

Minutes of an ordinary meeting of the Queenstown Lakes District Council held in the Council Chambers, 10 Gorge Road, Queenstown on Wednesday 30 June 2021 commencing at 1.00pm

Present:

Mayor Boulton; Councillors Clark, Copland, Gladding, Ferguson, Lewers, MacLeod, Miller, Shaw, Smith and Whitehead

In attendance:

Mr Mike Theelen (Chief Executive Officer), Mr Stewart Burns (General Manager, Finance, Legal and Regulatory), Mr Peter Hansby (General Manager, Property and Infrastructure), Ms Meaghan Miller (General Manager, Corporate Services), Mr Tony Avery (General Manager, Planning and Development), Dr Thunes Cloete (General Manager, Community Services), Mr Colin Keel (Chief Executive, Queenstown Airport Corporation ['QAC']), Mr Simon Flood (Deputy Chair, QAC Board), Ms Meghan Pagey (Director, People and Capability), Mr Naell Crosby-Roe (Governance and Stakeholder Services Manager), Mrs Jan Maxwell (Relationship Manager, Arts and Events), Ms Jessica Garrett (Upper Clutha Liaison Manager) and Ms Jane Robertson (Senior Governance Advisor); two members of the media and approximately 16 members of the public

Apologies/Leave of Absence Applications

The following Councillors requested leave of absence:

- Councillor Lewers: 5-7 July; 19-23 July;
- Councillor Gladding: 16-19 August.

On the motion of the Mayor and Councillor Shaw the Queenstown Lakes District Council resolved that the requests for leave of absence be granted.

Declaration by new Queenstown-Wakatipu Councillor: Esther Whitehead

The Mayor congratulated Ms Whitehead on her success in the recent Queenstown-Wakatipu by-election and invited her to make her declaration as a Councillor.

Ms Whitehead gave her verbal declaration as Councillor. She signed the declaration and it was witnessed by the Mayor.

Councillor Whitehead joined the table. The Mayor noted that she may choose to abstain from voting on some items on the agenda.

Declarations of Conflicts of Interest

Councillor Ferguson stated that he had a conflict of interest in respect of item 8 due to his work in radio and would not participate in item 8 (Events Funding Round 2021/22).

Special Announcements

Mr Marty Black, until recently the district's Harbour Master, addressed the Council on the occasion of leaving the service after a period of 36 years. He acknowledged the contribution of others to his role, adding that the district had led the way in New Zealand in development of navigation safety bylaws. He extended special thanks to Councillors and staff. The Mayor presented Mr Black with a card and a small gift.

Councillor MacLeod noted that the Mayor had recently been honoured by the Child Cancer Foundation to acknowledge his 30 years of service to the organisation. Councillor MacLeod congratulated the Mayor on this achievement and this was echoed by the remainder of the Council.

Public Forum

1. Cath Gilmour, We Love Wakatipu – Statement of Intent ('SOI') and Ten Year Plan
Ms Gilmour pointed out the mistake in the Ten Year Plan covering report as it did not show the current purpose of local government. She stated that the Council did not have to support the recommendation to agree to an SOI justified by a totally different purpose. She accepted that the SOI had made some improvements from previous versions but it gave the governance role to QAC and remained focused on growth and extending the air noise boundary. The narrative contained no reference to external input and did not reference any Council signoff on the masterplan. There had been a downward spiral in community trust and it was time for the Council to regain control.
2. David Mayhew, Kelvin Peninsula CA - SOI and Representation Review
Mr Mayhew considered that the representation review model should place the Kelvin Peninsula in the Whakatipu Ward with Frankton in the same area, as both were more moderately growing areas of the district. He asked the Council to support option 2 identified in the report which recommended moving the Kelvin Peninsula into the Whakatipu Ward.

Although the COVID-19 pandemic had eased growth pressures at QAC, the issue of expanding beyond the current air-noise boundaries would return in 2024 as QAC's passenger movement forecasts predicted this. It was also confirmed by a statement in the SOI that QAC would not seek any expansion of the air noise boundaries over this SOI period (i.e. until 2024). He asked how Councillors intended to control QAC's business.

