

**BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT  
AT CHRISTCHURCH**

**ENV-2018-CHC-150**

**I MUA I TE KOOTI TAIAO  
I OTAUTAHI ROHE**

**In the Matter** of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**Act**)

**And**

**In the Matter** of an appeal under clause 14(1), First Schedule of the Act

**Between** Darby Planning Limited

**Appellant**

**And** Queenstown Lakes District Council

**Respondent**

---

**Notice of Persons Wish to be Party to Proceedings**

**Dated 10 July 2018**

---

Richard Brabant  
Barrister  
PO Box 1502, Shortland St  
Auckland  
Mob: 021 975 548  
Email: richard@brabant.co.nz

**To:** the Registrar  
Environment Court  
Christchurch

1. We, Joanna Taverner and Simon Taverner, wish to be a party to the following appeal against decisions of the Queenstown-Lakes District Council (the **Council**) on submissions to the District Plan Review:

ENV-2018-CHC-150 Darby Planning Limited v Queenstown Lakes District Council.

**Nature of Interest in the Appeal**

2. We made a submission about the subject matter of the appeal.
3. We have an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest the general public have as owners of the residential property at 79 Jacks Point Rise, Jacks Point, Queenstown.
4. We are not trade competitors for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.

**Extent of Interest**

5. We are interested in all of the proceedings.
6. We are interested in the following particular issues:
  - a. The requested changes to objectives and policies in Chapter 3 to the extent those changes would create a conflict with or require amendments deletions or additions to the objectives and policies in the PDP Decisions version of the Jack's Point Zone.
  - b. The requested changes to objectives and policies in Chapter 4 to the extent those changes would create a conflict with or require amendments deletions or additions to the objectives and policies, zoning or structure plan in the Decisions version of the Jack's Point zone, or amendments to the Urban Growth Boundary as applied to

Jack's Point in the Decisions version of the PDP.

- c. The requested changes to the provisions of Chapter 27 to the extent those requested changes would affect the activity status and matters of control of subdivision that would currently apply subdivision within the Jack's Point zone in the PDP Decisions version.
- d. The requested changes to provisions in Chapters 3, 4, and 27 to the extent that these would not give effect to the purpose of the Act, to the provisions of Part 2, and the provisions of the Otago Regional Policy Statement

**Relief Sought**

- 7. We oppose the relief sought because:
  - a. They will or have the potential to create inconsistencies with or require amendment to the provisions of the PDP Decisions version of the Jack's Point zone, which we support in all respects.
  - b. The requested changes would result in provisions that did not give effect to the purpose of the Act, and/or will be in conflict with the provisions of Part 2; and/or will fail to give effect to the provisions of the Otago Regional Policy Statement.
- 8. We agree to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

**Signature:**

**Joanna Taverner and Simon Taverner** by their  
authorised agent:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a series of loops and wavy lines.

---

**Richard Brabant**

**Date:**

10 July 2018

**Address for service:**

Richard Brabant  
PO Box 1502, Shortland St  
**Auckland**

**Mobile:**

021 975 548

**Email:**

richard@brabant.co.nz