which the Computer Freehold Register for the site was issued before 21 March 2019 and with an area less than 80 hectares, a maximum of one residential unit per site. [Non-complying status: Non-complying]
[Non-complying status: Non-complying]	Reference to sites below 80ha, and the below Standard 24.5.1.5, are deleted in accordance with the relief sought to have no minimum lot density in the WBRAZ.	
Standard 24.5.1.5	Oppose	Delete Standard 24.5.1.5
For that part of all other sites in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone wholly located outside of the Precinct, a maximum of one residential unit per 80 hectares net site area.	As above.	For that part of all other sites in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone wholly located outside of the Precinct, a maximum of one residential unit per 80 hectares net site area.
[Non-complying status: Non-complying]		[Non-complying status: Non-complying]
Rule 24.5.2 – Alterations to buildings for	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.5.2 – Alterations to buildings for residential activities not located
residential activities not located within a		within a building platform
building platform	Separate building coverage rules are	
Alternations to a building not located within a building platform must not increase the	proposed for buildings within the MFRVP. It is considered that within the MFRVP, relying on these maximum	Alternations to a building not located within a building platform must not increase the ground floor area by more than 30% in any ten year period.

ground floor area by more than 30% in any ten year period. [Activity status: Restricted Discretionary] Discretion is restricted to: a. Landscape character; b. Visual amenity; c. External appearance; d. Infrastructure.	building coverage rules is more appropriate and will be sufficient to manage potential landscape character effects and ensure appropriate development is maximised.	[Activity status: Restricted Discretionary] Discretion is restricted to: a. Landscape character; b. Visual amenity; c. External appearance; d. Infrastructure. Note: This rule does not apply to buildings within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct.
Rule 24.5.4 - Building Size Where a residential building is constructed within a building platform under Rule 24.4.6, the ground floor area of all buildings must not exceed 500m². [Activity status: Restricted Discretionary]	Oppose 1000m ² ground floor area is a more suitable maximum size for buildings in the Basin, and is more consistent with the existing residential development of the Basin.	Amend Rule 24.5.4 - Building Size Where a residential building is constructed within a building platform under Rule 24.4.6, the ground floor area of all buildings must not exceed 51000m². [Activity status: Restricted Discretionary]
Rule 24.5.5 - Building coverage	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.5.5 Building coverage
The ground floor area of all buildings not subject to Rule 24.5.4 must not exceed 15% of net site area, or 500m² ground floor area, whichever is lesser. [Activity status: Restricted Discretionary]	As above	The ground floor area of all buildings not subject to Rule 24.5.4 must not exceed 15% of net site area, or 51000m². ground floor area, whichever is lesser. [Activity status: Restricted Discretionary] Note: This rule does not apply to buildings within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct.
New Rule 24.5.5A - Building coverage - Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct	It is considered that non-residential buildings with a larger maximum building coverage can be located within the MFRVP whilst maintaining landscape character and amenity values. Different maximum building coverages are proposed for Visitor A and B areas, to reflect differences in the ability to absorb additional development. A larger maximum building coverage is	Insert new Rule 24.5.5A – Building coverage – Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct The maximum building coverage in the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct shall not exceed: In Area A: 1500m² ground floor area In Area B: 3000m² ground floor area Non-compliance status: Non-complying

	required for visitor and commercial activities to ensure those activities are	
	economical and visitor demand can be	
	met.	
Rule 24.5.6 - Setback from internal	Support in part.	Amend Rule 24.5.6 – Setback from internal boundaries
boundaries		
	The rule is generally supported. A	The minimum setback of any building from internal boundaries shall be 10m.
The minimum setback of any building from	subzone specific rule is sought for the	
internal boundaries shall be 10m.	Morven Ferry Subzone to better utilise	The minimum setback of any building from internal boundaries in the Morven
	development opportunities and	Ferry Subzone shall be 6m, and 15m from Lot 1 DP 411193;
	manage potential development effects	
	in that area.	
Rule 24.5.7 – Height of buildings	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.5.7 – Height of buildings
	A maximum building height of 8m as a	
Rule 24.5.7.1 The maximum height of		24.5.7
buildings shall be 6m.	appropriate in the Basin.	Rule 24.5.7.1 The maximum height of buildings shall be 68m.
Inon compliance DDI		The maximum height of any agricultural and viticulture buildings in the Morven
[non-compliance: RD]		Ferry Road Visitor Precinct shall be 10m.
Rule 24.5.7.2 The maximum height of		Ferry Road visitor Precinct Shall be Toni.
buildings shall be 8m.		[non-compliance: RD]
bullulings shall be on.		
[non-compliance: NC]		Rule 24.5.7.2 The maximum height of buildings shall be 8m.
		[non-compliance: NC]
Rule 24.5.8 - Setback from roads	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.5.8 – Setback from roads
	A 75m setback from roads in the	
The minimum setback of any building from		The minimum setback of any building from road boundaries shall be 7510m in
road boundaries shall be 75m in the Precinct	of lots in existence and thus creates an	the Precinct and 20m elsewhere in the Rural Amenity Zone.
and 20m elsewhere in the Rural Amenity	arbitrary standard that cannot be	Inon compliance, DDI
Zone.	complied with.	[non-compliance: RD]
[non-compliance: RD]		
New Rule 24.5.8A - Setback from Roads	A separate setback of 35m is proposed	Insert new Rule 24.5.8A - Setback from roads within the Morven Ferry Road
within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor	along Morven Ferry Road to ensure	Visitor Precinct
Precinct	amenity values along that route are	
	maintained.	The minimum setback of any building from Morven Ferry Road shall be 35m in
		the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct.
		[non-compliance: NC]