3. Gillian MacLeod, Flightplan – SOI
Ms MacLeod noted that the greatly reduced levels of tourism as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic had pleased many in the community. When international travel resumed, she questioned whether the community would want to return to former levels of tourism or to use the intervening period to make significant change to tourism practices. She suggested that consideration be given to capping the number of tourists. The proposed Tarras airport meant it was important to keep an open mind about the long-term future of airports in this region.

4. Sally Stockdale – SOI/QAC

Ms Stockdale advised that she did not plan to readdress the question of QAC's air noise boundaries because it made no difference as the outcome was pre-determined. She questioned the underlying agenda, adding that the Council only provided a 'take it or leave it' choice. This was despite the fact that the Council had a duty to govern fairly.

5. John Hilhorst, Flightplan – QAC SOI

Mr Hilhorst reminded the Council of Flightplan's proposal to develop a research and technology campus on the airport site in Frankton. This was in line with objectives for economic diversification and was a proposal that needed the Council's leadership about relocating the airport. As a first step, the Council could instruct QAC to acknowledge the proposed Tarras airport in its SOI.

6. Kirsty Sharpe – QAC SOI, closing dates for TYP and Spatial Plan

Mrs Sharpe was critical that the closing dates for submissions for the Ten Year Plan and Spatial Plan were at the same time because it was difficult to address both plans properly with the same closing dates. She considered it would have helped those submitting to both to have closing dates at least a month apart. She was also aware of confusion as some had thought the Spatial Plan was the infrastructure plan.

The SOI still contained expansion of the Air Noise boundaries albeit in the long term, but Queenstown Airport's days were numbered on this site and the Council should say no to any more expansion. She asked the Council to confirm membership from the Frankton, Shotover Country and Lake Hayes Estate Community Associations for the Airport Liaison Group without delay. Applicants had not had a response despite applying some time ago and these communities were affected by airport noise more than any other area.

7. Prue Beams, Aspiring Gymsports – Mitre 10 Building, Wānaka

Ms Beams thanked the Council to agreeing to fund development of the Mitre 10 building in Wānaka as an indoor recreation centre. This provided certainty of tenure for Aspiring Gymsports and would allow the group to reach its 30-year milestone, introduce new classes and accommodate those on the waiting list. She extended thanks from the committee, coaches and the group's 300 active members.

8. Jimmy Sygrove, Chair, Arrowtown Promotion and Business Association – Representation Review

Mr Sygrove was critical of the recommendation in the Representation Review report. He acknowledged that retaining the status quo was probably untenable, but there were many other ways of dividing the district and acknowledging the different communities. The findings of the advisory group were a convenient way of number crunching without acknowledging the differences throughout the district. It was incorrect for the report to assert that the population in Speargrass Flat Road had nothing in common with Arrowtown as the town embraced more than what was within the urban growth boundary. Arrowtown had connections with Lake Hayes Estate and Shotover Country for work and education. He asked the Council to select option 3 in the report and select a different representation proposal for consultation.

10. Nick Fifield – Representation Review

Mr Fifield supported the retention of the Arrowtown Ward because Arrowtown was a community of interest with special heritage, a unique community spirit and a sense of belonging. The community's active care for water, air, and landscapes distinguished Arrowtown from other areas of the district. He also encouraged the Council to adopt the report option which directed officers to develop a different representation proposal.

On the motion of the Mayor and Councillor Gladding the Queenstown Lakes District Council resolved that Standing Order 14.14 be suspended to extend the duration of the Public Forum beyond 30 minutes.

11. Peter Robinson – Representation Review

Mr Robinson advised that Arrowtown had been founded in 1862 and had always been self-contained. The town had more than 60 historic sites and was an integral part of the NZ brand promoted overseas. When the Arrowtown Borough had been amalgamated into the Queenstown Lakes District in 1989 the population had been assured that it would have its own ward Councillor to protect the town's character and identity. The representation review proposal to amalgamate the Arrowtown Ward into a wider ward was sweeping away 150 years of representation and was a fundamental breakdown of the democratic process.

