

In the Environment Court of New Zealand
Christchurch Registry

I Te Koti Taiao o Aotearoa
Ōtautahi Rohe

ENV-2018-CHC-000056

Under the Resource Management Act 1991

In the matter of an appeal under Clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 of the RMA in relation to the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan

Between **Upper Clutha Environmental Society Inc**
Appellant

And **Queenstown Lakes District Council**
Respondent

Notice of Te Anau Developments Limited wish to be party to proceedings pursuant to section 274 RMA

10 July 2018

Section 274 party's solicitors:
Maree Baker-Galloway | Rosie Hill
Anderson Lloyd
Level 2, 13 Camp Street, Queenstown 9300
PO Box 201, Queenstown 9348
DX Box ZP95010 Queenstown
p + 64 3 450 0700 | f + 64 3 450 0799
maree.baker-galloway@al.nz | rosie.hill@al.nz

**anderson
lloyd.**

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

- 1 Te Anau Developments Limited (**TAD**) wish to be a party pursuant to section 274 of the RMA to the following proceedings:

Upper Clutha Environmental Society Inc v Queenstown Lakes District Council (ENV-2018-CHC-000056) being an appeal against decisions of Queenstown Lakes District Council on the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan (**PDP**).

- 2 TAD is a person who made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
- 3 TAD is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.
- 4 TAD is interested in all of the proceedings.
- 5 Without derogating from the generality of the above, TAD is interested in the following particular issues:
 - (a) The amendments sought to Chapters 1, 3, 6, and 21 as set out in the Appeal and relating to objectives, policies, assessment matters and rules and other provisions that relate to subdivision and/or development in the Rural General Zone in the ODP (Rural Zone in the PDP) particularly those that relate to cumulative effects and over-domestication of rural landscapes;
 - (b) The relief sought that rural subdivision and/or development within ONL and ONF landscapes becomes a noncomplying activity in the PDP; that Council initiates a variation that changes the activity status of subdivision and/or development within ONL/ONF from discretionary to non-complying, as recommended by the PDP Hearing Panel; that Council partially rewrites its PDP s.32 Evaluation Report Landscape, Rural Zone and Gibbston Character Zone to address the issue of whether subdivision and/or development within ONL/ONF should be discretionary or non-complying.
 - (c) The relief sought that the Landscape Lines shown on the ODP maps are rolled-over in their exact current form;
 - (d) Other relief sought pertaining to the management of rural landscapes in the District.

- 6 TAD opposes the relief sought because:
- (a) The relief sought is inconsistent with that set out in the TAD Appeal;
 - (b) The relief will not achieve the higher order provisions of the PDP; the Otago Regional Policy Statement, and Part 2 of the Act.
- 7 TAD agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated this 10th day of July 2018

Maree Baker-Galloway

Maree Baker-Galloway/Rosie Hill
Counsel for the section 274 party

Address for service of person wishing to be a party

Anderson Lloyd

Level 2, 13 Camp Street

PO Box 201

Queenstown 9300

Phone: 03 450 0700 Fax: 03 450 0799

Email: maree.baker-galloway@al.nz | rosie.hill@al.nz

Contact persons: Maree Baker-Galloway | Rosie Hill

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Christchurch.