Rule 24.5.9 - Setback from Queenstown	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.5.9 Setback from Queenstown Trail
Trail	There is no justification for a greater	Amend Nuie 24.5.9 Selback from Queenslown fram
Trail	setback from the Queenstown Trail	Any building shall be located a minimum of 7510m from the boundary of any
Any building shall be located a minimum of	than from roads.	identified Queenstown Trail Setback as shown on the planning maps.
75m from the boundary of any identified	man nom roado.	Table and the planning maper
Queenstown Trail Setback as shown on the	As above, a setback of 75m will be	[non-compliance: RD]
planning maps.	unworkable for property owners	
, , ,	neighbouring the trail and will result in	Note: This rule does not apply to buildings within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor
[non-compliance: RD]	ineffective use of the rural land source.	Precinct.
	Further, much of the Queenstown Trail	
	is a Trail as defined and not a 'public	
	place' for the purposes of assessing	
	landscape character and visual	
	amenity effects.	
Rule 24.5.10 - Setback from Escarpment,	Oppose	Delete Rule 24.5.10 -
Ridgeline and River Cliff Features	A rule regarding setback from these	Setback from Escarpment, Ridgeline and River Cliff Features
	natural features is not necessary,	
Any building or accessway shall be located	clear, or easily implemented.	Any building or accessway shall be located a minimum of 50m from the
a minimum of 50m from the boundary of		boundary of any Escarpment, Ridgeline or River Cliff Feature shown on the
any Escarpment, Ridgeline or River Cliff	The features identified are not the	planning maps.
Feature shown on the planning maps.	Outstanding Natural Features and	
	Outstanding Natural Landscapes	[non-compliance: RD]
[non-compliance: RD]	protected in Chapter 6. There is no	
	landscape justification for requiring such a setback. If the concern is	
	related to natural hazards it should be	
	addressed in Chapter 28 (Natural	
	Hazards) not Chapter 24.	
Rule 24.5.13 Farm Buildings	Support in part	Amend Rule 24.5.13 Farm Buildings
Farm buildings	A maximum gross floor area for farm	Farm buildings
a. The maximum gross floor area	buildings is not necessary in the	a. The maximum gross floor area of any farm building shall be
of any farm building shall be	Morven Ferry Subzone.	50m²
50m².		b. All exterior surfaces shall be coloured in the range of black,
b. All exterior surfaces shall be		b. All exterior surfaces shall be coloured in the range of black, browns, greens or greys (except soffits).
coloured in the range of black,		c. Pre-painted steel and all roofs shall have a reflectance value not
browns, greens or greys		greater than 20%.
(except soffits).		d. All other surface finishes shall have a reflectance value of not
		greater than 30%.
c. Pre-painted steel and all roots shall have a reflectance value not		greater triair 50%.
snall have a reflectance value not		