12. Dave Harding-Shaw, Arrowtown Village Association – Representation Review

Mr Harding-Shaw asserted the representation review process had been unfair because it had not included Arrowtown representation on the advisory group. The Council had not contacted Arrowtown community organisations about membership of the Advisory Group and none had been aware plans to establish such a group. Council had a duty to ensure its governance processes were open and transparent and such a process should have had a reasonable level of community engagement. Arrowtown met the description of a community of interest according to the Local Government Commission website and the recommended ward structure was contrary to these points. He encouraged Council to support option 3 and seek other representation proposals.

13. David Clarke, Lakes District Museum – Representation Review

Mr Clarke noted that local Arrowtown identity Jim Ryan had died earlier in the week and had been a strong proponent of Arrowtown representation. His death left a big hole in the community and he suggested that the Council honour Mr Ryan's memory in its decision-making by considering option 3 in the report (develop a new proposal).

14. Scott Stevens – Representation Review

Mr Stevens noted that being a councillor was not a part-time commitment. The Council could rubber stamp the item but all should recognise that the representation review process had been short of blue-sky review that was sought. He was critical of the proposed boundaries and did not accept that they represented communities of interest with shared concerns. He considered that the proposed model was not going to result in fair long or medium term representation. He asked the Council to support option 3 and direct officers to prepare a different option for public consultation.

15. Pierre Marasti (Extinction Rebellion)

Mr Marasti noted that the Paris Agreement stipulated that not exceeding 1.5° of warming could allow civilisation to keep functioning, but at 2° many ecosystems would disappear and it would be difficult for societies to function. At current rates, 1.5° of warming would occur in about ten years but possibly sooner. Warming of 2° was expected in 2050 but maybe as early as 2034 which showed how fragile communities could be. Mr Marasti asked if the Ten Year Plan was leading to reduction of 50% of local emissions. If the answer was 'no' then the Council should go back to the drawing board before it was too late.

On the motion of the Mayor and Councillor Lewers the Queenstown Lakes District Council resolved that all Standing Orders be reinstated.

Confirmation of Agenda

On the motion of Councillor Shaw and Councillor Clark the Queenstown Lakes District resolved that the agenda be confirmed without addition or alteration.

Confirmation of Minutes

On the motion of the Mayor and Councillor Miller the Queenstown Lakes District resolved that the minutes of the public part of the ordinary meeting held on 3 June 2021 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

1 Amendment to the fees and charges schedule used for resource consents, building consents, resource management engineering and other matters

A report from Fiona Blight (Manager, Resource Consents) presented new fees and charges for planning, building consents, resource management engineering and other matters for implementation from 1 July 2021. The report noted that proposed amended fees and charges had been subject to a public consultation process, including the hearing of the submissions by a Council appointed Hearings Panel which had endorsed the proposed amendments.

Mr Avery presented the report. He thanked the members of the hearings panel for their thoughtful considerations and commended the input of submitters, noting that their comments had resulted in a number of changes to the original proposal.

On the motion of Councillor MacLeod and Councillor Lewers it was resolved that the Queenstown Lakes District Council:

- 1. Note the contents of this report;**
- 2. Note that the Hearings Panel, having considered the five submissions and having conducted a hearing and**

deliberated, recommend that the amended proposed fees and charges be adopted; and

- 3. Adopt the amended fees and charges schedule used for planning, building consents, resource management engineering and other matters to come into effect on 1 July 2021.**

2 Adoption of Ten Year Plan 2021-31

A covering report from Meaghan Miller (GM Corporate Services) presented the final version of 2021-31 Ten Year Plan (Long Term Plan), amended Development Contributions Policy and amended Significance and Engagement Policy for adoption.

Ms Miller and Mr Burns presented the report. Ms Miller acknowledged the enormous amount of work that elected members, staff and submitters had committed to preparing the plan.

Councillor Shaw considered that the Council should have changed direction in the Ten Year Plan as a result of COVID-19. In her view, the Plan did not address growth, climate change and altered priorities as a result of COVID-19. Accordingly, she did not support the recommendation and would vote against the motion.

Councillor Gladding stated that many of her concerns sat outside the next three years and there were many positive initiatives in the Plan that she wished to see happen. However she struggled to support it because much of the content had not been viewed through a climate lens. She also had concerns that the Council had not met its consultation obligations with respect to the Lakeview project and this occurred in the first three years of the Plan. For that reason she intended to vote against the motion.

Councillor Whitehead stated that she planned to abstain from voting on this item because she had not been involved in the earlier discussions on the Ten Year Plan.

On the motion of the Mayor and Councillor Clark it was resolved that the Queenstown Lakes District Council:

- 1. Note the contents of this report;**
- 2. Acknowledges that the balanced budget requirement as per section 100 (1) of the Local Government Act 2002 is not met for the 2023/24 financial year, and that Council resolves to set projected operating revenues at a different level from that required by that subsection as it is considered financially prudent to do so per section 100 (2) of the Local Government Act 2002;**
- 3. Adopt the 2021-2031 Ten Year Plan (Long Term Plan) pursuant to sections 82, 82A, 83, 93 and 94 of the Local Government Act 2002;**

4. **Adopt the amended Policy on Development Contributions as per section 102 (4) of the Local Government Act 2002; and**
5. **Adopt the amended Significance and Engagement Policy 2021 as per section 76AA to include the amended wording (p.18) highlighted in this report.**

Motion carried (8:2:1) with Councillors Gladding and Shaw voting against the motion and Councillor Whitehead abstaining.

3 **Statement of Intent, Queenstown Airport Corporation 2021**

A covering report from Meaghan Miller (GM Corporate Services) presented the updated Statement of Intent [‘SOI’] following feedback from the Council, noting that the draft SOI had been formally received at Council meeting on 18 March 2021 and that the Chief Executive had provided feedback on the Council’s behalf which was received by the board on 30 April 2021.

Ms Miller reported a small change to paragraph 13 of the covering report, with respect to the purpose of local government, namely:

Section 10 of the Local Government Act 2002 states the purpose of local government is (a) to enable democratic local decision-making and action by, and on behalf of, communities; and (b) to promote the social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being of communities in the present and for the future. The intentions and steps signalled within the Statement of Intent 2021 are consistent with the purpose provisions and as such, the recommendation in this report is appropriate and within the ambit of Section 10 of the Act.

The Mayor advised that the QAC Board Deputy Chair and Chief Executive were in attendance at the meeting and were available to address the Council.

On the motion of Councillor Shaw and the Mayor the Queenstown Lakes District Council resolved to reopen the Public Forum to allow the QAC representatives to address the Council.

Mr Flood was asked to comment on the Board stance about public consultation on the masterplan and strategic plan. Mr Flood advised that he was unable to speak on behalf of whole Board but pointed out that the SOI was the result of a long programme of consultation, especially with the liaison group. He added that the directors wanted to perform the job they were appointed to do and would seek the input of shareholders. He also disagreed that the Board had sought to keep the document hidden but pointed out that QAC was a commercial entity that conducted its engagement on a good faith basis.

Ms Miller suggested that if the Council accepted the SOI it would be in position to engage with QAC on issues such as the strategic plan and dividend and work on making changes to the next SOI.

On the motion of the Mayor and Councillor Shaw the Queenstown Lakes District Council resolved that the Public Forum conclude.

Councillor Smith considered that the content of the SOI had improved but without a commitment to have open discussion about the strategic plan and masterplan he was not prepared to support the recommendation. Councillor Gladding supported this view as she did not consider that the Local Government Act was met without public consultation on the strategic plan and masterplan. Councillor Whitehead was also critical of the SOI.