000/	Т	[T
greater than 20%.		[non-compliance: RD]
d. All other surface finishes shall		
have a reflectance value of not		
greater than 30%.		
[non-compliance: RD]		
Rule 24.5.16 – Retail Sales	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.5.16 – Retail Sales
The maximum gross floor area of buildings	Restricting the area of retail buildings	The maximum gross floor area of buildings shall be 25m2 for retail sales of farm
shall be 25m ² for retail sales of farm and	to 25m ² is not workable within the	and garden produce and wine grown, reared or produced on-site or handicrafts
garden produce and wine grown, reared or	MFRVP and will not result in economic	produced on the site.
produced on-site or handicrafts produced on	and effective use of the MFRVP for	
the site.	visitor and commercial activities. It is	[Non-compliance: RD]
	proposed that buildings within the	
[Non-compliance: RD]	MFRVP are excluded from this rule	Note: This rule does not apply to the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct.
	and a separate rule limiting maximum	
	building footprint specific to the	
	MFRVP is included in the chapter.	
New Rule 24.5.x - Maximum building	As buildings within the MFRVP are	Insert New Rule 24.5.x – Maximum building footprint within the Morven Ferry
footprint within the Morven Ferry Road	proposed to be excluded from rule	Road Visitor Precinct
Visitor Precinct	24.5.16, new rule 24.5.x is proposed to	
	ensure appropriate restrictions on	With the exception of one viticultural building with a maximum building footprint
	building footprint.	of 500m², the maximum building footprint shall be 300m² per building.
		Discretion is restricted to:
		Building location, character, scale and dominance
		• Building location, character, scale and dominance
		[non-compliance: NC]
Rule 24.6 Non-notification of Applications	Support in part	Amend Rule 24.6 Non-notification of Applications
	As discussed, a rule regarding	
Any application for resource consent for	setbacks from identified landscape	Any application for resource consent for controlled or restricted discretionary
controlled or restricted discretionary activities	features is not necessary and should	activities shall not require the written consent of other persons and shall not be
shall not require the written consent of other	be deleted.	notified or limited – notified, with the exception of the following
persons and shall not be notified or limited –		3
notified, with the exception of the following		a. Rule 24.5.4 Building Size.
		b. Rule 24.5.5 Building coverage.
a. Rule 24.5.4 Building Size.		c. Rule 24.5.6 Setback from internal boundaries.
b. Rule 24.5.5 Building coverage.		d. Rule 24.5.7 Height of buildings.
c. Rule 24.5.6 Setback from internal		e. Rule 24.5.8 Setback from roads.
boundaries.		f. Rule 24.5.10 Setback from identified landscape features Escarpment,
d. Rule 24.5.7 Height of buildings.		Ridgeline or River Cliff Feature.
a. Naie 24.5.7 Height of bullulings.		1 (lugolino or 1 (lvor Olin roaturo.

- e. Rule 24.5.8 Setback from roads. f. Rule 24.5.10 Setback from identified landscape features Escarpment, Ridgeline or River Cliff Feature. g. Rule 24.4.16 Retail sales of farm and garden produce and wine, where the access is onto a State Highway. **Assessment Matter 24.7.3** Landscape character and visual amenity The extent to which the development avoids, remedies or mitigates adverse effects on the features, elements and patterns that contribute to the value of adjacent or nearby ONLs and ONFs. This includes consideration of the appropriate setback from such features as well as the maintenance of views from public roads and other public places to the surrounding ONL and ONF context.
 - j. Whether the proposed development provides an opportunity to maintain landscape character and visual amenity through the registration of covenants requiring open space to be maintained

in perpetuity.

Assessment Matter 24.7.4

Infrastructure and access

a. The extent to which the proposal provides for adequate on-site wastewater disposal and water supply. The provision of shared infrastructure servicing to more than one property is preferred in order to Support in part

Assessment matter sub-point g should be limited to an assessment of adverse effects on the *outstanding* elements of ONLs and ONFs, as these elements are what makes ONLF 'outstanding' in the first instance. Adverse effects may occur on other elements of ONLF which will not affect their overall outstanding-ness.

Sub-point j should be deleted as openness is not a key element of landscape character and visual amenity in all circumstances within the Basin.

g. Rule 24.4.16 Retail sales of farm and garden produce and wine, where the access is onto a State Highway.

Amend Assessment Matter 24.7.3

Landscape character and visual amenity

g. The extent to which the development avoids, remedies or mitigates adverse effects on the <u>outstanding</u> features, elements and patterns that contribute to the value of adjacent or nearby ONLs and ONFs. This includes consideration of <u>the an</u> appropriate setback from such features as well as the maintenance of views from public roads and other public places to the surrounding ONL and ONF context.

...

j. Whether the proposed development provides an opportunity to maintain landscape character and visual amenity through the registration of covenants requiring open space to be maintained in perpetuity.

essment Matter 24.7.4 Oppose

These assessment criteria are duplicated under Assessment Matter 24.7.6 and should be deleted as the duplication is unnecessary.