Councillor Lewers considered that levels of communication with QAC had improved immensely in recent times, although QAC still had work to do to appreciate the accountability obligations of a local authority. However, the Council had appointed the directors to look after QAC and should be prepared to let them get on with that business and engage in a good faith relationship. The Mayor agreed with this point and indicated that he was happy to proceed with the SOI as presented. Notwithstanding that, he was also prepared to facilitate an open discussion about the SOI within the next few weeks.

The Mayor noted that Mr Keel had resigned as Chief Executive of QAC and today was his final day in the position. He thanked Mr Keel for his work over the last few years and extended best wishes for the future.

On the motion of Councillor Clark and the Mayor it was resolved that the Queenstown Lakes District Council:

- 1. Note the contents of this report;**
- 2. Note that the QAC is a Council Controlled Trading Organisation (CCTO) as per Section 6 of the Local Government Act 2002 (the Act) and must therefore comply with Section 64 of the Act that requires all CCTOs to have a Statement of Intent that meets with the requirements of Schedule 8; this is a statutory requirement; and**
- 3. Agree under Section 65 (2) of the LGA the Queenstown Airport Corporation Statement of Intent 2021.**

Councillors Gladding, Shaw, Smith and Whitehead recorded their votes against the motion.

4 Representation Review: Adoption of Initial Proposal

A report from Jane Robertson (Electoral Officer) presented the statutory framework for the representation review and the findings and recommendations of the Representation Review Advisory Group. The report put forward an initial representation proposal for adoption in order for the consultation process to commence.

Mr Crosby-Roe and Ms Miller presented the report. Mr Crosby-Roe noted that the Chair of the Representation Review Advisory Group, Mr Bruce Robertson, had been in attendance to address the Council but had needed to leave the meeting before the item had come up for discussion.

It was noted that an alternative proposal that moved the Kelvin Peninsula into the Whakatipu Ward had been prepared. This made some changes to the ratios but was still a compliant model.

Councillor Copland did not support adopting the report recommendation for public consultation and signalled his wish to put forward an alternative option.

The Electoral Officer confirmed the statutory requirement for certain factors to be included in the Council's representation review resolution and any change to the proposed ward boundaries would have to be identified specifically by meshblock. It was also confirmed that the Council was required by the Local Electoral Act to adopt a single proposal on which consultation was undertaken.

Councillor Smith expressed concern about adopting a new proposal 'on the fly' and expressed support for the work of the advisory group. He considered that if Arrowtown was deemed a community of interest, the same could apply to Hāwea or Glenorchy. Further, the proposal was specifically for consultation and submissions could be made on any aspect of it.

Councillor Shaw advised that she was reluctant to ignore the recommendation of the advisory group. Councillor Clark agreed and questioned the value of a single person ward.

Councillor MacLeod expressed support for developing a proposal for consultation that included Arrowtown as a separate ward. The Mayor agreed, noting that he was not comfortable putting out the report recommendation for consultation because of the obvious existing opposition to it.

The Chief Executive confirmed that if the Council adjourned to allow staff to work on an alternative recommendation, the existing recommendation would remain as an option should the alternative fail.

The meeting adjourned at 3.10pm and reconvened at 3.19pm. Ms Robertson left the meeting and was replaced by Ms Garrett to take the minutes of the meeting.

Mr Crosby-Roe and Ms Miller were invited back to the table to continue the discussion. Mr Crosby-Roe circulated an amendment to the proposal that included four wards: Whakatipu, Kawarau, Arrowtown and Wānaka-Hāwea.

Councillors discussed the process for amending the motion and the Mayor invited Councillor Copland to move the alternative motion.