Delete Assessment Matter 24.7.4

Infrastructure and access

- a. The extent to which the proposal provides for adequate on-site
 wastewater disposal and water supply. The provision of shared
 infrastructure servicing to more than one property is preferred in order to
 minimise environmental effects.
- b. <u>The extent to which the proposed access utilises an existing access or provides for a common access in order to reduce visual and</u>

minimise environmental effects.		environmental effects, including traffic safety, minimising earthworks and
b. The extent to which the proposed		<u>vegetation removal.</u>
access utilises an existing access or		
provides for a common access in		
order to reduce visual and		
environmental effects, including traffic		
safety, minimising earthworks and		
vegetation removal.		
Assessment Matter 24.7.5	Oppose	Amendments as above for 24.7.3
Assessment matter 24.7.3	As above for 24.7.3	Amendments as above for 24.7.5
See above 24.7.3	As above 101 24.7.3	
Assessment Matter 24.7.7	Support in part	Amend Assessment Matter 24.7.7
Assessment Matter 24.7.7	Support in part	Amena Assessment Matter 24.7.7
Non-residential activities	A further assessment matter sub-point	Non-residential activities
Non-residential activities		NOTI-TESIGETILIAL ACLIVILIES
M/hathar the proposal cabiarras	is proposed to ensure non-residential	M/hathar the preparal cabia cas
Whether the proposal achieves:	development within the MFRVP is	Whether the proposal achieves:
	appropriately integrated with the	
a. An appropriate scale and intensity of the	surrounding rural environment, and	a. An appropriate scale and intensity of the activity in the context of the amenity
activity in the context of the amenity and	achieves the purpose of positively	and character of the surrounding area including reference to the identified
character of the surrounding area including	engaging with residents and visitor	elements set out in Schedule 24.8 - Landscape Character Units for the relevant
reference to the identified elements set out in	using the Queenstown Trail.	landscape character unit.
Schedule 24.8 - Landscape Character Units		b. Adequate visual amenity for neighbouring properties and from public places.
for the relevant landscape character unit.		c. Minimisation of any noise, odour and dust.
b. Adequate visual amenity for neighbouring		d. Access that maintains the safety and efficiency of the roading and trail
properties and from public places.		network.
c. Minimisation of any noise, odour and dust.		e. Within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct, the appropriate integration of
d. Access that maintains the safety and		development and activities within the rural environment, and the degree of
efficiency of the roading and trail network.		interaction with the Queenstown Trail.
omoroney of the rodding and train notwork.		moradion was the gasonotown trail.
Assessment Matter 24.7.8	Oppose	Amend Assessment Matter 24.7.8
Setback from boundaries, Queenstown Trail,	Specific rules and an assessment	Setback from boundaries, Queenstown Trail, and roads and Escarpments,
roads and Escarpments, Ridgeline and River	matter regarding setbacks from	Ridgeline and River Cliff Features
Cliff Features	escarpments, ridgelines and river cliff	
	features is not necessary.	Whether the proposal achieves:
Whether the proposal achieves:	Todata of the field the control of t	a. The maintenance of landscape character and visual amenity including
a. The maintenance of landscape character		reference to the identified elements set out in Schedule 24.8 - Landscape
and visual amenity including reference to the		
		Character Units for the relevant landscape unit.
identified elements set out in Schedule 24.8 -		b. The maintenance of views to the surrounding mountain context.
Landscape Character Units for the relevant		c. Adequate privacy, outlook and amenity for adjoining properties.
landscape unit.		

b. The maintenance of views to the surrounding mountain context. c. Adequate privacy, outlook and amenity for adjoining properties. Assessment Matter 24.7.14	Oppose	Delete Assessment Matter 24.7.14
Clearance, works within the root protection zone or significant trimming of exotic vegetation over 4m in height a. The degree to which the vegetation contributes to the landscape character and visual amenity values, and the extent to which the clearance or significant trimming would reduce those values. b. The potential for buildings and development to become more visually prominent. c. The merits of any proposed mitigation or replacement plantings. d. The effects on the health and structural stability of the vegetation. e. The merit of the removal of identified wilding exotic trees.	Rule 24.4.29 is ultra vires and should be deleted, and as a related assessment matter, Assessment Matter 24.7.14 should also be deleted.	Clearance, works within the root protection zone or significant trimming of exotic vegetation over 4m in height a. The degree to which the vegetation contributes to the landscape character and visual amenity values, and the extent to which the clearance or significant trimming would reduce those values. b. The potential for buildings and development to become more visually prominent. c. The merits of any proposed mitigation or replacement plantings. d. The effects on the health and structural stability of the vegetation. a. e. The merit of the removal of identified wilding exotic trees.
Schedule 24.8 Landscape Classification Units	Oppose The description of LCU 18 Morven	Amend Schedule 24.8 Landscape Classification Units – 18: Morven Ferry 'Foothills' as set out in Appendix B attached to this Notice of Appeal.
18: Morven Ferry 'Foothills'	Ferry 'Foothills' in Schedule 24.8 does not accurately and completely describe the landscape and amenity qualities of the LCU. Amendments are proposed to provide addition detail of the landscape qualities. The potential of the LCU to absorb further development is considered to be Moderate-Low rather than low, and is amended.	
Chapter 27		
Rule 27.5.9	Oppose Controlled default activity status for	Amend Rule 27.5.9

All subdivision activities, unless otherwise provided for, in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone or the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct.

. . .

[Activity status: RD]

subdivision in the Wakatipu Basin is sought.

It is considered unnecessary and unreasonable to require restricted discretionary consent for subdivision, where such subdivision is subject to and compliant with minimum and average lot densities, and when development is subject to various standards to ensure landscape character and visual amenity is maintained or enhanced. Where subdivision and development comply with these standards minimum/average densities, enforcing a restricted discretionary subdivision regime unreasonably restricts the development rights of landowners and creates unnecessary uncertainty.

All subdivision activities, unless otherwise provided for, in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone or the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct.

...

[Activity status: RD Controlled]

27.6 rules - Standards for Minimum Lot Areas

27.6.1 No lots to be created by subdivision, including balance lots, shall have a net site area or where specified, an average net site area less than the minimum specified.

Zone		Minimum Lot Area
Rural	Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone	80ha
	Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct	6000m ² 1.0ha minimum average

Oppose

The minimum lot area approach is opposed in the first instance and a discretionary subdivision regime with no minimum lot sizes is preferred.

In the alternative, it is considered appropriate to have no minimum lot size in the WBRAZ, in accordance with the approach taken for the Rural Zone and Gibbston Character Zone. In the WBLP a stepped average density approach is proposed, that allows for identification of areas of the WBLP with greater and lesser capacity to absorb development, and achieves variation in subdivision design and layout.

1. Delete Rule 27.6.1 (as it relates to the Wakatipu Basin) and replace with a discretionary subdivision regime.

In the alternative

2. Amend 27.6 rules – Standards for Minimum Lot Areas

27.6.1 No lots to be created by subdivision, including balance lots, shall have a net site area or where specified, an average net site area less than the minimum specified.

Zone		Minimum Lot Area
Rural Wakatipu Basin	Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone	No minimum 80ha
	Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct	6000m ² 1.0ha minimum average
		Precinct Zone A – 4000m ² average

	27.9.3 Restricted Discretionary Activity Subdivision Activities 27.9.3.3 Assessment Matters in relation to Rule 27.5.9 (Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity zone and Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity zone and Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct Subdivision Activities) Bupport in part The amendments proposed to the assessment Matters in relation to Rule 27.5.9 (Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity zone and Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct Subdivision Activities) Bupport in part The amendments proposed to the assessment matters are intended to ensure provisions are enabling and provide flexibility. General D. The extent to which the subdivision provides for lew-impact variation in design that avoids or mitigates adverse effects on the environment maintains or enhances landscape character and visual amenity values of the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct, including consideration of: i. the retention—of compatibility with existing vegetation and landform patterns. f. Whether the proposed subdivision provides an opportunity to maintain landscape character and visual amenity vegetation or settlement patterns. k. Whether the proposed subdivision provides an opportunity to maintain landscape character and visual amenity through the registration of covenants or consent notices requiring open space to be maintained in perpetuity. Planning Maps
Planning Mans 13d and 30 Onnose	Planning Maps 13d and 30 Oppose Rezone the Land to a combination of Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct with a

The zoning of the eastern piece of the Land as WBLP is supported and it is sought that this zoning be retained subject to the amendments sought above.

Zoning of the remainder of the Land as Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone is opposed, as this zoning does not recognise that there are other areas between Morven Ferry Road and Kawarau River that have capacity to absorb further sensitive and appropriate development, and that landscape character and visual amenity values can be maintained or enhanced through site specific provisions.

The land between Morven Ferry Road and Kawarau River is well placed along the Queenstown Trail and down a dead end road so as to provide a unique opportunity for visitor development and compatible rural living development.

Rezoning the Land as requested will recognise the building rights of the landholder and the social, cultural and economic benefits created by rural living and rural visitor development.

4000m² minimum average density, and 'Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct A and B (all as a Morven Ferry Subzone within the Wakatipu Basin), as set out in the Proposed Zone Plan attached as Appendix C to the notice of appeal (and in Appendix 3 of the MFL Stage 2 submission, attached in Appendix D of this notice of appeal).

Retain the reminder of the Land as WBRAZ, subject to the amendments sought in this appeal.

Amend Landscape Character Units 18 to reflect the ability of the Land to absorb effects of further rural living subdivision and development, as set out in Appendix B to this appeal.