It was moved (Councillor Copland/the Mayor)

That the Queenstown Lakes District Council:

- 1. Note the contents of this report;**
- 2. Adopt for the purposes of public consultation that:**
 - a. All Councillors are elected in wards;**
 - b. The names of the wards shall be: Whakatipu, Kawarau, Arrowtown and Wānaka-Hāwea;**
 - c. The boundaries of each ward are described as being:**
 - i. the boundary of the Wānaka-Hāwea Ward shall be as the current Wānaka Ward;**
 - ii. the external boundary of the Whakatipu and Kawarau Wards combined shall be the same as the present Queenstown-Wakatipu Ward;**
 - iii. the Kawarau Ward shall exclude the present Arrowtown Ward and the boundary of the Arrowtown Ward shall be the same as present;**
 - iv. the boundary between the Whakatipu and Kawarau Wards shall generally follow the line of the Shotover River and the eastern boundary of the lower part of Lake Whakatipu;**
 - v. the Whakatipu Ward shall be located generally to the west of the Shotover River and eastern boundary of the lower part of Lake Whakatipu *except* diverting to include meshblocks 3040105, 4010436, 4010435, 4000982, 4000981, 3040116, 3040107, 3040111, 3040114, 3040113, 3040112, 3040109, 4001022, 3040104, 4001021, 3040115, and the Kawarau Ward to the east *except* diverting to include meshblocks 3038219, 3039406, 3039504, 3040604, 4000906, 4000907, 4001002, 4001003, 4010441, 4010442;**
 - vi. The voters in each Whakatipu and Wānaka-Hāwea wards will elect four Councillors;**
 - vii. The voters in Arrowtown ward shall elect one Councillor;**

viii. The voters in Kawarau ward shall elect three Councillors.

ix. There will be no community boards in the district;

3. Agree that submissions will be received on the proposal between 5 July 2021 and 6 August 2021 and that the Council will hear submissions in Queenstown on 26 August 2021 and in Wānaka on 27 August 2021; and
4. Note that the final proposal will be considered at the ordinary Council meeting scheduled to take place on 16 September 2021.

*The motion was put on a show of hands. The result was 5:5 with one abstention (Councillor Whitehead); The Mayor used his casting vote to declare **Motion carried**.*

5 2020/21 Capital Works Programme – Third Re-forecast

A covering report from Jeremy Payze (Senior Management Accountant) presented budget changes for the Council's approval.

Mr Burns and Mr Hansby presented the report.

Councillor Gladding observed there was budget provision for a wastewater feasibility study at Ladies Mile and asked if this was needed to inform the master planning (due to be considered at the next Council meeting). Mr Hansby noted that it was not integral to the decision on the masterplan and so could be deferred.

Councillor Clark asked why funds to repair the Kingston Jetty had been pushed back by 11 years. She detailed the Jetty's historic importance and civil defence capabilities, stating that it needed to be taken care of. The Mayor supported these views. Mr Hansby noted that a full assessment of the Jetty could be arranged, with a scheduled review to take place every 2-3 years.

Councillor Gladding discussed the implications of re-forecasting for small community projects and asked about the best way to communicate this to the Chairs of Community Associations (CAs). Both Mr Hansby and the Mayor agreed that Councillors had an important role to play in informing CAs on these developments and should engage in informal conversations where necessary.

On the motion of the Mayor and Councillor Lewers it was resolved that the Queenstown Lakes District Council:

1. Note the contents of this report;
2. Approve the budget changes proposed in Attachment A.

6 CE Performance Review Appointments

A report from Naell Crosby-Roe (Governance and Stakeholder Services Manager) and Meghan Pagey (Director, People and Capability) proposed the appointment of Councillor Penny Clark to the Chief Executive Performance Review Committee to fill the vacancy left by Councillor MacDonald and set out plans to engage an external facilitator for the 2021 Chief Executive performance review process.

Mr Crosby-Roe and Ms Pagey presented the report.

Councillor Gladding asked about options for Councillors to share their feedback with the Chief Executive Performance Review Committee. The Mayor agreed that this suggestion had merit and proposed that the CE Performance Review Committee engage with the full Council in a workshop to collect feedback prior to the next review meeting.

On the motion of the Mayor and Councillor Ferguson it was resolved that the Queenstown Lakes District Council:

- 1. Note the contents of this report;**
- 2. Appoint Councillor Penny Clark as a member of the Chief Executive Performance Review Committee with immediate effect; and**
- 3. Note the Chief Executive Performance Review Committee's intention to engage an external facilitator for the 2021 performance review process.**
- 4. Note that the Purpose of the CE Performance Review Committee will be amended to read: "To monitor, review, consult with and report back to full Council on the CEO's Performance Objectives and Performance Review."**

7 Chief Executive's Report

A report from the Chief Executive report set out plans for the Council supporting the Te Atamira Arts and Culture Hub and detailed expenditure over his delegated authority for a contract entered into with the Whakatipu Programme Alliance for the Queenstown Town Centre Street Upgrade Project, seeking retrospective approval for same.

The Chief Executive confirmed that the Te Atamira Arts and Culture Hub was consistent with the content of the Ten Year Plan. Councillor Smith asked what entity the Council would be granting money to and the Chief Executive confirmed it would be a new trust set up to specifically to support the art and culture facility. Funds would not be allocated until the trust was formally established.

Councillor Gladding expressed support for the Arts and Culture Hub but noted that the Council needed to know who it was supporting and to be sure that their outcomes aligned with the Council's.

Dr Cloete joined the table to respond. He outlined the due diligence underway that included a MOU, agreements and various meetings to ensure robust processes were being followed. He further noted that an operational plan would be developed.

On the matter of the Whakatipu Programme Alliance, the Chief Executive noted that the Council had not yet concluded the deal with the Alliance. He had acted under urgency to take advantage of the opportunity to negotiate a better deal for the Council. Councillor Shaw questioned the Chief Executive on timing and process for the Whakatipu Programme Alliance, and the need for retrospective approval. The Chief Executive noted that the Council had agreed to the interim programme and with working to time pressures, he was following standard practice.

On the motion of Councillor Lewers and Councillor Copland it was resolved that the Queenstown Lakes District Council:

- 1. Note the contents of this report;**
- 2. Te Atamira Arts and Culture Hub
Delegate the Chief Executive the authority:
 - a. to decide to support the establishment of an Arts and Culture Hub on terms consistent with the 10 Year Plan, with a 5% contingency; and**
 - b. to execute the necessary agreements to give effect to that decision, the total financial value of which exceeds the Chief Executive's financial delegations, provided the arrangements are consistent with the 10 Year Plan (along with the contingency noted above).****
- 3. Programme Alliance Agreement
Retrospectively approves the action of the Chief Executive and enters into a contract with the Whakatipu Programme Alliance for the Queenstown Town Centre Street Upgrade project for a sum of not exceeding \$63.418m, being \$2.818m in excess of the delegation given to the Chief Executive on 3 September 2020 by Council.**

Resolution to Exclude the Public

On the motion of the Mayor and Councillor MacLeod the Queenstown Lakes District Council resolved that the public be excluded from the following parts of the meeting:

The general subject of each matter to be considered whilst the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

Confirmation of minutes

Item 14: Acquisition of land for road from Bringans Consultants

General subject to be considered.	Reason for passing this resolution.	Grounds under Section 7 for the passing of this resolution.
14. Acquisition of land for road from Bringans Consultants	That the public conduct of the whole or the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information where the withholding of information is necessary to: h) enable any local authority holding the information to carry on without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities; i) enable any local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations);	Section 7(2)(h) Section 7(2)(i)

Agenda items

Item 8: Events Funding Round 2021/22

General subject to be considered.	Reason for passing this resolution.	Grounds under Section 7 for the passing of this resolution.

<p>8. Events Funding Round 2021/22</p>	<p>That the public conduct of the whole or the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information where the withholding of information is necessary to:</p> <p>b) protect information where the making available of the information ii) would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information.</p>	<p>Section 7(2)(b)(ii)</p>
--	--	----------------------------

This recommendation is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 6 or Section 7 of that Act or Section 6 or Section 7 or Section 9 of the Official Information Act 1982 as the case may require, which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as shown above with respect to each item.

The meeting went into public excluded at 3.42pm.

The meeting came out of public excluded and concluded at 3.54pm.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD

MAYOR

DATE